

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

SciVerse ScienceDirect

European Journal of **PROTISTOLOGY**

European Journal of Protistology 48 (2012) 89-93

Short communication

www.elsevier.com/locate/ejop

A genomic survey shows that the haloarchaeal type tyrosyl tRNA synthetase is not a synapomorphy of opisthokonts

John D.L. Shadwick^a, Iñaki Ruiz-Trillo^{a,b,*}

^aDepartament de Genètica & Institut de Recerca en Biodiversitat (Irbio), Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain ^bInstitució Catalana per a la Recerca i Estudis Avançats (ICREA), Passeig Lluís Companys, 23, 08010 Barcelona, Spain

Received 9 June 2011; received in revised form 4 October 2011; accepted 25 October 2011 Available online 29 December 2011

Abstract

The haloarchaeal-type tyrosyl tRNA synthetase (tyrRS) have previously been proposed to be a molecular synapomorphy of the opisthokonts. To re-evaluate this we have performed a taxon-wide genomic survey of tyrRS in eukaryotes and prokaryotes. Our phylogenetic trees group eukaryotes with archaea, with all opisthokonts sharing the haloarchaeal-type tyrRS. However, this type of tyrRS is not exclusive to opisthokonts, since it also encoded by two amoebozoans. Whether this is a consequence of lateral gene transfer or lineage sorting remains unsolved, but in any case haloarchaeal-type tyrRS is not a synapomorphy of opisthokonts. This demonstrates that molecular markers should be re-evaluated once a better taxon sampling becomes available. © 2011 Elsevier GmbH. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Molecular markers; Opisthokonts; Lateral gene transfer; Amoebozoa; Lineage sorting

Introduction

The Opisthokonta is an evolutionary eukaryotic superclade composed of metazoans, fungi, and their unicellular and colonial relatives, i.e., the choanoflagellates, the filastereans, the ichthyosporeans, the nucleariids, the aggregating amoeba *Fonticula alba*, and the difficult to place *Corallochytrium* (Adl et al. 2005; Cavalier-Smith and Chao 2003; Steenkamp and Baldauf 2004; see Paps and Ruiz-Trillo 2010 for a recent review). The monophyly of the opisthokonts is supported by single gene, multiple gene and phylogenomic datasets (Brown et al. 2009; Lang et al. 2002; Medina et al. 2003; Ruiz-Trillo et al. 2004; Ruiz-Trillo et al. 2006; Ruiz-Trillo et al. 2008; Shalchian-Tabrizi et al. 2008; Steenkamp et al. 2006; Parfrey et al. 2010; Torruella et al. 2011) and by morphological characters including posteriorly directed flagella in flagellated forms, and flat mitochondrial cristae (Cavalier-Smith and Chao 2003). Molecular synapomorphies for the group have also been proposed including a 12 amino acid insertion in the elongation 1 alpha (EF1- α) gene (Baldauf and Palmer 1993), and a tyrosyl tRNA synthetase (tyrRS) gene that is most closely related to halophylic archaea and represents a possible ancient lateral gene transfer to the earliest opisthokonts (Huang et al. 2005).

With the advent of high throughput sequencing methods, dozens of eukaryotes have had all or a large part of their genomes sequenced. As new data become available it is worthwhile to reevaluate previously proposed molecular synapomorphies of the opisthokonts and see if they hold up under the weight of new data. The 12 amino acid insertion in the EF1- α gene has recently been re-evaluated and it continues to be exclusive to opisthokonts, and not present in any of the analyzed amoebozoan, apusozoan or bikont genomes (Sebé-Pedrós et al. 2010). In contrast, the tyrRS has so far only been re-analyzed using a very limited taxon sampling and some rather incomplete sequences (Huang and Gogarten

^{*}Corresponding author at: Universitat de Barcelona-Parc Científic de Barcelona Edifici Hèlix, Planta 1, Lab A04 Baldiri Reixac, 15-21 08028 Barcelona, Spain. Tel.: +34 93 402 04 82; fax: +34 93 403 44 20.

E-mail address: inaki.ruiz@icrea.es (I. Ruiz-Trillo).

^{0932-4739/\$ –} see front matter © 2011 Elsevier GmbH. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.ejop.2011.10.003

2009). To fill this gap, we have here reanalyzed the evolution of tyrRS with the broader possible taxon sampling.

Material and Methods

A large dataset of the tyrRS amino acid sequence was collected including 97 eukaryotes, 80 Archaea, and 197 Eubacteria, using a combination of BLASTp and tBLASTn and with different sequences and tyrRS types used as query. Two datasets were used for phylogenetic analyses, one with all 374 taxa from all three domains of life, and another with just the Archaea and eukaryotes. Only sequences that were at least 500 amino acids long were taken into account. Alignments were refined by eye using the program Geneious Pro for alignment editing. Conserved sections of the alignments were selected using Gblocks (Castresana 2000). After using Gblocks in the 374 taxon dataset, 182 conserved amino acid positions were recovered, while 187 conserved positions were recovered from the smaller Archaea and eukaryote dataset. An extra alignment including partial, putative tyrRS sequences of the choanoflagellate Monosiga ovata and the amoebozoan Hartmannella vermiformis was also done. Final alignments can be downloaded from the webpage www.multicellgenome.com or upon request. Phylogenetic trees were built using RaxML (Stamatakis 2006) for maximum likelihood and, for the smallest dataset, MrBayes (Ronquist and Huelsenbeck 2003) for Bayesian methods. In the RaxML and MrBayes runs we used the WAG substitution matrix with the gamma model of rate substitutions, and proportion of invariable sites estimated (WAG + Γ + I). In the RaxML runs 1000 bootstraps were estimated with the rapid bootstrapping option (Stamatakis et al. 2008).

Results and Discussion

To reevaluate tyrRS as a molecular marker we assembled the tyrRS amino acid sequences of a large number of Eubacteria, Archaea, and all of the eukaryotes of which we had knowledge. This added numerous eukaryotic lineages to the dataset of Huang et al. (2005) and Huang and Gogarten (2009), including ichthyosporeans, filastereans, and choanoflagellates in the opisthokonts, as well as cilliates, jakobids, stramenopiles, apusozoans, several more apicomplexans, kinetoplastids, many more plants and green algae, and several more *Plasmodium* species. We created trees from a large dataset that included all Eubacteria, Archaea, and eukaryotes (Fig. S1, Supplementary Material), and from a smaller dataset that included just Archaea and eukaryotes (Fig. 1).

The tyrRS trees that included taxa from all three domains (Fig. S1, Supplementary Material) had a monophyletic eubacterial clade with very long branches clustering within the Archaea clade. The eukaryotes were split into two well supported groups (Bootstrap Value (BV) = 100%), both of

them branching within archaeal clades. We thus made trees with just the Archaea and eukaryotes to get a better estimate of relationships in this clade (Fig. 1). The resultant tree had again the eukaryotes broken up into two monophyletic clades. One clade includes all opisthokonts and has a tyrRS gene most closely related to the haloarchaeal group with BV = 100%and Bayesian posterior probability (PP)=1.0. A second clade containing most other eukaryotes has a tyrRS gene more closely related to a clade containing crenarchaeotes, euryarchaeotes, and nanoarchaeotes with BV = 100% and PP = 1.0. Interestingly, as in Huang and Gogarten (2009) the eukaryotic+haloarchaea clade also includes some amoebozoans, specifically Acanthamoeba castellanii and Mastigamoeba balamuthi invalidating the haloarchaeal form of tyrRS as a synapomorphy of the opisthokonts. The nonopisthokont eukaryotic clade, includes, however, all the remaining Amoebozoa sampled, i.e., Entamoeba dispar, Entamoeba histolytica, Dictyostelium discoideum, and Dictyostelium purpureum. It also includes the apusozoan Theca*monas trahens*, the putative sister-group to the opisthokonts (Torruella et al. 2011). It is worth mentioning that Huang and Gogarten (2009) included expressed sequence tag data from the amoeobozoan Hartmannella vermiformis and the choanoflagellate Monosiga ovata in their analysis, but we did not include them in our final analysis due to their short sequence (see section 'Material and Methods') and the inability to check for contamination artifacts. However, a tree containing both those partial sequences is shown in Fig. S2, in which H. vermiformis also groups with the haloarchaeal type (as Acanthamoeba and Mastigamoeba). M. ovata sequence appears, as in Huang and Gogarten (2009), with the nonopisthokonts, within a clade of Viridiplantae (see Fig. S2). With the current data and given that the sequence is partial, we can not discard, however, a contamination artifact. Moreover, there is no tyrRS sequence from other choanoflagellates, even the current genome assembly of the choanoflagellate Salpingoeca rosetta does not contain any tyrRS.

The presence of the haloarchaeal type of tyrRS gene in Acanthamoeba and Mastigamoeba (and maybe Hartmannella) but not in Entamoeba, Dictyostelium and Thecamonas may be due to one of several hypotheses: (1) this form of the tyrRS gene is ancestral to Acanthamoeba, Mastigamoeba, and opisthokonts, (2) lateral gene transfer (LGT) events, or (3) incomplete lineage sorting due to hidden paralogy. The first hypothesis, that the haloarchaeal tyrRS type is ancestral to Acanthamoeba, Mastigamoeba, and opisthokonts, will imply the opisthokonts are more closely related to Acanthamoeba and Mastigamoeba than to Entamoeba and Dictyostelium, and even Thecamonas, and it will imply amoebozoans are not monophyletic. This goes against new phylogenetic (Cavalier-Smith and Chao 2010) as well as phylogenomic (Torruella et al. 2011) data that strongly show the apusozoans, rather than the amoebozoans, as the sister group to opisthokonts. It also goes against phylogenomic data that show that Mastigamoeba is most closely related to Entamoeba (and Dictyostelium) than to Acanthamoeba (see panel Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8383721

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8383721

Daneshyari.com