

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Nonlinear Analysis

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/na



A class of polynomial interior-point algorithms for the Cartesian $P_*(\kappa)$ second-order cone linear complementarity problem

G.Q. Wang a,b,*, D.T. Zhu a

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 4 October 2009 Accepted 18 July 2010

MSC: 90C33 90C51

Keywords: Second-order cone linear complementarity problem Interior-point algorithm Kernel function

Large- and small-update methods Iteration bound

ABSTRACT

© 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In this paper we consider the second-order cone linear complementarity problem (SOCLCP), which seeks vectors $x, s \in \mathbf{R}^n$ and $q \in \mathbf{R}^n$ such that

$$x \in \mathcal{K}$$
, $s = \mathcal{A}(x) + q \in \mathcal{K}$, and $\langle x, s \rangle = 0$,

where $\langle x, s \rangle = \mathbf{Tr}(x \circ s)$ denotes the Euclidean inner product, $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{K}$ is a linear transformation, and $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathbf{R}^n$ is the Cartesian product of several second-order cones, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}^1 \times \mathcal{K}^2 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{K}^N$$

with

$$\mathcal{K}^{j} = \left\{ (x_1, x_{2:n_j}) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^{n_j - 1} : x_1 \ge ||x_{2:n_j}|| \right\},$$

for each $j \in J = \{1, 2, ..., N\}$, and $n = \sum_{j=1}^{N} n_j$. It includes as a special case the well-known standard linear complementarity problem (SLCP), corresponding to $n_j = 1$ for all j, i.e., \mathcal{K} is the nonnegative orthant \mathbf{R}_+^n , and the Karush–Kuhn–Tucker (KKT) optimality conditions for second-order cone optimization (SOCO) [1–3] can be written in the form of SOCLCP [4,5]. Additionally, many important practical problems in economics and engineering, such as facility location and Nash equilibrium [6], can be formulated as it. Many researchers have also studied the second-order cone nonlinear complementarity problem (SOCNCP) and achieved plentiful and beautiful results. For an overview of these results we refer to [7–11].

^a Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Normal University, Shanghai 200234, PR China

^b College of Advanced Vocational Technology, Shanghai University of Engineering Science, Shanghai 200437, PR China

^{*} Corresponding author at: Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Normal University, Shanghai 200234, PR China. E-mail addresses: guoq_wang@hotmail.com (G.Q. Wang), dtzhu@shnu.edu.cn (D.T. Zhu).

We call SOCLCP the Cartesian $P_*(\kappa)$ -SOCLCP if the linear transformation \mathcal{A} has the Cartesian $P_*(\kappa)$ -property, i.e., for any nonnegative real number κ , the linear transformation \mathcal{A} satisfies

$$(1+4\kappa)\sum_{\nu\in I_{+}(x)}\langle x^{(\nu)}, [\mathcal{A}(x)]^{(\nu)}\rangle + \sum_{\nu\in I_{-}(x)}\langle x^{(\nu)}, [\mathcal{A}(x)]^{(\nu)}\rangle \ge 0, \tag{1}$$

where

$$I_{+}(x) = \{ v \in I : \langle x^{(v)}, \lceil A(x) \rceil^{(v)} \rangle > 0 \}, \text{ and } I_{-}(x) = \{ v \in I : \langle x^{(v)}, \lceil A(x) \rceil^{(v)} \rangle < 0 \}$$

are two index sets. The linear transformation \mathcal{A} has the Cartesian P_* -property if it has the Cartesian $P_*(\kappa)$ -property for some nonnegative κ , i.e.,

$$P_* = \bigcup_{\kappa > 0} P_*(\kappa).$$

We also recall that the linear transformation \mathcal{A} has the Cartesian P_0 -property (respectively, P-property), if for any $x \in \mathcal{K}$ and $x \neq 0$, there exists an index $v \in J$ such that $x^{(v)} \neq 0$ and $\langle x^{(v)}, [\mathcal{A}(x)]^{(v)} \rangle \geq 0$ (respectively, $\langle x^{(v)}, [\mathcal{A}(x)]^{(v)} \rangle > 0$). It is clear that the Cartesian P_* class involves the Cartesian P class and turns out to be a special case in the Cartesian P_0 class [11]. The concept of the Cartesian $P_*(\kappa)$ -property was first introduced by Luo and Xiu [12] in the general Euclidean Jordan algebra. Actually, it is a straightforward extension of the $P_*(\kappa)$ -matrix introduced by Kojima et al. [13]. The related to the Cartesian P_0 - and P-properties which were first introduced by Chen and Qi [14] for a linear transformation between the space of symmetric matrices, and later extended by Pan and Chen [11] to the space of second-order cones. It should be pointed out that the Cartesian $P_*(\kappa)$ -property is a weaker property than the monotonicity unless $\kappa=0$. Moreover, the linear transformation \mathcal{A} with the Cartesian $P_*(\kappa)$ -property becomes the usual $P_*(\kappa)$ matrix when \mathcal{K} is specified to be \mathbf{R}^n_+ , correspondingly, the Cartesian $P_*(\kappa)$ -SOCLCP reduces to the $P_*(\kappa)$ -LCP [13].

Until now all known polynomial interior-point methods (IPMs) used the so-called central path as a guideline to the optimal set, and some variant of Newton's method to follow the central path approximately. Kojima et al. [13] first proved the existence of the central path for any $P_*(\kappa)$ -LCP and unified the theory of the $P_*(\kappa)$ -LCP from the viewpoint of IPMs. Since then, many polynomial interior-point algorithms have been developed for solving the $P_*(\kappa)$ -LCP (see, e.g., [15,16]). However, there is still a gap between the practical behavior of these algorithms and these theoretical performance results with respect to the update strategies of the duality gap parameter in the algorithms. The so-called large-update IPMs have superior practical performance but with relatively weak theoretical results. While the so-called small-update IPMs enjoy the best known worst-case iteration bounds, their performance in computational practice is poor. Recently, Peng et al. [17] presented primal-dual IPMs based on the self-regular proximities for linear optimization (LO) and derived the currently best known iteration bounds for the large- and small-update methods, namely, $O(\sqrt{n} \log n \log \frac{n}{\epsilon})$ and $O(\sqrt{n} \log \frac{n}{\epsilon})$, respectively, which almost closes the gap between the iteration bounds for the large- and small-update methods. Bai et al. proposed a class of primal-dual IPMs for LO [18] based on a variety of non-self-regular kernel functions (i.e., the so-called eligible kernel functions) and obtained the same iteration bounds as in [17]. Later on, Amini and Peyghami [19], Bai et al. [20], Wang and Bai [21], Cho [22], Cho and Kim [23], Cho et al. [24] extended primal-dual interior-point algorithms for LO to $P_*(\kappa)$ -LCP based on some eligible kernel functions, respectively.

Motivated by their work, in this paper we propose a class of polynomial interior-point algorithms for the Cartesian $P_*(\kappa)$ -SOCLCP based on a parametric kernel function as defined by

$$\psi(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{t^{p+1} - 1}{p+1} + \frac{t^{1-q} - 1}{q-1}, & t > 0, \ p \in [0, 1], \ q > 1, \\ \frac{t^{p+1} - 1}{p+1} - \log t, & t > 0, \ p \in [0, 1], \ q = 1, \end{cases}$$
(2)

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we first recall some relevant algebraic properties of the second-order cones. Then we discuss the central path and the new search directions for the Cartesian $P_*(\kappa)$ -SOCLCP. The generic polynomial interior-point algorithm for the Cartesian $P_*(\kappa)$ -SOCLCP is also presented. In Section 3, we propose some properties of the kernel function $\psi(t)$ and the corresponding barrier function $\psi(v)$. In Section 4, we analyze the algorithms and derive the currently best known iteration bounds for the large- and small-update methods. Finally, some conclusions and remarks follow in Section 5.

We use the following national conventions. \mathbf{R}^n , \mathbf{R}^n_+ and \mathbf{R}^n_{++} denote the set of vectors with n components, the set of nonnegative vectors and the set of positive vectors, respectively. $\|.\|$ denotes the Frobenius norm for matrices, and the

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/841541

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/841541

Daneshyari.com