

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications





A local existence result for an optimal control problem modeling the manoeuvring of an underwater vehicle

Francisco Periago ^a, Jorge Tiago ^{b,*}

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 6 April 2009 Accepted 2 September 2009

Keywords:
Submarine
Manoeuvrability control
Optimal control problem
Relaxation
Young measures
Existence theory

ABSTRACT

We prove a local existence result for the manoeuvrability control of a submarine. The problem is formulated as an optimal control problem with a nonlinear and highly coupled system of ODEs for the state law, a Lagrange-type cost function, and nonlinear controls which take values on a convex and compact subset of \mathbb{R}^3 . Finally, the existence of solution for this problem is obtained by applying a recent general existence result (see [P. Pedregal and J. Tiago, Existence results for optimal control problems with some special non-linear dependence on state and control, SIAM J. Control Optim. 48 (2) (2009) 415–437]) which, however, requires some modifications in order to be applicable in our specific case.

© 2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In this paper we turn over the existence of solution for the model of manoeuvrability control of a submarine which has been recently proposed in [1] (see also [2–4]). It corresponds to a real-life engineering problem, so all the hypotheses and ingredients that we will consider in the sequel are motivated by real (non-academic) requirements. To describe such a model, a state vector is defined:

$$\mathbf{x} = (x, y, z, \phi, \theta, \psi, u, v, w, p, q, r) \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{12},$$
(1)

where $X_{world} = (x, y, z; \phi, \theta, \psi)$ indicates the position and orientation of the submarine in the world fixed coordinate system, and $V_{body} = (u, v, w; p, q, r)$ is the vector of linear and angular velocities measured in the body coordinate system. Throughout this paper we follow the usual SNAME notation [2]. Permitted ranges of Euler angles are

$$-\pi < \phi < \pi, \qquad -\frac{\pi}{2} < \theta < \frac{\pi}{2}, \qquad 0 < \psi < 2\pi,$$
 (2)

and so

$$\Omega = \mathbb{R}^3 \times] - \pi, \pi[\times] - \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2} [\times] - 0, 2\pi[\times \mathbb{R}^6]$$

The control vector is

$$\mathbf{u} = (\delta_b, \delta_s, \delta_r) \,, \tag{3}$$

^a Departamento de Matemática Aplicada y Estadística, ETSI Industriales, Universidad Politécnica de Cartagena, 30202 Cartagena, Spain

^b Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha, ETSI Industriales, 13071 Ciudad Real, Spain

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +34 926295300; fax: +34 926295361.

E-mail addresses: f.periago@upct.es (F. Periago), jorge.tiago@uclm.es, jfdtiago@gmail.com (J. Tiago).

where δ_b and δ_s represent, respectively, the angles of the bow and stern coupled planes, and δ_r is the deflection of the rudder. These controls act on the system in linear and quadratic forms. Therefore, it is convenient to consider the mapping

$$\Phi(\mathbf{u}) = (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}^2) \equiv (\delta_b, \delta_s, \delta_r, \delta_b^2, \delta_s^2, \delta_r^2) \in \mathbb{R}^6.$$

Admissible controls \mathbf{u} are measurable functions that should lie in a certain set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, which, in our case, is given by

$$K = [-a_1, a_1] \times [-a_2, a_2] \times [-a_3, a_3],$$

with $0 < a_1, a_2, a_3 < \pi/2$. Finally, the state law is described by a system of twelve ordinary differential equations

$$\mathbf{x}'(t) = Q(\mathbf{x}(t)) \Phi(\mathbf{u}(t)) + Q_0(\mathbf{x}(t)) \tag{4}$$

where

$$0: \mathbb{R}^{12} \to \mathcal{M}^{12 \times 6}$$
 and $O_0: \mathbb{R}^{12} \to \mathbb{R}^{12}$

will be described in Section 3. At this point, we just indicate that the right-hand side of (4) includes both kinematic and dynamic equations of motion (see [1-4] for more details).

The manoeuvrability control problem for an underwater vehicle relates to a situation where we want to reach (or to be very close to) a final state \mathbf{x}^T in time T, while minimizing the use of control during the time interval [0, T]. Typically, this type of problem is formulated as an exact or approximate controllability problem. However, in many real situations, there is no need for *all* the components of the state variable to be close to a final target \mathbf{x}^T at time T, but only *some of them* (see [1] for some examples). To the knowledge of the authors, there are no satisfactory results in the literature for these nonlinear controllability problems in which controls appear in a nonlinear form. Notice also that if a controllability problem has a solution, then such a solution may be obtained by using an optimal control formulation as we propose below.

The requirement for the minimum use of control can be understood as minimizing the typical cost

$$\int_0^T \|\mathbf{u}(t)\|^2 \, \mathrm{d}t \tag{5}$$

while the previous aspect of the final state \mathbf{x}^{T} can be seen as minimizing

$$\frac{1}{2} \left\| \mathbf{x} (T) - \mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}} \right\|^{2} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\mathsf{T}} \frac{\mathsf{d}}{\mathsf{d}t} \left\| \mathbf{x} (t) - \mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}} \right\|^{2} \mathsf{d}t + \frac{1}{2} \left\| \mathbf{x} (0) - \mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}} \right\|^{2} \\
= \int_{0}^{\mathsf{T}} \left\langle \mathbf{x} (t) - \mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}}, \ Q (\mathbf{x} (t)) \Phi (\mathbf{u} (t)) + Q_{0} (\mathbf{x} (t)) \right\rangle \mathsf{d}t + \frac{1}{2} \left\| \mathbf{x} (0) - \mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}} \right\|^{2}.$$

Hence, we consider the cost

$$\int_{0}^{T} \left[\left\langle \mathbf{x}\left(t\right) - \mathbf{x}^{T}, \ Q\left(\mathbf{x}\left(t\right)\right) \Phi\left(\mathbf{u}\left(t\right)\right) + Q_{0}\left(\mathbf{x}\left(t\right)\right) \right\rangle + \left\|\mathbf{u}\left(t\right)\right\|^{2} \right] dt = \int_{0}^{T} \left[c\left(\mathbf{x}\left(t\right)\right) \Phi\left(\mathbf{u}\left(t\right)\right) + c_{0}\left(\mathbf{x}\left(t\right)\right) \right] dt$$

where the vector c is given by

$$\begin{cases} c_i(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{j=1}^{12} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^{T})_j Q_{ji}, & i = 1, 2, 3, \\ c_i(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{j=1}^{12} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^{T})_j Q_{ji} + 1, & i = 4, 5, 6, \end{cases}$$

and

$$c_0(\mathbf{x}) = \langle \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{T}}, Q_0(\mathbf{x}) \rangle$$

Notice that the above expression for vector c is a particular case of a more general expression

$$\begin{cases} \hat{c}_i\left(\mathbf{x}\right) = \sum_{j=1}^{12} \alpha_j \left(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)_j Q_{ji}, & i = 1, 2, 3, \\ \hat{c}_i\left(\mathbf{x}\right) = \sum_{j=1}^{12} \alpha_j \left(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)_j Q_{ji} + \beta_i, & i = 4, 5, 6, \end{cases}$$

where $\alpha_j \ge 0$, $1 \le j \le 12$, and $\beta_i \ge 0$, $4 \le i \le 6$, correspond to some penalty parameters which are introduced to weigh at convenience (5) and the fact that some components of the state vector are close to a final given target at time T. For some interesting (from the practical point of view) choices of the penalty parameters, we refer the reader to [1]. For simplicity and since it does not change the problem mathematically, in the sequel we have taken these parameters equal to 1.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/838327

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/838327

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>