



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/addr

Q1 Profiles and technological requirements of urogenital probiotics

Q2 María E. Fátima Nader-Macías*, María Silvina Juárez Tomás

3 CERELA-CONICET, Centro de Referencia para Lactobacilos-Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas, Chacabuco 145, 4000 San Miguel de Tucumán, Tucumán, Argentina

4 A R T I C L E I N F O

5 Available online xxxx

7 Keywords:

8 Probiotics
9 Pharmabiotics
10 Female urogenital tract
11 Urogenital tract infections
12 Potential beneficial properties
13 Technological characteristics
14 Clinical assays

A B S T R A C T

Probiotics, defined as live microorganisms that, when administered in adequate amounts, confer a health benefit on the host, are considered a valid and novel alternative for the prevention and treatment of female urogenital tract infections. Lactobacilli, the predominant microorganisms of the healthy human vaginal microbiome, can be included as active pharmaceutical ingredients in probiotics products. Several requirements must be considered or criteria fulfilled during the development of a probiotic product or formula for the female urogenital tract. This review deals with the main selection criteria for urogenital probiotic microorganisms: host specificity, potential beneficial properties, functional specifications, technological characteristics and clinical trials used to test their effect on certain physiological and pathological conditions. Further studies are required to complement the current knowledge and support the clinical applications of probiotics in the urogenital tract. This therapy will allow the restoration of the ecological equilibrium of the urogenital tract microbiome as well as the recovery of the sexual and reproductive health of women.

© 2015 Published by Elsevier B.V.

32 Contents

| | | |
|----|--|---|
| 33 | 1. Introduction | 0 |
| 34 | 2. Vaginal microbiome. Ecological and functional aspects | 0 |
| 35 | 3. Urogenital tract infections (UGTI) and uses of urogenital probiotics | 0 |
| 36 | 3.1. UGTI | 0 |
| 37 | 3.2. Probiotics | 0 |
| 38 | 3.3. Clinical studies of urogenital probiotics | 0 |
| 39 | 4. Profile of beneficial microorganisms and probiotic products | 0 |
| 40 | 4.1. Host specificity | 0 |
| 41 | 4.2. LAB functional genomic. Specific genes | 0 |
| 42 | 4.3. Beneficial properties of probiotics for the urogenital tract | 0 |
| 43 | 4.3.1. Adhesion properties | 0 |
| 44 | 4.3.2. Aggregation and biofilm formation | 0 |
| 45 | 4.3.3. Enzymatic activities and production of biosurfactants | 0 |
| 46 | 4.3.4. Production of antagonistic substances | 0 |
| 47 | 4.3.5. Competition by nutrients | 0 |
| 48 | 4.3.6. Inhibition of pathogen colonization: animal experimental model | 0 |
| 49 | 4.3.7. Immune system modulation | 0 |
| 50 | 4.4. Compatibility of strains, safety and resistance to the environmental conditions | 0 |
| 51 | 4.4.1. Resistance to antibiotics | 0 |
| 52 | 4.4.2. Production of enzymes related to deleterious effects | 0 |
| 53 | 4.4.3. Animal studies for evaluation of safety | 0 |

Abbreviations: API, Active pharmaceutical ingredients; AV, Aerobic vaginitis; BV, Bacterial vaginosis; CFU, Colony forming units; CRL, Centro de Referencia para Lactobacilos Culture Collection; CVF, Cervicovaginal fluid; EFSA, European Food Safety Authority; FGM, Food Grade Microorganisms; FGT, Female genital tract; GRAS, Generally regarded as safe; HM, Human microbiome; ISAPP, International Scientific Association for Probiotics and Prebiotics; LAB, Lactic acid bacteria; PAMP, Molecular patterns associated with pathogens; QPS, Qualified presumption of safety; TLR, Toll-like receptors; UGTI, Urogenital tract infections; UTI, Urinary tract infections; VVC, Vulvovaginal candidiasis.

* Corresponding author at: Centro de Referencia para Lactobacilos CERELA-CONICET, Chacabuco 145, 4000 San Miguel de Tucumán, Tucumán, Argentina. Tel.: +54 381 431 1720; fax: +54 381 431 0465.

E-mail address: fnader@cerela.org.ar (M.E.F. Nader-Macías).

| | | | |
|----|--------|---|---|
| 54 | 4.5. | Technological properties of urogenital probiotics | 0 |
| 55 | 4.5.1. | API optimal production for urogenital probiotics | 0 |
| 56 | 4.5.2. | Storage of API of interest and formulation of urogenital probiotics | 0 |
| 57 | 4.5.3. | Probiotic products for the urogenital tract | 0 |
| 58 | 5. | Concluding remarks | 0 |
| 59 | | Acknowledgements | 0 |
| 60 | | References | 0 |

61

62 1. Introduction

63 Human beings are colonized by a diverse and complex collection of
64 microbes, contributing all of them to host nutrition, development of
65 the immune system, response to pathogens and mucosal cell differentia-
66 tion and proliferation. The knowledge of these communities and their
67 gene contents has been referred collectively as the *human microbiome*
68 (*HM*), supported by a NIH-funded project consortium [1,2]. The
69 human microbiome is a complex system of many microbial communi-
70 ties inhabiting a diversity of environmental niches throughout the
71 human body. Until recently, technological limitations precluded the
72 global characterization of the human microbiome in terms of composi-
73 tion, diversity and dynamics. Massive parallel sequencing and other
74 high throughput approaches have offered novel ways to explore and ex-
75 amine the microbiota from different human body cavities that includes
76 eukaryotes, archae, bacteria and viruses. The sequences of more than
77 1000 bacterial genomes are now available and it is interesting to remark
78 that bacteria numbers within an individual are estimated higher than
79 number of human cells by an order of magnitude [1–3].

80 The increase in microbiota-related research has provided important
81 advances toward the identity of specific microorganisms and microbial
82 groups or microbial molecules, their functions and relationships
83 between healthy-unhealthy status, being essential to the overall health
84 of the host by performing relevant physiological functions, protection
85 against pathogens and driven the development of the immune system
86 during neonatal life. Additional projects are investigating the
87 association of specific components and dynamics of the microbiome
88 with a variety of disease conditions. HM project encountered an
89 estimated 81–99% of the genera, enzyme families and community
90 configurations occupied by the healthy western microbiome [1,2].
91 Studies on this HM have revealed that healthy individuals differ remark-
92 ably in the microbes that occupy gut, skin and vagina. But the microbial
93 genera are highly dependent on the colonization of microorganisms
94 carried out after the newborn delivery, on the prevalent environmental
95 conditions and on different host factors that are modified through the
96 time. There is some remarkable similarities in the bacterial species
97 present in people with different ethnicity [3,4].

98 The microbiome colonizing the human body provides the host a huge
99 coding and metabolic activities as will be described later [1,2,5–8].
100 Among this microbiota there are health-promoting indigenous species
101 that are commonly consumed as live supplements [9].

102 Lactic acid bacteria (LAB) and some related genera were isolated
103 from almost all the mucosa and human tracts. Referred specifically to
104 the genus *Lactobacillus*, there are around 202 different described species
105 up to present (<http://www.bacterio.net/lactobacillus.html>) and more
106 than 100 with their chromosomal DNA sequenced. Their genomes
107 have sizes varying from 1.8 to 3.3 MB, and their G+C content range
108 from 33 to 51%. In such a way, a *Lactobacillus* core genome has been
109 described, constituted by 383 sets of orthologous genes, designed as
110 *Lactobacillus* core genome [5,10,11].

111 2. Vaginal microbiome. Ecological and functional aspects

112 Vaginal microbiota forms a mutually beneficial relationship with
113 their host and has a major impact on health and disease. In the vaginal

microbiome, lactobacilli constitute the dominant proportion (80%) of
bacteria inhabiting the healthy women's vagina [1,2,5–7,12,13]. Some
LAB strains have found to be endogenous from healthy human vagina,
where there is a rather stable microbiota [14–16]. LAB members are
consistently detected in healthy vaginal microbiota of different
ethnic groups and/or women living in different geographical
locations [7,17–22]. Four main species were identified: *Lactobacillus*
crispatus, *Lactobacillus iners*, *Lactobacillus jensenii* and *Lactobacillus*
gasseri, along with other lactobacilli at lesser extent, as *Lactobacillus*
acidophilus, *Lactobacillus ruminis*, *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* and
Lactobacillus vaginalis [7,15]. Our understanding of the vaginal mi-
crobial community composition and structure has significantly
broadened as a result of studies using cultivation-independent
methods based on the analysis of 16S ribosomal RNA (rRNA) gene se-
quences [6,10,11,15,23,24].

The high abundance of LAB is strongly associated with a healthy va-
gina, whereas a low abundance of LAB is more prevalent in women with
a pathological condition [6–9,13,14,16,19,25–27]. Eventhough the four
species indicated above are predominantly detected in human vagina,
co-dominance between LAB is not very frequent [1,7,15]. In asymptom-
atic, otherwise healthy women, several kinds of vaginal microbiota
exist, the majority often dominated by species of *Lactobacillus*, while
others are composed of a diverse array of anaerobic microorganisms
[7,8,13,15,21,27]. Ravel et al. [7] characterized the vaginal microbiome
of asymptomatic, sexually active women who represented four ethnic
groups (white, black, Hispanic, and Asian). The vaginal bacterial com-
munities were classified according to community composition in five
major groups. Communities in group I were dominated by *L. crispatus*,
whereas groups II, III and V were dominated by *L. gasseri*, *L. iners* and
L. jensenii, respectively. Group IV was highly heterogeneous and had
higher proportions of strictly anaerobic bacteria, including *Prevotella*,
Dialister, *Atopobium*, *Gardnerella*, *Megasphaera*, *Peptoniphilus*, *Sneathia*,
Eggerthella, *Aerococcus*, *Fingoldia*, and *Mobiluncus*. The proportions of
each community group varied among the four ethnic groups. Commu-
nities with high Nugent scores (criterion used to diagnose
bacterial vaginosis) were most often associated with communities in
group IV, but were also observed in communities belonging to
other groups.

Most of the *Lactobacillus* species described above were related to the
healthy vagina, but some other authors suggested that *L. iners* was fre-
quently isolated from non-healthy subjects [24]. Molecular-based and
culture-based techniques used in combination have indicated that in
the absence of lactobacilli, normality can be maintained by more fastid-
ious lactic acid producing bacteria [15]. The dominant *Lactobacillus*
species may differ racially or geographically, but the principle of numer-
ical dominance persists [6,7,17,18,20–22,27], indicating that the LAB
may be adapted to the vagina and possess characteristics enabling
them to thrive in that environment [28].

The temporal dynamics of vaginal communities are poorly known
because few studies have been done in which the same individuals are
frequently sampled and variation in community composition assessed
over time using cultivation-independent methods [24,26,29,30].
Fredricks [31] suggested that the vaginal microbiota can be highly
dynamic, with dramatic shifts in bacterial composition and concentra-
tions in response to numerous endogenous and exogenous factors.
Ravel et al. [7] proposed different hypothesis that could explain the

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8402798>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8402798>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)