



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/addrQ4 Nanomedicines in the future of pediatric therapy[☆]Q1 Alejandro Sosnik^{a,*}, Angel M. Carcaboso^b^a Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Technion-Israel Institute of Technology, Technion City, Haifa 32000, Israel^b Preclinical Therapeutics and Drug Delivery Research Program, Department of Oncology, Hospital Sant Joan de Déu Barcelona, Esplugues de Llobregat, Barcelona 08950, Spain

ARTICLE INFO

Available online xxxx

Keywords:

Pediatric nanomedicine
HIV/AIDS
Tuberculosis
Malaria
Cancer

ABSTRACT

Nanotechnology has become a key tool to overcome the main (bio)pharmaceutical drawbacks of drugs and to enable their passive or active targeting to specific cells and tissues. Pediatric therapies usually rely on the previous clinical experience in adults. However, there exists scientific evidence that drug pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics in children differ from those in adults. For example, the interaction of specific drugs with their target receptors undergoes changes over the maturation of the different organs and systems. A similar phenomenon is observed for toxicity and adverse effects. Thus, it is clear that the treatment of disease in children cannot be simplified to the direct adjustment of the dose to the body weight/surface. In this context, the implementation of innovative technologies (e.g., nanotechnology) in the pediatric population becomes extremely challenging. The present article overviews the different attempts to use nanotechnology to treat diseases in the pediatric population. Due to the relevance, though limited available literature on the matter, we initially describe from preliminary in vitro studies to preclinical and clinical trials aiming to treat pediatric infectious diseases and pediatric solid tumors by means of nanotechnology. Then, the perspectives of pediatric nanomedicine are discussed.

© 2014 Published by Elsevier B.V.

Contents

1. Introduction	0
2. Challenges of the pediatric population	0
3. Infectious diseases	0
3.1. HIV/AIDS	0
3.2. Tuberculosis	0
3.3. Malaria	0
4. Cancer	0
4.1. Neuroblastoma	0
4.2. Retinoblastoma	0
4.3. Tumors of the central nervous system	0
4.3.1. Medulloblastoma	0
4.3.2. Astrocytoma	0

Abbreviations: ACTs, artemisinin combined therapies; ANMAT, Administración Nacional de Medicamentos, Alimentos y Tecnología Médica; ARV, antiretrovirals; AUC, area-under-the-curve; BMS, burning mouth syndrome; CD, cytosine deaminase; CNS, central nervous system; CPT, camptothecin; DCL, drug-in-CD-in-liposome; DDS, drug delivery systems; DOTs, Directly Observed Treatment, Short Course; EFV, efavirenz; EMA, European Medicines Agency; EPR, enhanced permeation and retention; EupFI, European Paediatric Formulation Initiative; EWS, Ewing sarcoma; 5-FC, 5-fluorocytosine; FDAMA, Food and Drug Administration Modernization Act; FDCs, fixed dose combinations; FP7, Seventh Framework Programme; Gal, galactose; GD2, disialoganglioside; GRIP, Global Research in Paediatrics – Network of Excellence; HER2, human epidermal growth factor receptor-2; HIV/AIDS, human immunodeficiency virus/acquired immunodeficiency syndrome; HPMA, *N*-(2-hydroxypropyl) methacrylamide copolymer; INH, isoniazid; i.v., intravenous; LRP, lung resistance protein; Man, mannose; MCT, medium-chain triglyceride; MDM2, murin double minute; MDR, multidrug-resistance; miRNAs, microRNAs; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; MRP-1, multidrug resistance protein-1; MSN, mesoporous nanoparticles; MTP, muramyl tripeptide; Nano-DDS, nano-drug delivery system; NCAMs, neural cell adhesion molecules; NIR, near infrared; NSC, neural stem cell; PDT, photodynamic therapy; PEG, poly(ethylene glycol); PEO-PPO, poly(ethylene oxide)-*b*-poly(propylene oxide) block copolymer; PIP, pediatric investigation plan; PLGA, poly(lactide-co-glycolide); PM, polymeric micelles; PRD, poverty-related diseases; RGD, Arg-Gly-Asp; R&D, research and development; RIF, rifampicin; siRNA, interference RNA; TB, tuberculosis; US-FDA, US Food and Drug Administration; WHO, World Health Organization.

[☆] This review is part of the *Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews* theme issue on “Paediatric drug delivery”.

* Corresponding author at: Group of Pharmaceutical Nanomaterials Science, Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Technion-Israel Institute of Technology, Technion City, 32000 Haifa, Israel.

E-mail addresses: alesosnik@gmail.com, sosnik@tx.technion.ac.il (A. Sosnik).

45	4.4.	Musculoskeletal tumors	0
46	4.4.1.	Ewing sarcoma	0
47	4.4.2.	Rhabdomyosarcoma	0
48	4.4.3.	Osteosarcoma	0
49	5.	Perspectives	0
50		Acknowledgments	0
51		References	0

52

53 **1. Introduction**

54 Nanotechnology has become a key tool to overcome fundamental
 55 (bio)pharmaceutical drawbacks of drugs such as poor aqueous solu-
 56 bility, low physicochemical stability and insufficient bioavailability
 57 [1–3]. Nano-drug delivery systems (nano-DDS) enable the passive
 58 or active targeting of the payload to specific cells and tissues, in-
 59 creasing its accumulation in the action body site and reducing ad-
 60 verse effects by decreasing systemic exposure to the free/active
 61 drug [4,5]. Additionally, nanotechnology has been shown to improve
 62 localized drug delivery by alternative administration routes (e.g., in-
 63 halation) in organs protected by anatomical or physiological bar-
 64 riers, such as the central nervous system. Irrespective of the level of
 65 complexity, the potential of nanomedicine to improve the diagnosis
 66 and the treatment of disease has been extensively documented.
 67 Thus, regardless of the regulatory demands, a reasonable number
 68 of nanomedicines have already made their way to the market [6,7].
 69 However, all of them are for use in adults.

70 Because traditionally the development of pediatric treatments usu-
 71 ally relied on the previous experience in adults, which is still scarce for
 72 most nanotechnology platforms, there do not exist approved pediatric
 73 nanomedicines yet. The application of new regulatory initiatives, such
 74 as the pediatric investigation plan (PIP) promoting specific studies in
 75 children to obtain the necessary data (efficacy and toxicity, when it is
 76 safe to do so) for the approval of a new pharmaceutical product will fa-
 77 cilitate the authorization of medicines for children.

78 Children present biological and/or metabolic differences with re-
 79 spect to adults due to the gradual development and maturation of the
 80 different organs and systems after birth [8,9]. Thus, in the case of dis-
 81 eases that hit both adults and children, nanomedicines need to be pri-
 82 marily adjusted to fit the pediatric use, a process that might demand
 83 the development of a different pharmaceutical formulation, and then
 84 clinically trialed in children. Furthermore, in the case of diseases that
 85 are children-specific or that show substantially greater morbidity in
 86 children, nanomedicines need to be especially developed [10]. These
 87 facts regrettably enter into conflict with the complexities of the
 88 fragmented pediatric market and the challenging pediatric clinical trials
 89 that discourage researchers in both academia and industry to investi-
 90 gate pediatric nano-DDS.

91 The present article overviews the different attempts to use nano-
 92 technology to treat diseases in children. Due to the relevance, though
 93 limited available literature on the matter, we initially describe from pre-
 94 liminary in vitro studies to preclinical and clinical trials aiming to treat
 95 pediatric infectious diseases and solid cancers by means of nanotechnol-
 96 ogy. Then, the perspectives of pediatric nanomedicine are discussed.

97 **2. Challenges of the pediatric population**

98 There exists global consensus among clinicians that children are not
 99 just small adults [11,12]. This statement is not a demagogic cliché but it
 100 is based on scientific evidence showing that children present differences
 101 in drug absorption, biodistribution, metabolism and excretion with re-
 102 spect to adults [13]. Also, derived from the differential interaction of
 103 drugs with their cellular targets, children show differences in pharma-
 104 codynamics [14–16]. In this context, it is crystal clear that the treatment

of disease in children cannot be simplified to the direct adjustment of
 the dose to the body weight/surface [17].

An additional point of consideration is that based on differences in
 biology and metabolism, the pediatric subpopulation is sub-classified
 into subgroups, namely preterm newborn infants, term newborn in-
 fants (0–27 days), infants and toddlers (28 days–23 months), preschool
 children (2–5 years), school children (6–11 years) and adolescents
 (12–16/18 years) [9,18]. Each sub-category shows different gastroin-
 testinal pH and transit, intestinal motility and conjugation and transport
 of bile salts [8,19]. Also, the level of cognitive development could impact
 formulation appropriateness (e.g., in the case of inhalatory products)
 [20] and the feasibility of clinical trials [21–23]. For instance, clinical tri-
 als in children are more complicated due to scientific, clinical, ethical,
 technical and logistical challenges, that have discouraged the process
 over the years [24–27]. Furthermore, toxicological aspects of the expo-
 sure to nanoparticles should be thoroughly assessed, especially by inha-
 lation, because children show increased particle deposition in the lungs
 with respect to adults [28]. For example, the biocompatibility of several
 liposome formulations is well-known and a few nano-DDS have
 reached the clinical phase in adults [29,30]. However, information re-
 garding their safety in children is very limited. Other platforms such as
 carbon nanotubes are more controversial and their clinical use seems
 less likely [31]. All these facts converge to reduce the flexibility and
 the profitability of the fragmented pediatric market and position chil-
 dren at the top of the vulnerability scale [32,33].

53 **3. Infectious diseases** 130

Despite the availability of a broad spectrum of antibiotics, the treat-
 ment of infections has become an increasing challenge of modern med-
 icine due to the emergence of resistant pathogens. The situation is more
 critical in poverty-related diseases (PRDs) and even more in the case of
 the pediatric population owing to the reasons mentioned above. In ad-
 vance the incipient progresses made at the interface of nanomedicine
 and the therapy of pediatric HIV, TB and malaria, three infections that
 claim the largest number of lives every year, will be overviewed [34].

53 **3.1. HIV/AIDS** 139

HIV/AIDS is the most lethal infection of our times with approximate-
 ly 2.5 million annual deaths [35]. The number of antiretrovirals (ARVs)
 approved for pediatric administration is smaller than the one for adults
 and pharmacokinetic data are more limited due to the complexity of the
 clinical trials [36,37]. Additional drawbacks are the lack of certified
 liquid (e.g., solutions and suspensions), chewable [38], dispersible
 [39–41] and orodispersible formulations [42–44] that ease dose adjust-
 ment and ingestion and the development of pediatric fixed dose
 combinations (FDCs) [45,46]. Regardless of the strategy of choice, the
 production process should be counterbalanced to maintain medication
 costs under limits that ensure patient affordability [47,48]. The disease
 hits both the adult and the pediatric population and, thus the develop-
 ment of anti-HIV nanomedicines could be beneficial for all the patient
 sub-populations. Nevertheless, the number of research works aiming
 to develop nanotechnology-based anti-HIV medicines in general and
 for children in particular is remarkably scarce.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8403673>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8403673>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)