



Steady state solutions for a general activator–inhibitor model



Shaohua Chen*

School of Science and Technology, Cape Breton University, Sydney, NS, Canada, B1P 6L2
 College of Science, Harbin Engineering University, Harbin 150001, PR China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 15 July 2015

Accepted 19 January 2016

Communicated by S. Carl

Keywords:

Steady state solutions

Singular Gierer–Meinhardt systems

Dirichlet boundary conditions

ABSTRACT

We discuss existence results for a singular Gierer–Meinhardt elliptic system with zero Dirichlet boundary conditions, which originally arose in studies of pattern formation in biology and has interesting and challenging mathematical properties. The mathematical difficulties are that the system becomes singular near the boundary and it is non-quasimonotone. We show the existence of positive solutions for the general activator–inhibitor model.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The generalized Gierer–Meinhardt or activator–inhibitor model described by

$$\begin{cases} u_t = D_1 \Delta u - \mu u + \frac{u^p}{v^q} + \rho(x), & x \in \Omega, t > 0, \\ v_t = D_2 \Delta v - \nu v + \frac{u^r}{v^s}, & x \in \Omega, t > 0, \\ u(x, 0) = \phi(x) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad v(x, 0) = \psi(x) > 0, & x \in \Omega, \\ u = v = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, t > 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

often occurs in the study of biological pattern formation. Here $D_1, D_2, \mu, \nu, p, q, r, s$ are positive and Ω is a bounded domain in R^N with a smooth boundary. u and v represent the concentrations of the activator and inhibitor, respectively, $\rho(x) \geq 0$ (see [8,9]). Such systems are difficult to treat due to the lack of a variational structure or a priori estimates. Although boundary conditions were not explicitly mentioned in the original paper, most works assume a bounded domain and Neumann boundary conditions (see [1,3,13]). Many authors have investigated Dirichlet boundary conditions (see [4,5,7,11]). In this paper, we consider

* Correspondence to: School of Science and Technology, Cape Breton University, Sydney, NS, Canada, B1P 6L2.
 E-mail address: george_chen@cbu.ca.

the existence of the steady state solutions to (1.1):

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u - \mu u + \frac{u^p}{v^q} + \rho(x) = 0, & x \in \Omega, \\ \Delta v - \nu v + \frac{u^r}{v^s} = 0, & x \in \Omega, \\ u = v = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

Choi and McKenna [4] obtained the existence of radially symmetric solutions in the case $\Omega = (0, 1)$ or Ω is a ball in R^2 and $p = r > 1, q = 1, s = 0$, that is,

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u - \mu u + \frac{u^p}{v} + \rho(x) = 0, & x \in \Omega, \\ \Delta v - \nu v + u^p = 0, & x \in \Omega, \\ u = v = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Kim [11] shown that the solution is $C^2(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$ if $p = r, q = s, q > p - 1 > 0$ and $0 < \nu < \mu$. Ghergu and Radulescu [7] proved the existence results if $r - p = s - q \geq 0$ and $p - q < 1$. Furthermore, the solution is unique if $\Omega = (0, 1)$. On the other hand, the system has no positive solutions if one of the following conditions holds:

1. $s > 1$ and $2q \geq (s + 1)(p + 2)$.
2. $s = 1$ and $q > p + 2$.
3. $0 < s < 1$ and $q \geq p + 2$.

Later, Ghergu [5] assumed that $0 < p < 1$ and

1. if $q \leq p$ and $s \leq r$, then the system has a solution which belongs to $C^2(\bar{\Omega}) \times C^2(\bar{\Omega})$;
2. if $-1 < p - q < 0$ and $-1 < r - s < 0$, then the system has a solution which belongs to $C^2(\Omega) \cap C^{1,1+p-q}(\bar{\Omega}) \times C^2(\Omega) \cap C^{1,1+r-s}(\bar{\Omega})$.

He also obtained an interesting result for a singular and general elliptic system in [6].

In this paper, we use a new functional method to obtain the bounds for the system and then use the Leray–Schauder fixed-point theorem to prove the existence of a pair of positive solutions under suitable conditions (see the table and figure below). The new functional method is a very powerful method to obtain a priori estimates for elliptic and parabolic equations (see [1,2]).

In order to compare our results with previous ones, we list all work’s (p, q, r, s) conditions in the following table and draw Fig. 1 to show the region of solution existence for $1 < p, q < 2$:

Paper	p	q	r	s
[1]	> 1	$= 1$	$= p$	$= 0$
[11]	≥ 1	$> p - 1$	$= p$	$= r$
[10]	≥ 1	$> p - 1$		$= r + q - p$
This	≥ 1	$> p - 1$	$> p - 1$	$q - 1 < s < r + 1$ either $\geq r + \max(q - p, -1)$ or $(q - 2)r / (p - 1) + 1 < s < r + q - p$

It is easy to see that the regions of the previous results are only a point or a line in rs-plane.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we prove a generalized Young’s inequality and an inequality related to the Laplace operator, then in Section 3 we present our main results and their proofs.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/839359>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/839359>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)