Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Nonlinear Analysis

www.elsevier.com/locate/na

# Second order estimates for boundary blow-up solutions of elliptic equations with an additive gradient term

Ester Giarrusso<sup>a,\*</sup>, Giovanni Porru<sup>b</sup>

 <sup>a</sup> Dipartimento di Matematica e Applicazioni "Renato Caccioppoli", University of Naples Federico II, MSA, Naples, Italy
 <sup>b</sup> Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica, University of Cagliari, Cagliari, Italy

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 3 June 2015 Accepted 8 September 2015 Communicated by Enzo Mitidieri

MSC: 35J25 35J62 35J67 35B40 35B44 Keywords:

Blow-up solutions Quasilinear elliptic equations with additive gradient term Second order effect

### 1. Introduction

We study the boundary blowup problem

$$\Delta u - \ell |Du|^{\frac{2p}{p+1}} = u^p \quad \text{in } \Omega, \ u \to \infty \text{ as } x \to \partial\Omega, \tag{1}$$

where  $\Omega$  is a bounded smooth domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $N \ge 2$ ,  $0 \le \ell \le 1$  and p > 1. We are interested in the behavior of the solution u near the boundary  $\partial \Omega$ . These solutions are called "blowup" or "large" solutions.

It is well known that the first order approximation of a large solution to the equation  $\Delta u = u^p$ , p > 1, depends only on the function  $\delta(x) = \text{dist}(x, \partial \Omega)$  (it does not depend on the geometry of the domain  $\Omega$ ). In

\* Corresponding author.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2015.09.008

#### ABSTRACT

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be a bounded smooth domain and let  $0 \leq \ell \leq 1, p > 1$ . We investigate the effect of the mean curvature of the boundary  $\partial \Omega$  on the behavior of the solution to the problem  $\Delta u - \ell |Du|^{\frac{2p}{p+1}} = u^p$  in  $\Omega, u = \infty$  on  $\partial \Omega$ . We find an asymptotic expansion up to the second order of the solution u in terms of the distance from xto the boundary  $\partial \Omega$ .

 $\odot$  2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.







E-mail addresses: ester.giarrusso@unina.it (E. Giarrusso), porru@unica.it (G. Porru).

 $<sup>0362\</sup>text{-}546\mathrm{X}/\odot$  2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

fact it turns out that, near the boundary, [6,17]

$$u(x) = \left(\frac{p-1}{\sqrt{2(p+1)}}\delta(x)\right)^{\frac{2}{1-p}} (1+o(1)),$$
(2)

where  $o(1) \to 0$  as  $\delta(x) \to 0$ .

Lately, for p > 3 this result was improved by Lazer and McKenna [16], who proved that

$$u(x) = \left(\frac{p-1}{\sqrt{2(p+1)}}\delta(x)\right)^{\frac{2}{1-p}} + o(1).$$

A further deeper analysis on the behavior of u(x), carried out in [10] for p < 3 and in [2,3,7,8] for p > 1, shows that the second order effect in the expansion of u(x) near the boundary depends on the mean curvature  $H(\bar{x})$  of  $\partial \Omega$  at the nearest point  $\bar{x}$  to x. Namely, it results:

$$u(x) = \left(\frac{p-1}{\sqrt{2(p+1)}}\delta(x)\right)^{\frac{2}{1-p}} \left[1 + \frac{(N-1)H(\overline{x})}{p+3}\delta(x) + o(\delta)\right]$$

as  $x \to \partial \Omega$ .

The previous results were extended also to more general non linearities of the right hand side and more general nonlinear elliptic operators (see, for example [1,5,8,9,12,15,18-20,22,23] and the survey paper [21]).

As for the equation  $\Delta u = u^p |Du|^q$  with  $0 \le q < (p+3)/(p+2)$ , in [13] it was proved the following expansion:

$$u(x) = \varphi(\delta(x)) \left[ 1 + \frac{(2-q)(N-1)H(\overline{x})}{2(p+3-q(p+2))} \delta(x) + o(\delta) \right],$$

where

$$\varphi(t) = \left(\frac{2-q}{p+q-1}\right)^{\frac{2-q}{p+q-1}} \left(\frac{p+1}{2-q}\right)^{\frac{1}{p+q-1}} t^{\frac{q-2}{p+q-1}}.$$

The problem

$$\Delta u - |Du|^q = f(u) \text{ in } \Omega, \ u(x) \to \infty \text{ as } x \to \partial \Omega,$$

where f(u) is increasing, unbounded and smooth, was studied in [4]. The authors showed how the main asymptotic behavior of u is strongly influenced by the additive term  $-|Du|^q$ . For instance, when  $f(u) = u^p$ , (2) is still valid if p > 1 and  $q < \frac{2p}{p+1}$ ; on the contrary, if  $\max\left\{1, \frac{2p}{p+1}\right\} < q < 2$  and p > 0 then near the boundary

$$u(x) = \frac{1}{2-q} \left[ (q-1)\delta(x) \right]^{\frac{q-2}{q-1}} (1+o(1)).$$

For the special case (1) we derive from the results in [11] that

$$u(x) = L(\delta(x))^{\frac{2}{1-p}} (1+o(1))$$

where L is the solution of the equation

$$\ell\left(\frac{2}{p-1}\right)^{\frac{2p}{p+1}}L^{\frac{p-1}{p+1}} + L^{p-1} = \frac{2(p+1)}{(p-1)^2}.$$
(3)

Actually, in [11]  $\ell = 1$ , but slightly modifying the proof therein one can take  $\ell \in (0, 1)$ . In some sense, we see from calculations that, when q = 2p/(p+1) and p > 1, the term  $|Du|^{\frac{2p}{p+1}}$  is "equivalent" to the term  $u^p$ .

Download English Version:

## https://daneshyari.com/en/article/839419

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/839419

Daneshyari.com