

Academy of Scientific Research & Technology and National Research Center, Egypt

Journal of Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology

www.elsevier.com/locate/jgeb



ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Chemical complexity of protein determines optimal *E. coli* expression host; A comparative study using Erythropoietin, Streptokinase and Tumor Necrosis Factor Receptor

Subramani Ramkumar*, Vaishnavo Rabindranath Pai, Chinnathambi Thangadurai, Vidhya Priya Murugan

Genetic Engineering Unit, Centre for Biotechnology, Anna University, Sardar Patel Road, Guindy, Chennai 600 025, India

Received 8 August 2016; revised 3 November 2016; accepted 19 December 2016

KEYWORDS

Erythropoietin; Streptokinase; TNFR; Expression; Recombinant; Inclusion bodies

Abstract High throughput expression of proteins is often hampered by the failure of certain proteins to express in the particular E. coli host strain used for the study. The identification of a host strain compatible for a wide variety of proteins is desirable. In this study, the recombinant expression of therapeutic proteins Erythropoietin (EPO), Streptokinase (SK) and Tumor Necrosis Factor Receptor Extra cellular domain (TNFR ED) that vary widely in their chemical nature was studied in four different strains of E. coli namely BL21 (DE3), BL21 (DE3) pLys S, BL21 (DE3) Rosetta pLys S and GJ1158. Since there are no previous report for the analysis of expression and solubility of the above mentioned proteins we studied the same in various E. coli stains. Here we report that E. coli strain GJ1158 which uses salt induction was found to be the most suitable for overexpression of all the three proteins. Interestingly rare codons were found not to play any significant role in the expression. Protein toxicity and aggregation propensity were also studied. One of the major factors influencing expression was the tendency of the protein to aggregate which in turn influences folding and toxicity levels. The solubility of the proteins was inversely proportional to aggregation. Expression levels were in the order of TNFR ED < EPO < SK. In conclusion, it was observed that E. coli GJ1158, a strain known to decrease aggregation of proteins was found to be more suited for expression. This is the first time GJ1158 has been included in this kind of analysis for comparison of protein expression in various E. coli hosts.

© 2017 Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Academy of Scientific Research & Technology. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: ramelango2002@gmail.com (S. Ramkumar). Peer review under responsibility of National Research Center, Egypt. An efficient recombinant protein production strategy determines the friendliest host for the protein of interest in order to

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jgeb.2016.12.006

1687-157X © 2017 Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Academy of Scientific Research & Technology. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Please cite this article in press as: S. Ramkumar et al., Journal of Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology (2017), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jgeb.2016.12.006

achieve high yields. When a large number of recombinant proteins are being screened for expression, the choice of the host for expression is of major importance. A host that is compatible for majority of the proteins is desired.

In our study, we have compared the expression of three major therapeutic proteins namely Streptokinase (SK), Erythropoietin (EPO) and Tumor Necrosis Factor Receptor extracellular domain (TNFR ED) in four different strains of E. coli. Streptokinase is a thrombolytic agent, its source being Streptococcus. TNFR ED is a human transmembrane receptor used in treatment of rheumatoid arthritis [2]. EPO is a human secretory glycoprotein used in chronic anemia therapy [9]. In this study on recombinant protein synthesis, a construct carrying the first domain of TNFR II namely, TNFR-ED was used for expression analysis. A number of differences are present between the proteins for eg. Post-translational modifications such as glycosylation, presence of disulfide bonds, number and composition of rare codons (Table 1). There are no disulfide bonds in SK, since there are no cysteine residues present in this protein. On the other hand human TNFR ED, which is pretty rich in cysteine residues, contains 12 disulfide bonds. Bridging these two above-mentioned proteins is human EPO having two disulfide bonds. Both TNFR ED and EPO are known to be glycosylated in humans; however in E. coli this particular posttranslational modification is not possible. Based on these modifications and composition of amino acids, the proteins can be said to be in the following order of chemical complexity - TNFR ED > EPO > SK. It is therefore interesting to analyze the heterologous expression behaviors of the above-mentioned proteins in different E. coli host strains. Interestingly, there are no previous reports of such comparison for the above-mentioned proteins.

The E. coli expression hosts that have been used are also different in that they have their own special purpose in recombinant protein expression. E. coli BL21 (DE3) is the commonly used host for expression of non-toxic proteins. E. coli BL21 (DE3) pLys S is also a common host, used however preferentially for the expression of toxic proteins. [16]. E. coli BL21 (DE3) Rosetta pLys S is also a stringent host providing tRNAs for rare codons. E. coli GJ1158 is unique in that it contains the T7 RNA Polymerase gene under the control of pro U promoter, which is induced by increased salt concentrations [14]. There have been many reports comparing expression of particular proteins in different hosts [3,4], however this is the first time E. coli GJ1158 has been included in this kind of analysis. Here we have attempted to analyze the different expression levels of the therapeutic proteins EPO, TNFR ED and SK in different hosts and of the different proteins in same host.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Bacterial strains and plasmids

For initial transformation of the ligation mixtures, the maintenance strain E. coli DH5a was used. The bacterial strains used to study expression were E. coli GJ1158, E. coli BL21 (DE3), E. coli BL21 (DE3) pLys S, E. coli BL21 Rosetta (DE3) pLys S. E. coli GJ1158 [14], derived from E. coli B strain BL21, is a salt inducible strain with a pro U promoter (Table 2). The strain was obtained from the Centre for Cellular and Molecular Biology (CCMB), India. E. coli BL21 (DE3), E. coli BL21 (DE3) pLys S, E. coli BL21 Rosetta (DE3) pLys S (Novagen,) are IPTG inducible strains in which the T7 RNA Polymerase gene is under the control of a Lac UV5 promoter.

The expression vector used in this study is pRSET A obtained from Invitrogen Life Technologies, USA. pRSET is a high copy number plasmid with a pUC origin of replication.

2.2. Recombinant plasmid construction

Standard recombinant DNA techniques were used for the cloning of human EPO gene, Streptokinase and TNFR ED in pRSET vector. Genes were cloned in the Bam HI-Hind III

	Streptokinase	Erythropoietin	TNFRII-ED
Origin	Prokaryotic	Eukaryotic	Eukaryotic
Molecular weight (kDa)	45	18.6	25
No of amino acids in protein used in this study	414	166	235
Rare codons	9 leucine	8 arginine	13 prolines
	8 arginine		6 arginines
	2 proline	1 prolines	Arg-Pro doublet
	2 isoleucine		In two positions
	No rare codon repeats		
Disulfide bonds	No cysteines	2	12
Glycosylation	None	4 sites	4 sites
Protein localization	Secretory in Streptococcus	Secretory in humans	Either part of receptor or shed into blood stream
Hydrophilicity(Kyle and Do Little)	5	6	
Insolubility index	57.3% chance of solubility	72.6% chance of	87.5% chance of insolubility
http://www.biotech.ou.edu/		insolubility	

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8416519

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8416519

Daneshyari.com