## ARTICLE IN PRESS

Synthetic and Systems Biotechnology xxx (2017) 1-10

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



# Synthetic and Systems Biotechnology



journal homepage: http://www.keaipublishing.com/en/journals/syntheticand-systems-biotechnology/

## Genome and metabolic engineering in non-conventional yeasts: Current advances and applications

Ann-Kathrin Löbs<sup>1</sup>, Cory Schwartz<sup>1</sup>, Ian Wheeldon<sup>\*</sup>

Department of Chemical and Environmental Engineering, UC Riverside, Riverside, USA

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 14 July 2017 Received in revised form 14 August 2017 Accepted 15 August 2017

Keywords: CRISPR-Cas9 Kluyveromyces lactis Kluyveromyces marxianus Scheffersomyces stipitis Yarrowia lipolytica Hansenula polymorpha Pichia pastoris

### ABSTRACT

Microbial production of chemicals and proteins from biomass-derived and waste sugar streams is a rapidly growing area of research and development. While the model yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* is an excellent host for the conversion of glucose to ethanol, production of other chemicals from alternative substrates often requires extensive strain engineering. To avoid complex and intensive engineering of *S. cerevisiae*, other yeasts are often selected as hosts for bioprocessing based on their natural capacity to produce a desired product: for example, the efficient production and secretion of proteins, lipids, and primary metabolites that have value as commodity chemicals. Even when using yeasts with beneficial native phenotypes, metabolic engineering to increase yield, titer, and production rate is essential. The non-conventional yeasts *Kluyveromyces lactis, K. marxianus, Scheffersomyces stipitis, Yarrowia lipolytica, Hansenula polymorpha and Pichia pastoris* have been developed as eukaryotic hosts because of their desirable phenotypes, including thermotolerance, assimilation of diverse carbon sources, and high protein secretion. However, advanced metabolic engineering in these yeasts has been limited. This review outlines the challenges of using non-conventional yeasts for strain and pathway engineering, and discusses the developed solutions to these problems and the resulting applications in industrial biotechnology.

© 2017 Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of KeAi Communications Co. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

#### Contents

1.	Introduction	00			
2.	Genetic engineering challenges in non-conventional yeasts				
	3. Enhancing HR in non-conventional yeasts				
4. CRISPR-Cas9 genome editing and transcriptional control					
5.	Bioprocessing and metabolic engineering with non-conventional yeasts	00			
	5.1. Kluyveromyces lactis	00			
	5.2. Kluyveromyces marxianus				
	5.3. Scheffersomyces stipitis	00			
	5.4. Yarrowia lipolytica	00			
	5.5. Hansenula polymorpha	00			
	5.6. Pichia pastoris	00			
6.	Perspectives				

\* Corresponding author. Chemical and Environmental Engineering, University of California, Riverside, 900 University Ave, 92521, Riverside, USA.

E-mail address: iwheeldon@engr.ucr.edu (I. Wheeldon).

<sup>1</sup> Contributed equally.

#### http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.synbio.2017.08.002

2405-805X/© 2017 Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of KeAi Communications Co. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Please cite this article in press as: Löbs A-K, et al., Genome and metabolic engineering in non-conventional yeasts: Current advances and applications, Synthetic and Systems Biotechnology (2017), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.synbio.2017.08.002

Abbreviations: HR, homologous recombination; NHEJ, nonhomologous endjoining; DSB, double strand break; CRISPR, Clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats; TALEN, transcription activator-like effector nucleases; sgRNA, short (or single) guide RNA; PAM, protospacer adjacent motif.

Peer review under responsibility of KeAi Communications Co., Ltd.

2

## ARTICLE IN PRESS

A.-K. Löbs et al. / Synthetic and Systems Biotechnology xxx (2017) 1–10

Acknowledgements	00
References	00

## 1. Introduction

The microbial production of fuels and chemicals from biomass and other renewable carbon sources is an attractive alternative to petroleum-derived products. One of the largest scale example of this is ethanol production by the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiaein 2015, over 25 billion gallons were produced worldwide from starch, waste sugar streams, and biomass-derived sugars (www. afdc.energy.gov/data/10331). S. cerevisiae is the organism of choice because of its high rate of production and tolerance to ethanol titers upwards of 120 g  $L^{-1}$  [1,2]. These phenotypes, among others, have led to the widespread study of S. cerevisiae and its development as a model eukaryotic host for chemical biosynthesis. A valuable approach to metabolic engineering is identifying organisms with desirable phenotypes and developing new synthetic biology tools to enhance these phenotypes. Bioethanol production in S. cerevisiae is a good example of this, and illustrates the potential of identifying other hosts and phenotypes to synthesize bioproducts other than ethanol. A number of examples of this strategy already exist in industry, where non-conventional yeasts with unique and advantageous phenotypes are used to produce proteins, lipids, and commodity chemicals. Metabolic engineering in these yeasts is, however, more challenging in comparison with S. cerevisiae, because less is known about their metabolism and genomics, and advanced genetic engineering tools are limited.

In this review, we focus on six non-conventional yeasts (Table 1): *Kluyveromyces lactis, K. marxianus, Scheffersomyces* (*Pichia) stipitis, Yarrowia lipolytica, Hansenula polymorpha, and Pichia pastoris.* In contrast to *S. cerevisiae,* these yeasts are Crabtree negative and favor respiration over fermentation; phenotypes that are particularly useful for protein production as well as the biosynthesis of chemicals other than ethanol [3]. *K. lactis* is discussed here because of its capacity to metabolize inexpensive substrates such as waste whey and because of its use as a host for heterologous protein production in the food, feed, and

pharmaceutical industries [4]. The *Kluyveromyces* species K. marxianus is also industrially relevant because of its wide substrate spectrum, fast growth characteristics, and thermotolerance to ~50 °C [5,6]. Native strains of K. marxianus are also known to synthesize ethyl acetate at rates above 2 g  $L^{-1}$  h<sup>-1</sup> in aerated bioreactors [7,8]. S. stipitis is capable of fermenting xylose at high rates compared to other yeasts and has been widely studied for ethanol production from biomass-derived sugars [9,10]. Y. lipolytica is a well-studied oleaginous yeast and has attracted interest due to its ability to synthesize and accumulate high levels of intracellular lipids [11–13]. The methylotrophic yeast *H. polymorpha* has been studied as a model system for peroxisome function as well as for its methanol and nitrate assimilation pathways [14,15]. Significant efforts have gone into heterologous protein production in H. polymorpha due to its efficient secretion pathways, effective glycosylation machinery, and tightly controlled expression systems [16]. *H. polymorpha* is also thermotolerant to temperatures comparable to *K. marxianus* and can assimilates various substrates, thus making it a potential alternative host for ethanol production [17]. The methylotrophic yeast *P. pastoris* has similar protein secretion and glycosylation capabilities to *H. polymorpha* and has been widely used for heterologous protein production [18]. Its capacity to grow to extremely high cell densities and high capacity for membrane protein expression also provide inherent advantages over other yeast hosts [19,20].

Despite these many advantages, metabolic engineering of nonconventional yeasts is limited by a lack of sophisticated genome editing tools and an incomplete understanding of their genetics, metabolism, and cellular physiology. In this review, we discuss the challenges and solutions that have arisen in engineering nonconventional yeasts for metabolic engineering and synthetic biology applications. We begin our review with a discussion of the challenges to genetic engineering, followed by a discussion of strategies for improving genome and pathway engineering. Finally, we discuss representative examples of metabolic engineering in

Table 1

Yeast	Beneficial Phenotype	Products	Reference
K. lactis	High protein secretion	Proteins for food and feed industry	[4]
	Growth on lactose	Pharmaceutical enzymes	
K. marxianus	Thermotolerance	Ethanol and volatile acetate esters	[5]
	Fast growth characteristics		
	High ethyl acetate production		
	Growth on a range of sugars		
S. stipitis	High ethanol production from xylose	Ethanol fermentation from biomass derived carbohydrates	[21]
Y. lipolytica	Efficient production of lipids	Lipids and oleochemicals	[12]
	Growth on glycerol and alkanes		
H. polymorpha	Thermotolerance	Heterologous protein	[17,18]
	Tightly regulated expression system	High temperature ethanol fermentation	
	Beneficial glycosylation for therapeutics		
P. pastoris	Tightly regulated expression system	Pharmaceuticals and industrial enzymes	[18]
	High cell density on minimal media		
	Beneficial glycosylation for therapeutics		
	Efficient production of membrane proteins		
S. cerevisiae	High ethanol production	Ethanol in fermented beverages and as biofuel	[2,22]
	High HR capacity	Commodity and specialty chemicals	
	Well known genomics and physiology	Pharmaceuticals	
	Advanced synthetic biology tools		

Please cite this article in press as: Löbs A-K, et al., Genome and metabolic engineering in non-conventional yeasts: Current advances and applications, Synthetic and Systems Biotechnology (2017), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.synbio.2017.08.002

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8426035

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8426035

Daneshyari.com