CSBJ-00190; No of Pages 8

ARTICLE IN PR

Computational and Structural Biotechnology Journal xxx (2017) xxx-xxx





COMPUTATIONAL ANDSTRUCTURAL BIOTECHNOLOGY JOURNAL



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/csbj

Scalability and Validation of Big Data Bioinformatics Software 1

Andrian Yang^{a,b}, Michael Troup^a, Joshua W.K. Ho^{a,b,*} Q2 Q1

^a Victor Chang Cardiac Research Institute, Darlinghurst, NSW 2010, Australia З

^b St. Vincent's Clinical School, University of New South Wales, Darlinghurst, NSW 2010, Australia 4

ARTICLE INFO 6

7 Article history:

8 Received 30 March 2017

- 9 Received in revised form 30 June 2017 10
- 18

5

- Accepted 17 July 2017
- 11 Available online xxxx

ABSTRACT

This review examines two important aspects that are central to modern big data bioinformatics analysis - soft- 17 ware scalability and validity. We argue that not only are the issues of scalability and validation common to all 18 big data bioinformatics analyses, they can be tackled by conceptually related methodological approaches, namely 19 divide-and-conquer (scalability) and multiple executions (validation). Scalability is defined as the ability for a 20 program to scale based on workload. It has always been an important consideration when developing bioinfor- 21 matics algorithms and programs. Nonetheless the surge of volume and variety of biological and biomedical data 22 has posed new challenges. We discuss how modern cloud computing and big data programming frameworks 23 such as MapReduce and Spark are being used to effectively implement divide-and-conquer in a distributed com- 24 puting environment. Validation of software is another important issue in big data bioinformatics that is often 25 ignored. Software validation is the process of determining whether the program under test fulfils the task for 26 which it was designed. Determining the correctness of the computational output of big data bioinformatics soft- 27 ware is especially difficult due to the large input space and complex algorithms involved. We discuss how state- 28 of-the-art software testing techniques that are based on the idea of multiple executions, such as metamorphic 29 testing, can be used to implement an effective bioinformatics quality assurance strategy. We hope this review 30 will raise awareness of these critical issues in bioinformatics. 31

© 2017 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Research Network of Computational and Structural 32 Biotechnology. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). 33

38 36

1. Introduction 38

The term big data is used to describe data which are large with 39 respect to the following characteristics: volume (amount of data 40 generated), variety (type of data generated), velocity (speed of data 41 42 generation), variability (inconsistency of data) and veracity (quality of 43 captured data) [1]. Sequencing data is the most obvious example of big data in the field of bioinformatics, especially with the advancement 44 45 in next-generation sequencing (NGS) technology and single cell capture technology. Other examples of big data in bioinformatics include elec-46 47 tronic health records, which contain a variety of information including phenotypic, diagnostic and treatment information; and medical imag-48 ing data, such as those produced by magnetic resonance imaging 49 50 (MRI), positron emission tomography (PET) and ultrasound. Furthermore, emerging big data relevant to biomedical research also include 51 52 data from social networks and wearable devices.

53 One particularly major advancement in experimental molecular 54 biology within the last decade has been the significant increase in 55 sequencing data available for analysis, at a cheaper cost [2]. The cost of sequencing per genome has reduced from \$100,000,000 in 2001, to 56

E-mail address: j.ho@victorchang.edu.au (J.W.K. Ho).

\$10,000,000 in 2007, down to a figure close to \$1000 today. The \$1000 57 genome is already a reality [3]. Currently, the data that comes out of a 58 NGS machine are in the order of several hundred gigabytes for a single 59 human genome. With the rapid advancement in single-cell capture 60 technology and the increasing interest in single-cell studies, it is expect- 61 ed that the amount of sequencing data generated will increase substan- 62 tially as each single-cell run can generate profiles for hundreds to 63 thousands of samples [4]. In this review, we will focus specifically on 64 bioinformatics software that deals with NGS data as this is currently 65 one of the most prominent and rapidly expanding source of big data 66 in bioinformatics. 67

In this review, we argue that the two main issues that are fundamen- 68 tal to designing and running big data bioinformatics analysis are: the 69 need for analysis tools which can scale to handle the large and unpre-70 dictable volume of data (Scalability) [4–7], and methods that can effec-71 tively determine whether the output of a big data analysis conforms to 72 the users' expectation (Validation) [8,9]. In general, there are many 73 other issues associated with bioinformatics big data analysis, such as 74 storage, security and integration [10]. However, these issues have 75 existed even before the rise of big data in bioinformatics, and are 76 these issues are typically targeted to specific use cases, such as the 77 storage of sensitive patient data and integration of several specific 78 types of data. Solutions to these specific issues are available [11,12], 79 though there may be additional challenges associated in implementing 80

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.csbj.2017.07.002

2001-0370/© 2017 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Research Network of Computational and Structural Biotechnology. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Please cite this article as: Yang A, et al, Scalability and Validation of Big Data Bioinformatics Software, Comput Struct Biotechnol J (2017), http:// dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.csbj.2017.07.002

Corresponding author at: Victor Chang Cardiac Research Institute, Lowy Packer Building, 405 Liverpool Street, Darlinghurst, NSW 2010, Australia.

2

ARTICLE IN PRESS

A. Yang et al. / Computational and Structural Biotechnology Journal xxx (2017) xxx-xxx

the solution due to the increased volume and noise. Nonetheless, these 81 82 issues are mostly specific to individual application areas. We believe that if we can effectively deal with the scalability and validation prob-83 84 lem, it will go a long way in terms of making big data analysis more widespread in practice. This review aims to provide an overview of 85 the technological development that deals with the scalability and vali-86 dation problems in big data bioinformatics for sequence-based analysis 87 88 tools.

89 2. Scalability

Scalability is not a unique challenge in big data analysis. In fact, 90 software scalability has always been an issue since the early days of bio-9192informatics because of the high algorithmic complexity of some of the algorithms such as those involving global multiple sequence alignment. 93 The early focus on scalability is on parallelising the computation, while a 94 lot less attention is paid on optimally distributing the data. Efforts to 95 96 make bioinformatics software scalable have continuously been made with the evolution of new hardware technologies, such as cluster com-97 puting, grid computing, Graphical Processing Unit (GPU) technology, 98 and cloud computing. Currently in the age of big data bioinformatics, 99 the focus is not only on parallelising computational intensive 100 101 algorithms, but also on highly distributed storage and efficient commu-102 nication among various distributed storage or computational units. Furthermore, the volume and variety of data can change dynamically 103 in response to potentially unpredictable user demand. For example, in 104 a medium-sized local sequencing centre, the volume of data can grow 105106 rapidly during certain unexpected peak periods, but remain constant during other periods. This variability of demand on computational 107resources is also a critical feature of modern big data bioinformatics 108analysis. In this section, we will review the evolution of parallel distrib-109110 uted computing technologies and how they have contributed to solving 111 the issue of scalability of bioinformatics software. In particular, we will 112 discuss how modern cloud computing technology and big data analysis frameworks, such as MapReduce and Spark, can be effectively used to 113 deal with the scalability problem in the big data era. 114

115 2.1. Cluster Computing

Early attempts at scaling bioinformatics software beyond massively 116 parallel (super) computers involved networking individual computers 117 into clusters to form a parallelised distributed-memory machine. In 118 this configuration, computations are performed by splitting and distrib-119 uting tasks across Central Processing Units (CPUs) in a way that is 120 121 similar to the symmetric multiprocessing (SMP) approach utilised in massively parallel computers. Unlike SMP, which relies on a shared 122123main memory, clusters have distributed-memory, with each node having its own memory and hard drive, thus presenting a new challenge 124in developing software for cluster environments. To help with the de-125velopment of cluster-based software, communications protocols and 126software tools, such as Message Passing Interface (MPI) [13] and Parallel 127128Virtual Machine (PVM) [14], have been developed for orchestrating 129computations across nodes. An example of bioinformatics software designed for cluster computing is mpiBLAST, an MPI-based, parallelised 130implementation of the basic local alignment search tool (BLAST) 131algorithm which performs pairwise sequence similarity between a 132133query sequence and a library or database of sequences [15]. The approach taken by mpiBLAST includes the use of a distributed database 134to reduce both the number of sequences searched and disk I/O in each 135 node, thereby improving the performance of the BLAST algorithm. 136 MASON is another example of MPI-based bioinformatics software for 137performing multiple sequence alignment algorithms using the ClustalW 138 algorithm [16]. MASON speeds up the execution of ClustalW by 139parallelising the time- and compute-intensive step of calculating a 140 distance matrix of the input sequences, and the final progressive 141 142 alignment stage.

2.2. Grid Computing

The next approach in scaling bioinformatics software comes with 144 the introduction of grid computing, which represents an evolution in 145 the distributed computing infrastructure. Grid computing allows for a 146 collection of heterogeneous hardware, such as desktops, servers and 147 clusters, which may be located in different geographical locations, to 148 be connected through the Internet to form a massively distributed 149 high performance environment [17]. Although conceptually similar to 150 a cluster, grid computing presents a different set of challenges for 151 developing software. The comparatively large latency between nodes 152 in a grid environment compared to a cluster environment means that 153 software for grid needs to be designed with minimum communication 154 between nodes. Furthermore, the heterogeneity of the grid environ- 155 ment means that software may need to take into account differences 156 in the underlying operating system and the system architecture of the 157 nodes. Development of bioinformatics software for a Grid typically 158 uses a middleware layer which abstracts away the underlying grid 159 architecture management. A widely-used middleware layer is the 160 Globus Toolkit, a software toolkit for managing and developing in a 161 grid environment [18]. An example of a bioinformatics software 162 for the Grid environment is GridBLAST, an implementation of BLAST 163 with Globus as the middleware layer for distributing BLAST gueries 164 across nodes in the grid [19]. Aside from Globus, there are also 165 bioinformatics-specific grid middleware layers such as myGrid [20] 166 and Squid [21]. 167

2.5. GFGFU	2.3.	GPGPU
------------	------	--------------

The introduction of general-purpose computing on GPUs (GPGPUs) 169 revived interest in the massively parallel approach initially used before 170 the distributed computing approach becomes the mainstream. GPUs are 171 specialised processing units designed for performing graphic rendering. 172 Unlike a CPU, which has a limited number of multi-processing units, a 173 GPU has a large number of processing unit in the order of hundreds 174 and thousands, thus allowing for the high computational throughput re- 175 quired for rendering 3D graphics. Though the GPU is not a new technol- 176 ogy, early GPU architectures were hardwired for graphics rendering and 177 thus it was not until the development of a more generalised architecture 178 which support general-purpose computing that GPU become more 179 widely used for computation. As with other technologies, there are chal- 180 lenges associated with implementing bioinformatics software on GPUs 181 due to the single instruction multiple data (SIMD) programming para- 182 digm where data are processed in parallel using the same set of instruc- 183 tions. Due to its architecture, computation for GPU will need to designed 184 with minimum level of branching (homogenous execution) with high 185 computational complexity in order to fully take advantage of the high 186 multiprocessing capability of the GPU. One of the early bioinformatics 187 software utilising GPGPUs is GPU-RAxML (Randomized Axelerated 188 Maximum Likelihood), a GPU based implementation of RAxML program 189 for the construction of phylogenetic trees using a Maximum Likelihood 190 method [22]. GPU-RAxML utilises the BrookGPU programming environ- 191 ment [23], which supports both OpenGL and DirectX graphic libraries, 192 to parallelise the longest loop in the RAxML program, which accounts 193 for 50% of the execution time. Another example of GPU-accelerated 194 bioinformatics software is CUDASW++, an implementation of the 195 dynamic-programming based Smith-Waterman (SW) algorithm 196 for local sequence alignment [24]. CUDASW++ utilises the CUDA 197 (Compute Unified Device Architecture) programming environment 198 [25], developed for NVIDIA GPU, to implement two parallelisation strat- 199 egies of the SW algorithm based on the length of the subject sequence. 200

2.4. Cloud Computing

Cloud computing is defined by the United States' National Institute 202 of Standards and Technology as '...a model for enabling ubiquitous, 203

168

201

Please cite this article as: Yang A, et al, Scalability and Validation of Big Data Bioinformatics Software, Comput Struct Biotechnol J (2017), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.csbj.2017.07.002

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8408563

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8408563

Daneshyari.com