



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews

journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/addr](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/addr)

# Lipid-based carriers for pulmonary products: Preclinical development and case studies in humans<sup>☆</sup>

Q1 David Cipolla<sup>a,\*</sup>, Boris Shekunov<sup>b</sup>, Jim Blanchard<sup>a</sup>, Anthony Hickey<sup>c</sup>

4 <sup>a</sup> Aradigm Corporation, 3929 Point Eden Way, Hayward, CA 94545, USA

5 <sup>b</sup> Shire Corporation, 725 Chesterbrook Blvd, Wayne, PA 19087, USA

Q3 <sup>c</sup> RTI International, 3040 Cornwallis Road, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709, USA

## ARTICLE INFO

Available online xxxx

## Keywords:

Pulmonary delivery

Liposomes

Solid lipid nanoparticles

Nanostructured lipid carriers

Lipid microparticles

## ABSTRACT

A number of lipid-based technologies have been applied to pharmaceuticals to modify their drug release characteristics, and additionally, to improve the drug loading for poorly soluble drugs. These technologies, including solid-state lipid microparticles, many of which are porous in nature, liposomes, solid lipid nanoparticles and nanostructured lipid carriers, are increasingly being developed for inhalation applications. This article provides a review of the rationale for the use of these technologies in the pulmonary delivery of drugs, and summarizes the manufacturing processes and their limitations, the in vitro and in vivo performance of these systems, the safety of these lipid-based systems in the lung, and their promise for commercialization.

© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## Contents

30	1. Introduction	0
31	2. Lipid microparticles (LMs)	0
32	2.1. Background and aerosol requirements	0
33	2.2. Manufacture of LMs	0
34	2.3. Pulmonary opportunity for LMs	0
35	2.3.1. Pulmonary delivery of LMs in animals	0
36	2.3.2. Pulmonary delivery of LMs in humans	0
37	2.4. Safety of LMs	0
38	2.4.1. AIR insulin	0
39	2.4.2. Tobramycin inhalation powder (TIP)	0
40	2.5. Future perspective of LMs in respiratory applications	0
41	3. Liposomes	0
42	3.1. Background	0
43	3.2. Pulmonary opportunity	0
44	3.3. In vitro stability and performance	0
45	3.4. In vivo deposition, PK and efficacy	0
46	3.4.1. Pulmonary delivery of liposomes in animals	0
47	3.4.2. Pulmonary delivery of liposomes in humans	0

**Abbreviations:** AE, adverse event; API, active pharmaceutical ingredient; BDP, beclomethasone dipropionate; CF, cystic fibrosis; CFU, colony forming unit; CH, cholesterol; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; DLPC, dilauroyl PC; DPI, dry powder inhaler; DPPC, dipalmitoyl PC; DSPG, distearoyl PG; DTPA, diethylene triamine pentaacetic acid; EPC, egg PC; FEV1, forced expiratory volume in 1 s; FVC, forced vital capacity; hGH, human growth hormone; HRCT, high resolution computed tomography; HSA, human serum albumin; HSPC, hydrogenated SPC; IgG, immunoglobulin G; IM, intramuscular; IT, intratracheal; IV, intravenous; L-AmB, liposomal amphotericin B; L-CyA, liposomal cyclosporin A; L-PGE2, liposomal prostaglandin E2; L-PTX, liposomal paclitaxel; LOCF, last observation carried forward; LMs, lipid microparticles; MDI, metered dose inhaler; MMAD, mass median aerodynamic diameter; NCFBE, non-CF bronchiectasis; NLCs, nanostructured lipid carriers; O/W, oil in water; O/W/O, oil in water in oil; PC, phosphatidylcholine; PK, pharmacokinetic; PLGA, poly-lactic-co-glycolic acid; PS80, polysorbate 80; PTH, parathyroid hormone; SAE, serious adverse event; SC, subcutaneous; SLNs, solid lipid nanoparticles; SMI, soft mist inhaler; SOD, superoxide dismutase; SPC, soy PC; TIP, tobramycin inhalation powder; 9-NC, 9 nitrocamptothecin.

<sup>☆</sup> This review is part of the *Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews* theme issue on "Improving the efficacy of inhaled drugs for severe lung diseases: emerging pulmonary delivery strategies".

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: [cipollad@aradigm.com](mailto:cipollad@aradigm.com) (D. Cipolla), [ahickey@rti.org](mailto:ahickey@rti.org) (A. Hickey).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2014.05.001>

0169-409X/© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Please cite this article as: D. Cipolla, et al., Lipid-based carriers for pulmonary products: Preclinical development and case studies in humans, *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* (2014), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2014.05.001>

48	3.5. Safety of Inhaled Liposomes . . . . .	0
49	3.5.1. Biocompatibility of liposomes . . . . .	0
50	3.5.2. ARIKACE (inhaled liposomal amikacin) . . . . .	0
51	3.5.3. Lipoquin and Pulmaquin (inhaled liposomal ciprofloxacin) . . . . .	0
52	3.6. Future perspective on liposomes as inhaled pharmaceutical products . . . . .	0
53	4. Solid lipid nanoparticles (SLNs) and nanostructured lipid carriers (NLCs) . . . . .	0
54	4.1. Physicochemical properties, stability and drug release mechanisms . . . . .	0
55	4.2. Potential inhaled delivery applications . . . . .	0
56	4.2.1. General biopharmaceutical considerations . . . . .	0
57	4.2.2. Pulmonary delivery of SLN and NLC in animals . . . . .	0
58	4.3. Safety of SLN and NLC . . . . .	0
59	4.3.1. SLN matrices composed of Softisan 154, Phospholipon 90G®, and Solutol HS15® . . . . .	0
60	4.3.2. NanoDisk matrices . . . . .	0
61	4.4. Future perspective of SLN and NLC as inhaled pharmaceutical products . . . . .	0
62	5. Conclusions . . . . .	0
63	Acknowledgments . . . . .	0
64	References . . . . .	0

65

## 66 1. Introduction

67 The use of inhaler devices to deliver therapeutics to treat lung  
68 diseases like asthma and COPD has become well accepted since the de-  
69 velopment and marketing approval of the first pressurized metered  
70 dose inhaler (pMDI) in 1956, almost sixty years ago [1–3]. There are  
71 now four broad classes of inhalation devices including pMDIs, nebu-  
72 lizers, soft-mist inhalers (SMIs) and dry powder inhalers (DPIs). The  
73 nebulizer class has been around even longer than pMDIs, with the intro-  
74 duction of the Bennet inhaler in 1654 and the early jet nebulizers in the  
75 1850s [3]. Recent innovations in nebulizers have seen the incorporation  
76 of breath control features to increase the efficiency of delivery, or vibrat-  
77 ing meshes to produce aerosols with greater concentration of droplets  
78 and reduce their administration time; these characteristics can lead to  
79 increased patient convenience over the traditional jet nebulizer plat-  
80 form [3]. Soft mist inhalers, of which the Respimat® is an example,  
81 emerged in the 1990s and are similar to nebulizers in that they deliver  
82 solution formulations. However, rather than the medication being  
83 inhaled over many minutes during tidal breathing by the patient, a  
84 bolus of aerosol is typically delivered in one or a small number of  
85 breaths [4]. This attribute gives the SMI product a convenience benefit  
86 comparable to the MDI and DPI formats [4].

87 The DPI class emerged in the 1970s with a number of passive DPIs  
88 that used patient inspiration to disperse the micronized drug powder  
89 from the larger lactose carrier particles [1,2]. Over the intervening  
90 forty years, device engineering led to DPIs which were easier and  
91 more intuitive to use and which provided a higher and more consistent  
92 lung dose to the patient [2,3]. Over the past 20 years, the powder for-  
93 mulations that are used in DPIs have garnered more attention, with  
94 much of the focus on increasing their dispersibility through reductions  
95 in the interparticle adhesive forces [2,3,5]. This has been accomplished  
96 via a number of strategies such as by reducing the surface energy  
97 through the incorporation of hydrophobic excipients, and by increasing  
98 the particle porosity, geometric size, or rugosity [2]. All of these refine-  
99 ments can lead to higher emitted dose performance at lower inspiratory  
100 rates and thus reduce oropharyngeal deposition and the variability that  
101 it imparts to the dose reaching the lung [2]. One of the most significant  
102 advancements in powder technologies was the transition from the  
103 micronization of large drug crystals into a respirable size range for use  
104 in DPIs, to the use of spray drying to create particles in the respirable  
105 size range directly [2]. The incorporation of lipids into these formu-  
106 lations led to the development of porous particles, with transformative  
107 properties for the performance of DPIs [2]. While these porous particles  
108 have not been incorporated into a new generation of asthma and COPD  
109 products, progress has been made in the use of these particles to deliver  
110 inhaled antibiotics to treat lung infections and one DPI product has  
111 already reached the market, the TOBI Podhaler® [6]. In this review,

these solid-state porous particles containing lipids have been termed  
simply lipid microparticles (LMs). 112 113

114 As recognized in the first published description of large, porous par-  
115 ticles for inhalation in 1997 [7], which were actually polymer-based  
116 (PLGA) particles rather than lipid-based particles, the motivation to de-  
117 velop porous particles was specifically to create a break-through in the  
118 performance of inhalation products for pulmonary applications. There  
119 was a subsequent transition from polymer-based porous particles to  
120 lipid-based porous particles, presumably due to better acceptability of  
121 these excipients. The focus of the use of LMs remains primarily the re-  
122 spiratory field. In contrast, lipids have been utilized broadly in the phar-  
123 maceutical field in a variety of formats; e.g., emulsions, liposomes, solid  
124 lipid nanoparticles and nanostructured lipid carriers. These formats  
125 were not developed specifically with pulmonary applications in mind;  
126 the employment of these technologies for respiratory opportunities  
127 came after their discoveries, with scientists looking for novel applica-  
128 tions in various pharmaceutical fields. A number of pharmaceutical  
129 liposome formulations have reached the market, with the majority indi-  
130 cated for oncology conditions following IV administration. However,  
131 many liposome formulations have shown promise as inhaled products  
132 and two inhaled liposomal antibiotics are in late stages of clinical trials  
133 [6,8]. In contrast, SLNs and NLCs are at an earlier stage of evaluation  
134 for their potential to provide therapeutic benefit as inhaled products  
135 [9]. In this paper we survey the application of LMs, liposomes, SLNs  
136 and NLCs for pulmonary delivery. The category of lipid emulsions is  
137 still relatively sparsely applied to the pulmonary field; however, the  
138 potential for mucosal vaccination using a cationic nanoemulsion as a  
139 carrier for DNA was described [10]. 140

## 2. Lipid microparticles (LMs) 140

### 2.1. Background and aerosol requirements 141

142 Most solid-state lipid microparticles represent low-density, highly  
143 porous particles [11,12]. The practical importance of particles with  
144 these characteristics can be established from a brief consideration of  
145 their aerodynamic behavior.

146 The effect of particle density,  $\rho_p$ , on the particle aerodynamic diam-  
147 eter,  $D_a$ , can be expressed in terms of Stokes' Law, which equates the  
148 following terms with respect to the terminal settling velocity,  $u_T$  [13]:

$$u_T = \rho_0 D_a^2 C(D_a) g / (18\eta) = \rho_p D_g^2 C(D_g) g / (\kappa 18\eta) \quad (1)$$

149 where  $\rho_0$  and  $\rho_p$  are the unit density (i.e., 1 g/cm<sup>3</sup>) and mean particle  
150 density, respectively,  $D_a$  and  $D_g$  are the aerodynamic and geometric di-  
151 ameter, respectively,  $C(D_a)$  and  $C(D_g)$  are the slip correction factors

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8403501>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8403501>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)