European Journal of Pharmaceutics and Biopharmaceutics xxx (2015) xxx-xxx

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



European Journal of Pharmaceutics and Biopharmaceutics

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ejpb

Review Article

Current and next generation influenza vaccines: Formulation and production strategies

Peter C. Soema^{a,b}, Ronald Kompier^{a,c}, Jean-Pierre Amorij^{a,*}, Gideon F.A. Kersten^{a,b}

^a Intravacc (Institute for Translational Vaccinology), Bilthoven, The Netherlands

^b Division of Drug Delivery and Technology, Leiden Academic Centre for Drug Research, Leiden University, The Netherlands

^c FluConsult, Noordwijk, The Netherlands

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 10 April 2015
Revised 27 May 2015
Accepted in revised form 28 May 2015
Available online xxxx

- Keywords:
 Influenza vaccines
 Vaccine formulation
 Vaccine production
 Novel influenza vaccines
 Influenza vaccine limitat
- 6 Influenza vaccine limitations 7 Universal influenza vaccine
- 27 Universal inf 28

ABSTRACT

Vaccination is the most effective method to prevent influenza infection. However, current influenza vaccines have several limitations. Relatively long production times, limited vaccine capacity, moderate efficacy in certain populations and lack of cross-reactivity are important issues that need to be addressed. We give an overview of the current status and novel developments in the landscape of influenza vaccines from an interdisciplinary point of view. The feasibility of novel vaccine concepts not only depends on immunological or clinical outcomes, but also depends on biotechnological aspects, such as formulation and production methods, which are frequently overlooked. Furthermore, the next generation of influenza vaccines is addressed, which hopefully will bring cross-reactive influenza vaccines. These developments indicate that an exciting future lies ahead in the influenza vaccine field.

© 2015 Published by Elsevier B.V.

10

11

15

1. Introduction

Influenza viruses are negative stranded RNA viruses of the 44 45 Orthomyxoviridae family. Three types of influenza viruses, influenza A, B and C, are capable of infecting humans, of which influ-46 enza A and B are the most common circulating types. Individuals 47 infected with influenza virus generally display symptoms such as 48 chills, fever, headache, muscle pain, fatigue, rhinitis and coughing. 49 Progressed influenza infections can lead to severe complications 50 including bronchitis, pneumonia, secondary bacterial infections, 51 52 acute respiratory distress and cardiovascular complications, which all can lead to death if left untreated. Individuals with a weakened 53 immune system, such as immunocompromised patients, elderly 54 55 and young children [1–3], are particularly vulnerable to influenza infections and are thus classified as high-risk populations. 56

Global influenza epidemics emerge seasonally and typically 57 occur during the winter seasons of the northern and southern 58 59 hemispheres. The WHO estimates that there are 3-5 million cases 60 of severe influenza infections annually, with 250.000-500.000 deaths globally. The reemergence of a pandemic H1N1 strain in 61 2009 [4], and the emergence of highly pathogenic avian H5N1 62 63 and H7N9 influenza viruses [5,6], has reaffirmed that influenza 64 remains a global threat to this day.

Vaccination against influenza is the most cost-effective method to prevent influenza infections. Fast availability of influenza vaccines to the world population is one of the key factors for effective coverage against seasonal and pandemic influenza. Despite the fact that influenza vaccines are on the market since the 1930s, several limitations still exist involving both their availability and their effectiveness, which are listed in Table 1.

Current influenza vaccines are predominantly produced by egg-based production methods. Being dependent on the supply of vaccine-quality eggs, vaccine manufacturers cannot be flexible in the amount of doses produced. This can lead to vaccine shortages, especially during pandemic situations. Alternative production platforms, such as cell culture-based vaccine production, plant-based vaccine production or synthetic vaccines, could increase the flexibility of manufacturers. It is often thought that these novel production methods decrease the time needed to develop and release an influenza vaccine. However, the availability of strain-specific reagents for vaccine potency and release tests such as the single radial immunodiffusion (SRID) assay and subsequent clinical trials are the main factors that delay the commercial release of influenza vaccines.

Directly tied to the commercial release of influenza vaccines are the regulatory approval procedures. To speed up these procedures, mock-up vaccines are developed to generate a registration dossier, which can subsequently be used for the licensing of an actual seasonal or pandemic influenza vaccine.

* Corresponding author. E-mail address: jean-pierre.amorij@intravacc.nl (J.-P. Amorij).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpb.2015.05.023 0939-6411/© 2015 Published by Elsevier B.V. 78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

Please cite this article in press as: P.C. Soema et al., Current and next generation influenza vaccines: Formulation and production strategies, Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm. (2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpb.2015.05.023

ARTICLE IN PRESS

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

154

179

2

P.C. Soema et al./European Journal of Pharmaceutics and Biopharmaceutics xxx (2015) xxx-xxx

Table 1

Limitations of current influenza vaccines and potential solutions.

| Limitation | Potential solution(s) |
|---|---|
| Dependence on egg-based production | Cell culture-based production of virus Recombinant antigens Synthetic vaccines |
| Regulatory approval procedures | Mock-up vaccines to generate regulatory dossier |
| Limited worldwide vaccine availability | Technology transfer of vaccine production methods Dose sparing by the addition of adjuvants or alternative administration routes Increase stability and shelf life of vaccines to prevent vaccine loss in unfavorable conditions |
| Limited efficacy in elderly and unprimed populations | Increase vaccine immunogenicity by increasing antigen dose, the addition of adjuvants or using alternative administration routes Increase breadth of immune response by the addition of adjuvants, alternative administration routes or by inclusion of novel antigens |
| Lack of cross-reactivity by current vaccines | Vaccines inducing stalk-reactive antibodies M2e-targeted vaccines T-cell inducing vaccines Heterologous prime-boost strategies with seasonal and cross-reactive vaccines |

91 Limited vaccine availability is not only caused due to the inflexibility of the vaccine production capacity; especially not in devel-92 93 oping countries. Technology transfer of production methods to 94 developing countries increases the worldwide vaccine production 95 capacity. Increasing the (heat) stability and shelf life of influenza 96 vaccines negates the need of a cold chain, which is imperfect in 97 developing countries. This prevents unnecessary vaccine loss. 98 Furthermore, decreasing antigen dose by the addition of adjuvants can also increase the number of influenza vaccines. Development 99 100 of stabile vaccine formulations and effective adjuvants is thus 101 important.

102 In several population groups, such as unprimed young children, 103 the elderly and immunocompromised individuals, influenza vacci-104 nes have limited efficacy. Unprimed individuals have a reduced 105 response to influenza vaccines, whereas elderly, due to immunose-106 nescence, and immunocompromised individuals generally suffer 107 from a declined immune function. Increasing the immunogenicity 108 and breadth of the immune response elicited by influenza vaccines might improve vaccine efficacy in these vulnerable groups. 109

110 Current influenza vaccines induce neutralizing antibodies against the viral membrane surface proteins hemagglutinin (HA) 111 112 and neuraminidase (NA). Due to antigenic shift and drift of HA 113 and NA genes, neutralizing antibodies elicited by influenza vaccines lack cross-reactivity against non-matching influenza strains. 114 While seasonal adjustments to the vaccine strains are made to 115 cope with this problem, it is not as convenient and fast as a poten-116 117 tial cross-protective influenza vaccine. Thus, the identification of 118 alternative correlates of protection (CoPs) against influenza is an 119 important step toward the development of cross-reactive influenza 120 vaccines.

The aforementioned limitations of current influenza vaccines 121 122 may be resolved through the implementation of new technologies 123 in the field of influenza production and vaccine formulation. Novel 124 antigens often require novel production methods, which carry 125 their own advantages and disadvantages. Additionally, these novel 126 antigens often need to be formulated with excipients and adju-127 vants to be sufficiently immunogenic. While important, the devel-128 opment of alternative administration methods and devices for 129 influenza vaccines is not within the scope of this current review,

and has been thoroughly reviewed by Amorij et al. previously130[7]. In this review, we will discuss advances in immunological, for-131mulation and production aspects for current and promising novel132influenza vaccine antigens, and discuss their potential to solve133the limitations of influenza vaccines today.134

2. Immune responses against influenza

The efficacy of current influenza vaccines is determined by the presence of adequate HI- or VN-titers in vaccinated individuals. HI titers indicate antibody responses against HA, which are not cross-reactive, and do not protect against mismatching influenza strains. Ideally, an influenza vaccine would protect against all strains, uninfluenced by antigenic changes. VN titers indicate antibody responses that are able to neutralize influenza virus, and thus can potentially be applied for cross-reactive vaccines. Nonetheless, identification of alternative CoPs, such as cross-reactive antibodies or T cell responses would significantly aid the development of universal vaccines [8].

Induction of immune responses against novel and more conserved epitopes, other than the variable epitopes of HA, has come under the attention in recent years (Fig. 1). These include vaccines that induce antibodies directed against stalk regions of HA and matrix protein 2 ectodomains (M2e), and vaccines that induce cellular responses against internal influenza proteins. These vaccine could potentially be the basis of a universal influenza vaccine.

2.1. HA-specific antibodies

Antibodies against HA can be divided into categories: those 155 reactive against the globular head domain, and those reactive to 156 the stalk domain. Current influenza vaccines induce mainly anti-157 bodies directed against the head domain, which is highly variable 158 due to antigenic drifts. In contrast, the stalk domain is more con-159 served, which makes it an attractive target for the induction of a 160 cross-reactive humoral response. Certain stalk-reactive antibodies, 161 such as globular head-reactive antibodies, inhibit the virus attach-162 ment to cell membranes [9], thereby preventing infection (Fig. 1A). 163 Other stalk-reactive antibodies disrupt viral membrane fusion 164 (Fig. 1B), preventing endosomal escape of the virus. Indeed, several 165 monoclonal antibodies directed against these stalk domains 166 proved to be effective, and are currently in the development to pro-167 vide therapeutic treatment of acute influenza infections [10]. 168

Several HA stalk-directed vaccines are currently under develop-169 ment, which proved effectiveness against both influenza A group 1 170 and 2 viruses [11], as well as influenza B. However, the potential 171 side effects of these antibodies still need to be carefully evaluated. 172 Khurana et al. showed that HA2 stalk-reactive antibodies pro-173 moted viral fusion and respiratory disease symptoms by pH1N1 174 influenza in pigs [12], indicating that the induction of 175 stalk-reactive antibodies is not without risk. Further clinical stud-176 ies should determine whether stalk-reactive antibodies are suit-177 able for protection against influenza infection. 178

2.2. Matrix protein 2 ectodomain-specific antibodies

Matrix protein 2 (M2) is a tetrameric transmembrane protein 180 that acts as a proton-selective ion channel. It plays a crucial role 181 in the acidification and subsequent destabilization of the viral mem-182 brane, which facilitates the release of the genetic material of the 183 virus into the host cell. The M2 protein is, except in low amounts 184 in WIV and LAIV vaccines, not included in current seasonal vaccines; 185 M2-specific antibodies are generally not detected in subjects vacci-186 nated with seasonal influenza vaccines. Nonetheless, it possesses a 187 sequence of amino acids that is highly conserved among influenza 188 subtypes, located on the N-terminal ectodomain. 189

Please cite this article in press as: P.C. Soema et al., Current and next generation influenza vaccines: Formulation and production strategies, Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm. (2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpb.2015.05.023

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8413315

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8413315

Daneshyari.com