

REVIEW

Pancreas 3D Organoids: Current and Future Aspects as a Research Platform for Personalized Medicine in Pancreatic Cancer

Leticia Moreira,^{1,2} Basil Bakir,¹ Priya Chatterji,¹ Zahra Dantes,³ Maximilian Reichert,³ and Anil K. Rustgi¹

¹Division of Gastroenterology, Departments of Medicine and Genetics, University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; ²Department of Gastroenterology, Hospital Clínic, Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red en Enfermedades Hepáticas y Digestivas, IDIBAPS, University of Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain; ³II. Medizinische Klinik und Poliklinik, Klinikum Rechts der Isar, Technische Universität München, Munich, Germany

SUMMARY

An organoid is as a group of epithelial cells growing in a 3-dimensional structure, with self-renewal and self-organization capacities, which recapitulates the tissue of origin. Use of organoids as a powerful tool for investigation and as a step toward personalized medicine is reviewed.

Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma is one of the most aggressive forms of cancer, and the third leading cause of cancer-related mortality in the United States. Although important advances have been made in the last decade, the mortality rate of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma has not changed appreciably. This review summarizes a rapidly emerging model of pancreatic cancer research, focusing on 3-dimensional organoids as a powerful tool for several applications, but above all, representing a step toward personalized medicine. (Cell Mol Gastroenterol Hepatol 2017;■:■-■; <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcmgh.2017.12.004>)

Keywords: 3D Organoids; Pancreas; Adenocarcinoma; Personalized Medicine.

metastases and succumb to disease within 6–12 months of diagnosis.⁵ Therefore, understanding the mechanisms underlying disease initiation and progression are critical for early detection, risk stratification, and targeted therapeutic strategies.

The most frequent precursors of PDAC are microscopic pancreatic intraepithelial neoplasia (PanIN), followed by intrapapillary mucinous neoplasm and mucinous cystic neoplasm. PanINs are microscopic (<5 mm) mucinous-papillary lesions, which lead to invasive carcinoma.⁶ Ductal adenocarcinomas display an intense stromal reaction that has been postulated to serve as a physical barrier to delivery of chemotherapy.⁷ PDAC is associated with several gene alterations, such as activation of oncogenes (mutant *KRAS* is found in >90% of PDAC) and inactivation of tumor suppressor genes (*TP53*, *p16/CDKN2A*, *SMAD4*, and *BRCA2*).^{8–10} Additionally, several genome-wide studies have been done. This has resulted in the identification of novel somatic mutations, although in low frequency, copy number variations, structural variations, and epigenetic alterations.^{11–14} To that end, Bailey et al¹¹ performed a very elegant study where they combined the analyses of whole genomes, exomes, and RNA sequencing from 456 PDACs. Using this approach, they were able to define 4 different subtypes of PDAC (squamous, pancreatic progenitor, immunogenic, and aberrantly differentiated endocrine exocrine) and associated each subtype to specific molecular pathways, as well as histology and survival.

Despite these advances in the understanding of the mechanisms underlying PDAC pathogenesis, the impact on patient benefit is lagging. As a result, new model systems are being developed and used to fill this gap with the hope of translation into improved diagnostics and therapeutics.

Abbreviations used in this paper: 3D, 3-dimensional; GEMMs, genetically engineered mouse models; PanIN, pancreatic intraepithelial neoplasia; PDAC, pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma; PDX, patient-derived tumor xenografts.

© 2017 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of the AGA Institute. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

2352-345X

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcmgh.2017.12.004>

Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) is a rapidly progressing and usually fatal disease, and is the eighth leading cause of global cancer-related deaths in men and the ninth in women.¹ In the United States, PDAC is the third leading cause of cancer mortality and it is projected to be the second leading cause of cancer mortality by 2020.² According to the American Cancer Society, in 2016, a total of 53,670 people were diagnosed with PDAC in the United States and 43,090 died from this cancer. Pancreatic cancer accounts for about 3% of all cancers in the United States and for approximately 7% of cancer deaths. Although treatments have improved, especially in the fields of immunotherapy and adjuvant chemotherapy, PDAC has an average 5-year survival rate of only 7%–8%.³

The reasons for this morbid outcome are multifactorial, including diagnosis at late stages, rapid progression with metastasis to other organs, and resistance to conventional therapeutic modalities.⁴ Most patients are diagnosed with

117 This review covers the past and current model systems
118 briefly, and then focuses on 3-dimensional (3D) organoids
119 as a newer relevant model system.

120 Pancreatic Cancer Cell Lines

121 The first human pancreatic cancer cell line was gener-
122 ated and published in 1963,¹⁵ and since then, many PDAC
123 cell lines have been developed from murine and human
124 tumors. The use of cell lines has many advantages: ease of
125 use, minimal cost, and the ability for genetic and pharmaco-
126 logic manipulation. Although cell lines have been very
127 advantageous in pancreatic cancer research, this approach
128 has several key limitations. First, many cell lines have been
129 generated from metastatic tumors, and thus, primary PDAC
130 and PanIN lesions are commonly underrepresented. Second,
131 the lack of other cell types (fibroblasts, nerves, immune
132 cells, adipocytes, endothelial cells) makes it difficult to
133 reflect the physiological dynamics of the disease with accu-
134 racy. Furthermore, different expression profiles in the cell
135 lines, as compared with the patient tumors or xenografts,
136 have been reported,^{16,17} with the potential selection of more
137 aggressive clones during the generation of the cell lines.¹⁸
138 Additionally, normal pancreatic ductal cells are challenging
139 and difficult to maintain in culture, so the comparison be-
140 tween normal cells and tumor cells is often not possible.
141 Finally, repeated passaging of cell lines inevitably results in
142 genetic drift.

143 Xenografts

144 Xenograft models consist of implantation of pancreatic
145 cancer cells either orthotopically or ectopically (usually
146 subcutaneously) into immunodeficient mice, generating a
147 tumor that might recapitulate a human tumor. Although this
148 approach does offer a better representation of human tu-
149 mors as compared with *in vitro* cell lines, problems with
150 fidelity and therapeutic response has been reported.^{19–21}
151 One potential way of surmounting these limitations in-
152 volves developing xenografts from patient tumors, which
153 are known as patient-derived tumor xenografts (PDX). PDX
154 helps recapitulate the genetic and phenotypic alterations of
155 human tumors more effectively, which makes them a useful
156 tool for determining drug response,²² with a positive pre-
157 dictive value of more than 80%.²³ There are limitations with
158 PDX, including the requirement for a large amount of tissue,
159 expense, and time.²⁴

160 Genetically Engineered Mouse Models

161 This model involves the use of genetic engineering
162 techniques that can either activate or silence gene expres-
163 sion in mice to model disease characteristics. Genetically
164 engineered mouse models (GEMMs) are an excellent
165 approach to study disease progression from early stages
166 (even PanIN) to primary/metastatic PDAC.²⁵ Some of the
167 advantages in autochthonous models are that tumors
168 develop spontaneously, interactions between the stroma
169 and the tumor are maintained, tumor microenvironment
170 dynamics are captured, and metastatic biology can be
171 investigated. The use of GEMMs facilitates investigation of

172 specific mutations under spatiotemporal control using the
173 *Cre-LoxP* system, among other systems. The most
174 frequently used mouse models take advantage of pancreas-
175 specific cre-lines (eg, *Pdx1-Cre* or *Ptf1a-Cre*) in combination
176 with a mutant allele of *Kras*^{G12D} (which activates the gene
177 driven by its endogenous promoter) and *TP53* loss.^{26,27}
178 This model phenocopies the human disease with high
179 fidelity. More recently, the Saur group introduced the next-
180 generation of GEMMs by combining 2 independent recom-
181 binase systems (*Cre-LoxP* and *Flp-FRT*), thereby allowing
182 manipulation of distinct compartments (tumor and stroma)
183 at specific time points within the organism. This allows
184 investigators to ask specific questions regarding tumor-
185 stroma interactions or to explore factors required for
186 tumor maintenance.²⁸

187 Although GEMMs are extremely valuable for under-
188 standing tumor biology, the breeding and maintenance of
189 GEMMs are expensive and time consuming. Additionally,
190 gene mutations are being introduced typically into the
191 germline of the mouse, when they occur somatically in
192 human tumors. Nonetheless, the use of next-generation
193 mouse models and alternative approaches, such as unbi-
194 ased genetic forward screens,²⁹ may allow for additional
195 new insights.

196 Pancreatic Organotypic Model Systems

197 The ideal disease model should recapitulate the struc-
198 ture and genetic profile of the tissue under study, represent
199 the heterogeneity and different stages of the disease, and
200 respond to stimuli in a physiological manner. Moreover,
201 from a practical point of view, this model needs to be
202 feasible, reproducible, easy to maintain, and inexpensive.
203 Therefore, even after recognizing the many strengths and
204 applications of GEMMs, it is not a perfect model. Nonethe-
205 less, in recent years, there has been parallel attention to 3D
206 cell culture and 3D organoid model systems.

207 Three-Dimensional Cell Culture

208 The 3D cell culture technique prevents cells from
209 attaching to the bottom of the plate by maintaining the cells
210 in suspension or embedding them in a matrix.³⁰ Initial
211 attempts to establish 3D cultures from normal and tumor
212 cells were unsuccessful, because of lack of cell viability and
213 limited longevity.^{31–33} However, in recent years, multiple
214 laboratories, including ours, have developed 3D cultures of
215 murine and human tissues, using slightly different condi-
216 tions with specialized matrices to maintain cell-cell and cell-
217 matrix interactions and polarized structures.^{34–39}

218 3D cultures of epithelial cells give rise to spheres.
219 Pancreatic spheres can be generated from pancreatic ductal
220 and acinar cells.⁴⁰ Pancreatic spheroids may mimic some of
221 the *in vivo* characteristics of PDAC, such as microenviron-
222 mental factors and drug responses.^{37,41–43} 3D spheres from
223 embryonic pancreatic cells resemble some aspects of
224 pancreatic development, and display expression of PDX1
225 and SOX9.⁴⁴ Spheres generated from ductal cells have been
226 used to study pancreatic carcinogenesis, especially the role
227 of mutant *KRAS*, and with application to drug testing.^{35,45–47}

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8376270>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8376270>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)