Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://www.sciencedirect.com)

Nonlinear Analysis

[www.elsevier.com/locate/na](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/na)

## Slope estimate and boundary differentiability of infinity harmonic functions on convex domains $\hat{z}$

Guanghao Hong [∗](#page-0-1) , Dawei Liu

*School of Mathematics and Statistics, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, 710049, PR China*

#### a r t i c l e i n f o

*Article history:* Received 14 October 2015 Accepted 16 February 2016 Communicated by Enzo Mitidieri

*MSC:* 49N60 35J67

*Keywords:* Infinity harmonic function Boundary differentiability Convex domain Slope function

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a connected open set, an infinity harmonic function  $u \in C(\Omega)$  is a viscosity solution of the infinity Laplace equation

$$
\triangle_{\infty} u(x) := \sum_{1 \le i,j \le n} u_{x_i} u_{x_j} u_{x_ix_j} = 0, \quad x \in \Omega.
$$

The above equation was introduced by G. Aronsson in the 1960s [\[2,](#page--1-0)[3\]](#page--1-1) as the Euler–Lagrange equation of the *sup-norm variational problem* of  $|\nabla u|$  or the equivalent optimal Lipschitz extension problem.

Bhattacharya et al. [\[5\]](#page--1-2) proved the existence of infinity harmonic functions with a given boundary datum and Jensen [\[12\]](#page--1-3) proved the uniqueness (see also [\[1\]](#page--1-4)). Jensen [12] also showed that a function  $u \in C(\Omega)$  is an infinity harmonic function if and only if *u* is an *absolutely minimizing Lipschitz extension* that means *u*

<span id="page-0-1"></span>Corresponding author.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2016.02.018> 0362-546X/© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.





CrossMark



**Nonlinear Analysis** 



We study the boundary differentiability of infinity harmonic functions with given differentiable boundary data on convex domains. At a flat point (the boundary point where the blow-up of the domain is the half-space), the infinity harmonic function *u* is differentiable due to a previous result of the first author in Hong (2013). At a corner point (the boundary point where the blow-up of the domain is not the half-space), an example shows that *u* is not necessarily differentiable. In this paper, we establish a slope estimate for *u* at corner points and provide a necessary and sufficient condition for the differentiability of *u* at corner points.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

<span id="page-0-0"></span><sup>✩</sup> This work is supported by the National Nature Science Foundation of China (NSFC): 11301411.

*E-mail address:* [ghhongmath@mail.xjtu.edu.cn](mailto:ghhongmath@mail.xjtu.edu.cn) (G. Hong).

satisfies the following property: for any open set  $V \subset\subset \Omega$ ,

$$
\sup_{x \neq y \in \partial V} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|} = \sup_{x \neq y \in \bar{V}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|}.
$$

In 2001, Crandall–Evans–Gariepy [\[7\]](#page--1-5) introduced the revolutionary *comparison with cones property*. They proved that  $u \in C(\Omega)$  is an infinity harmonic function if and only if *u* enjoys the comparison with cones property: for any  $V \subset\subset \Omega$  and any cone function  $C(x) = a + b|x - z|$  with  $a, b \in R$ ,

$$
u(x) \le C(x) \quad \text{on } \partial(V \setminus \{z\}) \Rightarrow u(x) \le C(x) \quad \text{in } V;
$$
  

$$
u(x) \ge C(x) \quad \text{on } \partial(V \setminus \{z\}) \Rightarrow u(x) \ge C(x) \quad \text{in } V.
$$

The interior differentiability of *u* was achieved by Evans–Smart [\[9\]](#page--1-6). For dimension 2, Savin [\[14\]](#page--1-7) and Evans–Savin [\[8\]](#page--1-8) proved the  $C^1$  and  $C^{1,\alpha}$  regularity earlier. The continuous interior differentiability of *u* for general dimensions remains the most important open problem in this field.

The boundary regularity of infinity harmonic functions was initially studied by Wang–Yu [\[15\]](#page--1-9) and followed by the first author of this paper [\[10](#page--1-10)[,11\]](#page--1-11). Wang–Yu proved that *u* is differentiable on the boundary if both  $\partial\Omega$  and the boundary condition *g* are  $C^1$ . For dimension 2, they proved that *u* is  $C^1$  on the boundary if both  $\partial\Omega$  and the boundary condition *g* are  $C^2$ . In [\[10\]](#page--1-10), we improved their first result to the following: if both  $\partial\Omega$  and *g* are differentiable at a boundary point  $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$ , then *u* is differentiable at  $x_0$ . In [\[11\]](#page--1-11), the author provided a counterexample to show that |*Du*| can be discontinuous along the boundary if we only assume  $\partial\Omega$  is  $C^1$  even if *g* is smooth and the dimension is 2.

In this paper, we further study the boundary regularity by considering the convex domains. At a boundary point of a convex domain, the blow-up of the domain always uniquely exists and is a convex cone. There are exactly two cases: if the blow-up is a half-space, we call the boundary point a *flat point*, and in this case, *∂*Ω is differentiable at this point; if the blow-up is not a half-space, we call the boundary point a *corner point*, and in this case, Ω is contained in the intersection of two different half-spaces. At a flat point, the boundary is differentiable, thus *u* is differentiable at this point if *g* is so due to the result in [\[10\]](#page--1-10). The corner point case is more complicated and interesting. [Example 1](#page--1-12) in Section [2](#page-1-0) shows that the differentiability of *g* cannot guarantee the differentiability of *u* in general. In Section [3,](#page--1-13) we prove that if *g* is differentiable at a corner point  $x_0$ , then the slope function (defined in Section [2\)](#page-1-0)  $S(x_0) \le |Dg(x_0)|$ . That is, we have good control on the slope of *u* at  $x_0$  although *u* may be not differentiable at  $x_0$ . In Section [4,](#page--1-14) we prove that if *g* is differentiable at a corner point  $x_0$ , then when  $x_0 + tDg(x_0) \notin \Omega$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}u$  is differentiable at  $x_0$ ; when  $x_0 + tDg(x_0) \in \Omega$  for some  $t \in \mathbb{R}u$  is differentiable at  $x_0$  if and only if  $S(x_0) = |Dg(x_0)|$ .

It is very interesting to compare our result with the work of Li D.S. and Wang L.H. for uniformly elliptic equations on convex domains [\[13\]](#page--1-15). For uniformly elliptic equations, at corner points, the differentiability of the boundary data *g* guarantees the differentiability of the solution; while at flat points, an extra Dini condition on *g* is necessary for the differentiability of the solution (see Theorem 1.2 in [\[13\]](#page--1-15)).

#### <span id="page-1-0"></span>2. Preliminary

Throughout this paper, we assume  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a bounded convex domain, and  $u \in C(\overline{\Omega})$  is an infinity harmonic function in  $\Omega$  and  $u|_{\partial\Omega} = g$ .

Let  $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$  is a boundary point under study. The set  $\Omega_{x_0}^t := \{t(y - x_0) : y \in \Omega\}$  with  $t > 0$  is nondecreasing due to the convexity of  $\Omega$ . So the blow up of  $\Omega$  at  $x_0 \Omega_{x_0}^{\infty} := \bigcup_{t>0} \Omega_{x_0}^t$  exists and is a convex cone with 0 as its vertex. If  $\Omega_{x_0}^{\infty} = \{x_n > 0\}$  under some coordinates system, we say  $x_0$  is a flat point. Otherwise,  $\Omega_{x_0}^{\infty}$  is strictly contained in a half space. In this case, one can prove (using separation theorem of convex sets) that there exists  $\delta > 0$ , such that  $\Omega_{x_0}^{\infty} \subset \{x_n > \delta | x_{n-1}|\}$  under some coordinates system, and we call  $x_0$  a corner point.

Download English Version:

# <https://daneshyari.com/en/article/839286>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/839286>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)