



Exploiting affine invariant regions and leaf edge shapes for weed detection



Wajahat Kazmi^{a,*}, Francisco Garcia-Ruiz^{b,1}, Jon Nielsen^{b,1}, Jesper Rasmussen^{b,1}, Hans Jørgen Andersen^{a,2}

^a Department of Architecture, Design and Media Technology, Aalborg University, Rendsburggade 14-5, 9000 Aalborg, Denmark

^b Department of Plant and Environmental Sciences, Højbakkegård Allé 9, Copenhagen University, 2630 Taastrup, Denmark

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 15 January 2015

Received in revised form 14 August 2015

Accepted 19 August 2015

Available online 26 September 2015

Keywords:

Affine invariant regions

Weed detection

Precision agriculture

Computer vision

Local features

ABSTRACT

In this article, local features extracted from field images are evaluated for weed detection. Several scale and affine invariant detectors from computer vision literature along with high performance descriptors were applied. Field dataset contained a total of 474 plant images of sugar beet and creeping thistle, divided into six groups based on illumination, age, and camera to plant distance. To establish a performance baseline, leaf image retrieval potential of the selected features was first assessed on a publicly available leaf database containing flatbed scanned images of 15 tree species. Then a comparison with the field data retrieval highlighted the trade-off due to the field challenges. Adopting a comprehensive approach, edge shape detectors and homogeneous surface detecting affine invariant regions were fused. In order to integrate vegetation indices as local features, a new local vegetation color descriptor was introduced which used various combinations of color indices and offered a very high precision. Retrieval in the field data was evaluated group-wise. Although, the impact of the sunlight was found to be very low on shape features, but relatively higher precisions were obtained for younger plants under a shade (overall more than 80%). The weed detection accuracy was assessed using the Bag-of-Visual-Word scheme with KNN and SVM classifiers. The assessment showed that with an SVM classifier, a fusion of surface color and edge shapes boosted the overall classification accuracy to as high as 99.07% with a very low false negative rate (2%).

© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Sugar beet (*Beta Vulgaris*) is among the world's important crops with an estimated 278 million tonnes global production (FAOSTAT, 2011). Creeping thistle (*Cirsium Arvensis* (L.) Scop.) is an invasive weed species which is one of the biggest threats to the sugar beet as 5–6 plants/m² can halve the crop yield (Miller et al., 1994). Treating thistles requires huge quantities of herbicides because it is becoming increasingly frequent (Andreasen and Stryhn, 2012). On the other hand, an indiscriminate use of chemicals is detrimental to the environment as it ends up contaminating underground water. Site Specific Weed Management is therefore becoming the focus of the future farming technologies (Christensen et al., 2009; Lopez-Granadoz, 2011).

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +45 9940 8834.

E-mail addresses: wajahat.kazmi@outlook.com (W. Kazmi), fgr@agromapping.com (F. Garcia-Ruiz), jon@plen.ku.dk (J. Nielsen), jer@plen.ku.dk (J. Rasmussen), hja@create.aau.dk (H.J. Andersen).

¹ Tel.: +45 3533 3572.

² Tel.: +45 9940 8834.

In Kazmi et al. (2015), we investigated the potential of color imaging for the detection of creeping thistles in sugar beet fields. A high accuracy (up to 97%) of detecting weeds was achieved since both the species showed a noticeable separation in the visible spectrum. Reliance, however, only on the color limits the scope of the system because the variation in outdoor illumination affects the perceived colors by the cameras or else inclusion of a second weed species with color characteristics closer to the crop may compromise the performance. Therefore, in order to increase the robustness of the weed detection system and to incorporate a flexibility towards including more species, shape features are indispensable. Involving shape will employ a broader set of discriminating features as used by the human vision system. Plant canopies in general are composed of leaves, therefore, leaf shapes for weed detection are explored in this article.

Shape based leaf recognition

Leaf shapes have been widely used for plant classification.

Agarwal et al. (2006) and Ling and Jacobs (2009) introduced Inner-Distance based Shape Context (IDSC) for leaves, comparing the distance between the selected points on a leaf boundary, somewhat similar to the Shape Context (SC) by Belongie et al. (2002). Leaf shape identification by multi-scale triangular representations has recently been introduced by Mouine et al. (2013). Kumar et al. (2012) developed a mobile phone app, *LeafSnap*, for leaf recognition using leaf curvatures.

In general, these algorithms extract global features and therefore require isolated leaf images with plain or homogeneous backgrounds such as the publicly available databases (*Pl@ntNet*,³ *Swedish Leaves* (Söderkvist, 2001) or *Smithsonian databases* (Belhumeur et al., 2008)). For example *LeafSnap*, which is a state-of-the-art tool for leaf recognition, rejects the images with non-planar background (Kumar et al., 2012).

This demands controlled imaging and sometimes a destructive analysis of plants. However, the situation in agricultural farm applications is much different. In unconditioned field imaging, unfortunately, plants or leaves cannot be arranged for proper frontal snapshots. Effects of wind add to the challenge. Along with that, nothing much can be done about the background. Although strong sunlight can be diffused by introducing a shade, most of the other conditions cannot be avoided. Therefore, for field data, the feature set for plant recognition has mostly been limited either to color, multi- or hyper-spectral signatures.

Still, avoiding destructive analysis, some simple morphological features such as the leaf area or wattle disk diameter have worked well for indoor systems (Golzarian and Frick, 2011). But in the outdoor farm applications, the measurement of the plant morphology must be done at a very early growth stage so that the canopies are simpler (Åstrand and Baerveldt, 2002; Jeon et al., 2011). The classification problem may be reduced only to a few classes, in most cases just two, such as, crop/weed and infected/healthy, but due to the variations in plant size, water stress (color), perceived change in shape due to wind, light and occlusion, plants fall into the category of deformable objects with a range of intra-class variations (Campbell and Flynn, 2001). Features based on simple plant morphology may not be sufficient as slight changes in many of the aforementioned variables can make the segmentation of plant organs difficult, and therefore, may require 3D information (Šeatović, 2008; Dellen et al., 2011; Alenya et al., 2013). Acquiring 3D under outdoor conditions is constrained by the sensor technology and the processing overhead (Kazmi et al., 2014). On the other hand, applications such as weed or disease detection require a high degree of accuracy as well. One missed weed or infected plant can spread out and affect several crop plants in due time reducing the overall production.

In such cases, advanced computer vision techniques which have addressed a variety of problems in, for example, outdoor navigation, image registration, object recognition and medical imaging, to name a few, hold promise. Local features in computer vision detect characteristics of shapes in a scene such as corners, edges or homogeneous regions and extract a high dimensional description of the contents of the scenes in their immediate neighborhood. By design, they are more connected to the local geometry of the objects or the scene and hence are tolerant to occlusion (Tuytelaars and Mikolajczyk, 2007). Therefore the significant progress done in computer vision research in local features should be taken into consideration.

Leaf identification based on edge shapes

The subject species in this work have distinct edge shapes, especially the groovy edge of a thistle is more prominent (Fig. 1). But

the number of grooves may not always be consistent. Depending on the growth stage, it may change as well as the edges may get damaged over time. Still, the fact that one species has a non-planar edge as compared to the other is a notable distinction which can be exploited.

Leaf edge shapes or teeth are difficult to automatically detect (Royer and Wilf, 2005; Cope et al., 2012). But local features detectors from computer vision such as affine regions can be used to detect regions around edges and shape feature descriptors can then be used to record their characteristics. So, instead of counting the number or size of the grooves, we can rely on such descriptors to register the edge shapes with the under laying hypothesis that such features would be sufficient to distinguish a smooth edge (sugar beet) from a groovy or jagged one (thistle).

Affine invariance though, comes at a cost of local information (Mikolajczyk et al., 2003). In the process of seeking affine invariance, the regions are iteratively mapped onto an ellipse and the shape of the boundary contributing to the initial detection is usually offset. Therefore, we proposed a graph based multi-scale edge shape detector, the Twin Leaf Region (TLR) which avoids affine adaptation (Kazmi and Andersen, 2015).

Objectives

The objective in this article is to use and evaluate the potential of local features for weed detection. They will first be evaluated on a public database establishing a performance baseline. Their performance on field data will then highlight the complexity of the field challenges.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Data acquisition

Image acquisition is described in detail in Kazmi et al. (2015). The 474 images of sugar beet and thistle used in this study are the same as those used in Kazmi et al. (2015) where thistles detection in sugar beets was based on color vegetation indices only. Images were captured with an industrial grade camera (Model: Bumblebee XB3 by Point Grey Research) mounted on a remotely operated ground vehicle. The camera uses three progressive scan CCD's. One of the three cams were used and the images were rectified for lens distortion using company calibration. White balance was activated and factory defaults for channel gains were used as they were found suitable (i.e. red = 550, blue = 810 through PGR FlyCapture v1.8 utility). Single plants were manually cropped out of the images which contained more than one plant. Data thus acquired were divided into six groups based on age, camera to plant distance and illumination as summarized in Table 1.

The image resolutions and the corresponding GSDs (Ground Sample Distances) are given in Table 2. In this table, range implies the camera to ground distance measured vertically. Leaf length is the approximate length along the central vein of a sugar beet leaf. A rectangular bounding box around a sample leaf in group 1 has approximately 3 k pixels while in group 4 it has 80 k pixels. Please note that the camera to leaf distance varied due to uneven local terrain and plant height.

For comparison, the publicly available Swedish Leaf database was chosen (Söderkvist, 2001). It contains images of leaves of 15 tree species, 75 images each, which is sufficiently diverse for the purpose (see Fig. 2 for samples).

2.2. Local feature detectors and descriptors

Several scale and affine invariant as well as our novel multi-scale edge shape detector (TLR) (Kazmi and Andersen, 2015)

³ <http://www.plantnet-project.org>.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/84097>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/84097>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)