Soil Biology & Biochemistry 60 (2013) 55-64

Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

Soil Biology & Biochemistry



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/soilbio

Novel *Burkholderia* bacteria isolated from *Lebeckia ambigua* – A perennial suffrutescent legume of the fynbos

John G. Howieson^{a,*}, Sofie E. De Meyer^a, Anabel Vivas-Marfisi^a, Sunil Ratnayake^b, Julie K. Ardley^a, Ron J. Yates^{a,c}

^a Centre for Rhizobium Studies, Murdoch University, Murdoch WA 6150, Australia
^b School of Botany, University of Melbourne, Victoria 3010, Australia
^c Department of Agriculture Western Australia, Baron Hay Court, South Perth WA 6151, Australia

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 27 July 2012 Received in revised form 8 January 2013 Accepted 10 January 2013 Available online 6 February 2013

Keywords: Betaproteobacteria Burkholderia Lebeckia South Africa Fynbos Nodulation Symbiosis Nitrogen fixation

1. Introduction

ABSTRACT

We investigated symbiotic and physiological properties, and taxonomic position, of 23 bacterial strains isolated from *Lebeckia ambigua* root nodules collected from the South African fynbos region. The capacity for nodulation and nitrogen fixation on three provenances of *L. ambigua* was investigated for these strains together with several physiological characters, including growth rate on peat and in betonite clay, survival on polyethylene beads and pH tolerance. Additionally, the 16S rRNA gene phylogeny was determined. The root nodule bacteria isolated clustered in five different groups belonging to the genus *Burkholderia*, most closely related to *B. caledonica*, *B. graminis* and *B. tuberum*. Moreover there was a very strong influence of collection site on the taxonomy of the *Burkholderia* strains. The physiological characteria and reached high, stable numbers in sterile peat. However, there was a worrying susceptibility to desiccation amongst these *Burkholderia*. Additionally, evidence was found for isolation of non-symbiotic strains from the nodule material collected in South Africa.

© 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Australian agriculture has relied upon N₂-fixation from rhizobia, as symbionts primarily of annual legumes, since European colonisation in the late 18thC. This N₂-fixation is currently estimated to be worth in excess of \$2 billion per annum (Herridge et al., 2008). The south-west of Western Australia is a major agricultural region whose rainfall patterns appear to be changing, from a dry Mediterranean-type distribution to a generally reduced annual rainfall with a less predictable distribution (George et al., 2008). This change in climate mitigates against optimal growth from annual legumes because of a disruption to their pattern of germination and reproduction. Alternative perennial legume species are therefore being sought (Howieson et al., 2008). The target regions for our research include some 5 million ha in south-west WA receiving 250–500 mm annual rainfall, of which a portion have already experienced a decline in precipitation of 10% between 1976 and 1999, followed by 15% in the subsequent seven years (George et al., 2008), with the driest winter for 100 years recorded in 2010 (http://www.bom.gov.au/climate/current/season/wa/archive/201008.summary.shtml).

Lucerne (Medicago sativa L.) will not persist on many of our target soils, which are acid and infertile, and there is a paucity of well-adapted commercially available perennial, herbaceous forage legumes in regions which receive less than 500 mm annual rainfall (Howieson et al., 2008). As part of the search for legumes better adapted to these soils, we are attempting to domesticate species from southern Africa, including those from the acid, sandy soils of the fynbos biome in the Western Cape region of South Africa. We have collected seed and nodules of several genera of deep-rooted, suffrutescent, perennial legumes (e.g. Rhynchosia, Lebeckia and Lessertia), which although known to botanists (Boatwright et al., 2009; Van Wyk, 1991), are mostly new to agriculture. Our approach has focussed on assessing the nodule bacteria of these potential new agricultural legumes (Ardley et al., 2012; Garau et al., 2009; Gerding et al., 2012; Yates et al., 2007) because poorly adapted inoculants have historically restricted the success of new forage legumes introduced to WA soils (Parker, 1962). Several of the perennial legumes from southern Africa are nodulated by the



^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +61 8 9360 2231; fax: +61 8 9310 7084. *E-mail addresses*: J.Howieson@Murdoch.edu.au (J.G. Howieson), Sofdemey@ gmail.com (S.E. De Meyer).

^{0038-0717/\$ –} see front matter \odot 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2013.01.009

relatively novel species of *Microvirga* (Ardley et al., 2012) or by Betaproteobacterial *Burkholderia* spp. (Garau et al., 2009; Phalane, 2008).

The Betaproteobacteria are a class of bacteria first reported to contain root nodule bacteria by Moulin et al. (2001). These are mainly from the genus Burkholderia, which are the dominant nodule occupants of species of the large sub-tropical genus Mimosa found in the Cerrado and the Caatinga (Bontemps et al., 2010; dos Reis et al., 2010). Rhizobial Burkholderia have also been found in nodules of the papilionoid legumes Cyclopia and the herbaceous, perennial legume Rhynchosia ferulifolia, both endemic to the western Cape of South Africa (Elliott et al., 2007a; Garau et al., 2009). In this manuscript we describe additional Betaproteobacteria nodule bacteria from the wild perennial fynbos legume Lebeckia ambigua, which we reveal are novel species of Burkholderia. Because rhizobial Burkholderia are increasingly being isolated from fynbos legumes, we raise the possibility of Burkholderia being nodule bacteria particularly well adapted to infertile sandy, acid soils.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Acquisition of root-nodules, bacteria and host legumes

Four separate germplasm acquisition expeditions were made to the Western Cape of South Africa, between the latitudes of Cape Town and Springbok, from 2002 to 2007. Nodules and seed (where available) of herbaceous perennial legumes were collected and stored as previously described (Yates et al., 2004). Nodules from

Table 1

Origin of root-nodule bacteria used in this study.

L. ambigua were collected from five sites (Table 1, Fig. S1) and returned to Australia for isolation. Bacteria were isolated from surface sterilised root nodules according to the procedure described by Yates et al. (2007). Pure cultures were deposited in the WSM Genebank (Centre for *Rhizobium* Studies, Murdoch University, Perth, Western Australia) after authentication. Seed of four representative provenances of *L. ambigua* were multiplied in the field plots at Murdoch University to provide seed for nodulation and effectiveness experiments described in this manuscript. Seed of the related legume *Lebeckia sepiaria* was provided by J.S. Boatwright, Kirstenbosch Botanical Gardens, Cape Town. The legumes used in the glasshouse experiments are listed in Table S1.

2.2. Authentication of nodule isolates (Experiment 1)

Two studies of nodulation of *L. ambigua* were performed using the axenic sand-culture system described by Howieson et al. (1995). The experiments were conducted in pasteurised soil in sterilised polythene pots, covered with sterilised alkathene beads, held in a naturally lit phytotron maintained at 22 °C during the day. This system of evaluation excludes air- and water-borne nodule bacteria from contaminating experiments.

In the first experiment, 23 strains of nodule bacteria listed in Table 1 were assessed for their capacity to nodulate three provenances of *L. ambigua* (CRSLAM-37, 39, 41, see Table S2). Three seedlings, representing each provenance, were placed in a 1 kg pot then inoculated with a single test strain as described by Yates et al. (2007). All treatments, plus uninoculated and nitrogen-supplied controls, were replicated four times in this split-plot design, and

| Strain | Collection site | Latitude and longitude | Legume host | Exp. | Accession number ^b | Reference |
|------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|---------|----------------------------------|------------------------|
| Burkholderia sp. | | | | | | |
| WSM3556 | 5 | 33° 29'21"; 18° 19'36" | L. ambigua | 1 | HQ698908 | This work |
| WSM3558 | 5 | 33° 29'21"; 18° 19'36" | L. ambigua | 1 | N.A. | This work |
| WSM3560 | 5 | 33° 29'21"; 18° 19'36" | L. ambigua | 1 | N.A. | This work |
| WSM4204 | 5 | 33° 29'21"; 18° 19'36" | L. ambigua | 1 | HQ698906 | This work |
| WSM4205 | 5 | 33° 29′21″; 18° 19′36″ | L. ambigua | 1, 2 | HE862280 | This work |
| WSM4206 | 5 | 33° 29'21"; 18° 19'36" | L. ambigua | 1 | HQ698907 | This work |
| WSM4289 | 5 | 33° 29′21″; 18° 19′36″ | L. ambigua | 1 | N.A. | This work |
| WSM4290 | 5 | 33° 29'21"; 18° 19'36" | L. ambigua | 1 | N.A. | This work |
| WSM4291 | 5 | 33° 29'21"; 18° 19'36" | L. ambigua | 1 | N.A. | This work |
| WSM4292 | 5 | 33° 29'21"; 18° 19'36" | L. ambigua | 1 | N.A. | This work |
| WSM4293 | 5 | 33° 29'21"; 18° 19'36" | L. ambigua | 1 | N.A. | This work |
| WSM3617 | 10 | 32° 01′56″; 18° 47′38″ | L. ambigua | 1 | HQ698903 | This work |
| WSM3618 | 10 | 32° 01′56″; 18° 47′38″ | L. ambigua | 1, 2 | HE862276 | This work |
| WSM4182 | 11 | 31° 47′59″; 18° 37′16″ | L. ambigua | 1 | HQ698910 | This work |
| WSM4184 | 11 | 31° 47′59″; 18° 37′16″ | L. ambigua | 1, 2, 4 | HE965764 | This work |
| WSM4185 | 11 | 31° 47′59″; 18° 37′16″ | L. ambigua | 1, 4 | HE965765 | This work |
| WSM5005 | 11 | 31° 47′59″; 18° 37′16″ | L. ambigua | 1, 2, 4 | HF549035 | This work |
| WSM4174 | 14 | 31° 26'47"; 19° 8'41" | L. ambigua | 1, 2 | HQ698904 | This work |
| WSM4175 | 14 | 31° 26'47"; 19° 8'41" | L. ambigua | 1 | HE962574 | This work |
| WSM4176 | 14 | 31° 26'47"; 19° 8'41" | L. ambigua | 1 | HQ698909 | This work |
| WSM4177 | 14 | 31° 26'47"; 19° 8'41" | L. ambigua | 1, 2 | HE862275 | This work |
| WSM4178 | 14 | 31° 26'47"; 19° 8'41" | L. ambigua | 1 | HE862279 | This work |
| WSM4180 | 14 | 31° 26'47"; 19° 8'41" | L. ambigua | 1 | HE862274 | This work |
| WSM3937 | 2 | 33° 17'33"; 18° 26'26" | Rhynchosia ferulifolia | 2, 4 | EU219865 | Garau et al., 2009 |
| B. phymatum STM815 | French Guiana | | Mimosa sp. ^a | 2 | AJ302312 | Moulin et al., 2001 |
| Brad. sp. WSM471 | Albany W. Australia | | Ornithopus pinnatus | 4 | Gi06491 | Howieson et al., 1994 |
| M. loti WSM1293 | Greece | | Lotus orn. | 4 | Gi08882 | Howieson et al., 2011 |
| M. sp. WSM1497 | Greece | | Bis. pelecinus | 4 | AF178964 | Nandasena et al., 2001 |
| Methylobacterium sp. WSM2598 | South Africa | | Listia bainesii | 4 | DQ838527 | Yates et al., 2007 |
| R. leg. bv. trifolii WSM1325 | Serifos, Greece | | Trifolium sp. | 4 | Gc01039 | Yates et al., 2005 |
| R. leg. bv. viciae WSM1455 | Mykonos, Greece | | Pisum sativum | 4 | Gi06482 | Howieson et al., 2000a |
| R. tropici CIAT899 | Colombia | | Ph. vulgaris | 4 | Gi05744 | Graham et al., 1994 |
| R. sullae WSM1592 | Italy, Sardinia | | Sulla coronarium | 4 | Gi08899 | Yates et al., 1996 |

^a Originally reported as isolated from *Machaerium lunatum* but not authenticated on this host, effectively nodulates *Mimosa* (Elliott et al., 2007b; Mishra et al., 2012). ^b Either 16S rRNA gene accession number or Gold Card number, N.A. = Not Available, Exp. = Experiment, *L* = *Lebeckia*, *Bis.* = *Bisurella*, *Ph.* = *Phaseolus*, *B.* = *Burkholderia*, *Brad.* = *Bradyrhizobium*, *M.* = *Mesorhizobium*, *R.* = *Rhizobium*, *leg.* = *leguminosarum*, orn. = ornithopodioides. Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8365266

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8365266

Daneshyari.com