ARTICLE IN PRESS

Cmgh RESEARCH LETTER

59 60 61

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 07 12 08 13 **Q9** 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58

Estrogen Receptor α Loss-of-Function Protects Female Mice From DSS-Induced Experimental Colitis

Males are at greater risk than females for developing ulcerative colitis (UC) and experiencing worse clinical disease $^{1-3}$: the molecular basis for this sex bias remains unclear. An important regulatory mechanism of colonic homeostasis is via noncanonical estrogen receptor (ER) signaling. Very low levels of circulating estrogen are required to bind transmembrane and cytosolic ERs, such that immune responses in both sexes are subject to regulation by estrogen. Estrogen receptor β (ER β) is expressed abundantly in the human colon,^{4,5} where it has a critical role in maintaining barrier function and colonic architecture.^{6,7} We therefore examined the in vivo functional effects of $ER\beta$ gainof-function and loss-of-function using a dextran sulfate sodium-induced murine model of acute experimental colitis (DSS-AEC).

We challenged $ER\beta$ -deficient mice (ER β -knockout [KO]), ER α -deficient mice (ER α -KO), or wild-type littermate controls (WT) with DSS-AEC and measured clinical parameters including weight loss (Figure 1A), disease activity index (Figure 1B and Supplementary Figure 1), colon length (Figure 1C), inflammatory and total scores including percentage ulceration, reepithelialization, active and chronic inflammation, and transmural inflammation (Figure 1D and Supplementary Figure 2). We also performed experimental endoscopies⁸ to assess inflammation and tissue damage (Figure 1E) and histologic assessment of DSStreated colon tissues (Figure 1F). Interestingly, $ER\alpha$ -KO-male (M) mice lost the most weight of any group, whereas $ER\alpha$ -KO-female (F) mice lost very little weight (Figure 1A). ERα-KO-M also showed the most

severe disease activity index scores (Figure 1B) and the most significant colon shortening (Figure 1C), with significant interaction effects between genotype and sex. Based on H&E staining of colon tissues, total inflammatory scores showed similarly exacerbated colitis among ERa-KO-M mice (Figure 1D). Experimental endoscopies showed that $ER\alpha$ -KO-F mice appeared nearly normal, whereas ER α -KO-M mice showed focal ulcerative lesions with spontaneous bleeding and loss of colon transparency (Figure 1E). Histologic assessment showed profound inflammation. epithelial erosion, and loss of tissue architecture in ER α -KO-M mice as well as ER β -KO-F mice (Figure 1F).

ER β has been shown to be a dominant-negative regulator of ER α mediated signaling,⁹ leading us to postulate that sex-specific differences in colonic gene expression of ER α or ER β may underlie sex-based differences in response to DSS-AEC. Interestingly, we found that knockdown of each individual ER isoform results in compensatory up-regulation of the other (Supplementary Figure 3), a pattern that occurs to a similar extent in both sexes and is therefore unlikely to contribute to sex-based differences.

We next analyzed the potential differences in colonic gene expression between DSS-treated ER α -KO-M and ER α -KO-F mice using a polymerase chain reaction array of 84 known ER-regulated genes. All gene expression values were normalized to the B2m gene, and z-scores were calculated for all genes (full data set) (Supplementary Figure 4A). Trimming the data for genes that are significantly and uniquely different between $ER\alpha$ -KO-M and ER α -KO-F DSS-treated colon tissues (Supplementary Materials and Methods section and Supplementary Figure 4B) resulted in the identification of cathepsin D (Ctsd), Fos, and Socs3.

Gene expression of *Socs3*, *Ctsd*, and *Fos* was confirmed by traditional quantitative polymerase chain reaction

in a larger colon tissue sample set 62 from DSS-treated ER α -KO-M and ER α -63 KO-F mice. In agreement with the 64 array data, all 3 genes showed higher 65 expression among DSS-treated ERα-66 KO-M compared with ER α -KO-F mice 67 (Figure 2A). Interestingly, Ctsd and Fos 68 both showed sex-specific differences in 69 gene expression after DSS-AEC: Ctsd 70 expression was reduced significantly 71 in ER α -KO-F mice, but unchanged in 72 ER α -KO-M mice, whereas Fos expres-73 sion was increased significantly in 74 ER α -KO-M mice, but unchanged in 75 ER α -KO-F mice (Figure 2A). Gene 76 expression of SOCS3, CTSD, and FOS in 77 UC patients or control colon biopsy 78 specimens showed that CTSD expres-79 sion was reduced in female UC patients 80 compared with controls, whereas male 81 UC patients and controls expressed 82 similar CTSD levels (Figure 2B). In 83 contrast, male UC patients expressed 84 higher FOS compared with controls, 85 whereas female UC patients and con-86 trols expressed similar FOS levels 87 (Figure 2B). No significant difference 88 between male and female control or 89 UC patients in gene expression of $ER\alpha$ 90 or $ER\beta$ (Figure 2*C* and *D*) was 91 observed, suggesting that the differ-92 ences observed in Fos and Ctsd are 93 not owing to differential $ER\alpha/ER\beta$ 94 expression.

95 Our findings suggest that funda-96 mental differences in $ER\alpha/ER\beta$ 97 signaling ratios impact colitis in males 98 and females. Specifically, $ER\beta$ expres-99 sion in female mice protected against 100 DSS colitis, whereas it failed to protect 101 male mice. Our findings provide 102 insight toward potential mechanisms 103 by which sex-based differences in 104 intestinal inflammation arise. We 105 propose that signaling downstream of 106 $ER\alpha/ER\beta$ results in differential gene 107 expression in males vs females, ulti-108 mately leading to enhanced colitis in 109 males. Improved understanding of the 110 mechanisms by which loss of $ER\alpha$ 111 signaling fails to protect males from 112 colonic inflammation may eventually 113 lead to more specific and efficacious 114 UC therapies. 115

¹¹⁶

ARTICLE IN PRESS

2 Goodman et al

Cellular and Molecular Gastroenterology and Hepatology Vol. . , No.



Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8376157

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8376157

Daneshyari.com