



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews

journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/addr](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/addr)1 Dry powders for oral inhalation free of lactose carrier particles<sup>☆</sup>Q1 Anne Marie Healy<sup>\*</sup>, Maria Inês Amaro, Krzysztof J. Paluch, Lidia Tajber

3 School of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences, Trinity College Dublin, Dublin 2, Ireland

## 4 A R T I C L E I N F O

## 5 Article history:

6 Received 20 December 2013

7 Revised 24 March 2014

8 Accepted 4 April 2014

9 Available online xxxx

11 Theme Editors Francesca Ungaro and  
12 Rita Vanbever

## 13 Keywords:

14 Carrier-free

15 Dry powder inhalers

16 Particle engineering

17 Porous particles

18 Inhaler devices

19 Inhaler excipients

## A B S T R A C T

Dry powder inhaler (DPI) products have traditionally comprised a simple formulation of micronised drug mixed with a carrier excipient, typically lactose monohydrate. The presence of the carrier is aimed at overcoming issues of poor flowability and dispersibility, associated with the cohesive nature of small, micronised active pharmaceutical ingredient (API) particles. Both the powder blend and the DPI device must be carefully designed so as to ensure detachment of the micronised drug from the carrier excipient on inhalation.

Over the last two decades there has been a significant body of research undertaken on the design of carrier-free formulations for DPI products. Many of these formulations are based on sophisticated particle engineering techniques; a common aim in the formulation design of carrier-free products being to reduce the intrinsic cohesion of the particles, while maximising dispersion and delivery from the inhaler. In tandem with the development of alternative formulations has been the development of devices designed to ensure the efficient delivery and dispersion of carrier-free powder on inhalation. In this review we examine approaches to both the powder formulation and inhaler design for carrier-free DPI products.

© 2014 Published by Elsevier B.V.

## 38 Contents

39	1. Introduction . . . . .	0
40	2. Carrier-free formulations . . . . .	0
41	2.1. Spheroids . . . . .	0
42	2.2. Coated particles . . . . .	0
43	2.2.1. Coating by mechanofusion . . . . .	0
44	2.3. Spray dried particles . . . . .	0
45	2.3.1. Wrinkled particles . . . . .	0
46	2.3.2. Spray dried spheres . . . . .	0
47	2.4. PulmoSol™ powder technology . . . . .	0
48	2.5. Technosphere® powder technology . . . . .	0
49	2.6. Liposome-based particulate systems . . . . .	0
50	2.7. Porous particle technologies . . . . .	0
51	2.7.1. Large porous particles (LPPs)/AIR®/ARCUS™ . . . . .	0
52	2.7.2. PulmoSpheres® . . . . .	0
53	2.7.3. Emulgent-free nanoporous/nanoparticulate microparticles (NPMs) . . . . .	0

**Abbreviations:** API, active pharmaceutical ingredient; AUC, area under the curve; BSA, bovine serum albumin;  $C_{max}$ , peak concentration; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; DPI, dry powder inhaler; DPPC, dipalmitoylphosphatidylcholine; ED, emitted dose; EMA, European Medicines Agency; EMEA, European Medicines Evaluation Agency; FDA, Food and Drug Administration; FDKP, fumaryl diketopiperazine; FPF, fine particle fraction; G-CSF/M, granulocyte-colony stimulating factor/mannitol; HP $\beta$ CD, hydroxypropyl-beta-cyclodextrin; LPNP, large porous nanoparticulate; LPP, large porous particle; MCT, microstructured carrier tape; MMAD, mass median aerodynamic diameter; NIMs, nano-in-microparticles; NP, nanoparticle; NPMs, nanoporous/nanoparticulate microparticles; PEG, polyethylene glycol; PLGA, poly(lactic-co-glycolic) acid; SEM, scanning electron microscopy;  $scCO_2$ , supercritical carbon dioxide; SPION, superparamagnetic iron-oxide nanoparticle; TEM, transmission electron microscopy;  $t_{max}$ , peak time; TSI, tobramycin solution for inhalation.

<sup>☆</sup> This review is part of the *Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews* theme issue on "Improving the efficacy of inhaled drugs for severe lung diseases: emerging pulmonary delivery strategies".

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [healyam@tcd.ie](mailto:healyam@tcd.ie) (A.M. Healy).

54	2.8.	Trojan particles	0
55	2.8.1.	Trojan microparticles-LPNPs	0
56	2.8.2.	Trojan microparticles/magnetically targeted dry powder aerosols	0
57	2.9.	Adsorption/coacervation particle formation	0
58	3.	Excipients for DPI formulations	0
59	4.	Dry powder inhaler devices suitable for carrier-free formulations	0
60	4.1.	Marketed devices	0
61	4.1.1.	Turbohaler®	0
62	4.1.2.	Exubera®	0
63	4.1.3.	Podhaler™	0
64	4.1.4.	Turbospin™	0
65	4.1.5.	Staccato®	0
66	4.2.	Upcoming devices	0
67	4.2.1.	ARCUS® inhaler	0
68	4.2.2.	Cricket™ and Dreamboat™	0
69	4.2.3.	3M Taper™ DPI	0
70	4.2.4.	MicroDose DPI	0
71	4.3.	Devices under development	0
72	4.3.1.	Twincer™	0
73	4.3.2.	Dry powder inhaler – the University Of Western Ontario	0
74	5.	Conclusion	0
75		Acknowledgements	0
76		References	0

77

## 78 1. Introduction

79 The efficient delivery of an active pharmaceutical ingredient (API) to  
80 the respiratory tract from a dry powder inhaler (DPI) depends on four  
81 interdependent parameters – the formulation, the metering system  
82 (capsule, multi-unit dose and reservoir dose containment elements),  
83 the inhaler device and the patient's inhalation technique. In order to  
84 achieve good penetration into the pulmonary regions it is generally ac-  
85 cepted that particles should have an aerodynamic particle size between  
86 1 and 5 µm. However, particles of this low micron size have a high sur-  
87 face free energy, with a tendency to stick together (*via* cohesive forces)  
88 or to any surfaces they encounter (*via* adhesive forces), in an attempt to  
89 reduce the surface energy. Such small particles are thus very cohesive or  
90 “sticky” and exhibit poor flowability and aerosolisation performance,  
91 with a propensity to be retained in the inhaler if used alone. For this rea-  
92 son, in order to improve flowability and dispersion of API particles, the  
93 micronised API is usually mixed with an inert carrier or “flow aid” com-  
94 prising a population of coarse particles (50 to 100 µm in diameter) [1].

95 Lactose monohydrate is the most commonly used excipient carrier  
96 material in DPI formulations. Mixtures of the lactose with API are  
97 often called ordered or interactive mixtures, which are easier to handle  
98 during the manufacturing processes than micronised API alone. The use  
99 of a carrier excipient makes manipulation of small drug doses possible.  
100 A typical drug-to-carrier ratio is 1:67.5 [2,3]. The API particles should  
101 loosely adhere to the carrier particles and during inhalation in the tur-  
102 bulent airstream which is created, the API particles detach from the car-  
103 rier particles and are made available for deposition into the lungs. The  
104 larger carrier impacts in the mouth and at the back of the throat and is  
105 swallowed. The carrier excipient also provides bulk to the formulation,  
106 which improves the handling, dispensing, and metering of the drug,  
107 which is of particular importance for low dose formulations such as ste-  
108 roids (typical dose per actuation: 50 µg to 500 µg).

109 In order to ensure efficient delivery of API, it is critical that adhesive  
110 forces between the API and carrier are not so strong that detachment  
111 from the carrier is prevented. The balance between adhesive and cohe-  
112 sive forces should be adjusted to ensure sufficient adhesion between  
113 drug and carrier so as to provide a stable formulation (homogeneous  
114 blend with good content uniformity) but with adequate separation of  
115 API from carrier on inhalation. It has been recognised that the efficiency  
116 of a powder formulation is highly dependent on the lactose quality, lac-  
117 tose source, particle size and particle size distribution, fine-lactose

content, and the inhalation flow rate and dispersion capacity of the re- 118  
spective DPI device [4]. 119

120 The development of carrier-free dry powder inhaler formulations 120  
has the potential to overcome issues associated with lactose (or other 121  
carrier) as a critical component of the formulation. Problems of blend 122  
uniformity are avoided (for single API formulations) and the 123  
aerosolisation properties of the formulation will depend on the charac- 124  
teristics of the API particles or API-containing particles, together with 125  
DPI inhaler performance and the patient's inhalation technique. 126

127 Additionally, the absence or limited amount of excipient included in 127  
carrier-free formulations permits the inhaled powder mass to be limit- 128  
ed, and makes the delivery of high dose actives (*e.g.* antibiotics) to the 129  
lungs possible. Over the last two decades significant efforts have been 130  
invested in the design of carrier-free dry powder inhaler formulations, 131  
based on sophisticated particle engineering techniques, together with 132  
inhalers suitable for delivering such carrier-free powders efficiently to 133  
the patient. A common aim in developing carrier-free products is to re- 134  
duce the intrinsic cohesion of the particles, while maximising dispersion 135  
and delivery from the inhaler. 136

137 This review will present the particle technologies on which carrier-free 137  
DPI formulations are based, including platform technologies 138  
which have resulted in commercial products. Also presented is a short 139  
review of marketed dry powder inhalers (DPIs) which have been devel- 140  
oped to deliver these formulations, as well as inhaler devices currently 141  
in development. 142

## 143 2. Carrier-free formulations

### 144 2.1. Spheroids

145 Spheroids (soft aggregates) are manufactured by the controlled ag- 145  
glomeration (spheronisation) of micronised particles. Spheroids have 146  
large particle sizes (approximately 0.5 mm in diameter) and thus have 147  
appropriate flow properties, significantly better than micronised mate- 148  
rial, and exhibit little static charging during handling and operating [5]. 149  
Commercially they are used with the Turbohaler® device (see Section 4 150  
below) and loaded as spheroids into the inhaler, however they break up 151  
into individual, primary particles upon inspiration. It has been reported 152  
that the main drawback of such systems containing soft pellets is high 153  
variability in the emitted dose, as high as 15% in terms of a total relative 154  
standard deviation [6]. 155

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8403490>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8403490>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)