



ULM'S method without derivatives

A. Galperin*

Department of Mathematics, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Beer-Sheva 84105, Israel

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 7 August 2007

Accepted 12 January 2009

MSC:

47J25

65J15

Keywords:

Nonlinear operator equations

Iterative methods

Convergence analysis

Functional equations

ABSTRACT

We consider a version of Ulm's method obtained when the derivative in its definition is replaced by the divided difference operator. The convergence analysis of the proposed method, carried out under the *regular continuity* assumption, more general and more flexible than the traditional Lipschitz continuity, has produced the convergence condition, existence and uniqueness radii, and error bounds, all shown to be sharp.

© 2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In [1] Ulm proposed to solve nonlinear operator equations

$$\mathbf{f}(x) = 0, \quad \mathbf{f} : X \supset D \rightarrow W, \quad X, W \text{ are Banach spaces,} \quad (1.1)$$

by the following iterative method:

$$\mathbf{B}_{n+1} := 2\mathbf{B}_n - \mathbf{B}_n \mathbf{f}'(x_n) \mathbf{B}_n, \quad x_{n+1} := x_n - \mathbf{B}_{n+1} \mathbf{f}(x_n),$$

(see also [2] for its analysis and a numerical example). The method has several attractive properties. First, it is (like Newton's method) self-correcting. Second, it is able to converge with Newton-like rate. Third, it is (unlike Newton's method) "inversion free": no linear problem has to be solved at each iteration. Fourth, apart from solving the problem (1.1), the method generates successive approximations $\mathbf{B}_n$ to the inverse derivative $\mathbf{f}'(x_\infty)^{-1}$ at the solution x_∞ , which is very helpful when one is interested in the solution's sensitivity to small perturbations. So, in fact, the method solves the system

$$\mathbf{f}(x) = 0 \quad \& \quad \mathbf{X} \mathbf{f}'(x) = \mathbf{I}$$

for the pair $(x, \mathbf{X})$, $x \in D \subset X$, $\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{L}(W, X)$ (the space of bounded linear operators acting from W into X). At the same time, the method has a serious shortcoming: the derivative $\mathbf{f}'(x)$ has to be evaluated at each iteration. This makes it unapplicable to equations with nondifferentiable operators and in situations when evaluation of the derivative is too costly.

The secant method

$$x_{n+1} := x_n - [x_n, x_{n-1}, \mathbf{f}]^{-1} \mathbf{f}(x)$$

is free from this shortcoming, but suffers from another one: it is not inversion free. So, it is only natural to try to marry these two interesting methods to obtain a new one, which would retain valuable traits of both, but none of their undesirable ones.

* Tel.: +972 8 6490516.

E-mail addresses: gal@math.bgu.ac.il, galperin3@gmail.com.

This idea is not new. It is suggested in a certain form already in [1] and rediscovered in [3]. Later the hybrid method

$$\mathbf{B}_{n+1} := 2\mathbf{B}_n - \mathbf{B}_n[x_n, x_{n-1}, \mathbf{f}]\mathbf{B}_n, \quad x_{n+1} := x_n - \mathbf{B}_{n+1}\mathbf{f}(x_n), \quad (1.2)$$

was discussed in [4,5] (I am unaware of more recent publications where this method was mentioned). In those works, the method (1.2) is studied under assumption that the divided difference operator $[x, y, \mathbf{f}]$ is Lipschitz continuous in the sense that

$$\max\{\|[x, y, \mathbf{f}] - \mathbf{f}'(x)\|, \|[x, y, \mathbf{f}] - \mathbf{f}'(y)\|\} \leq c\|x - y\|. \quad (1.3)$$

The purpose of the present paper is to offer a new Kantorovich-type convergence analysis of the hybrid method (1.2) based on a more general and more flexible continuity assumption which we call *regular continuity* [6,7]. To make the paper self-contained, we recall briefly in the next section some facts from [8] pertinent to this notion that are necessary for understanding subsequent developments. Also, two new examples are provided there, demonstrating techniques for finding a regular continuity modulus of a divided difference of a nonlinear operator. A majorant generator for (1.2) is devised and discussed in Section 3. The convergence theorem is proved and commented upon in Section 4.

2. Regularly continuous divided differences

Let $\mathbf{f}$ be a nonlinear operator acting from an open convex subset D of a Banach space X into another Banach space W .

Definition 2.1. A linear bounded operator $\mathbf{A}$ from X into W is called a divided difference operator (briefly dd), if for any given pair (x, y) of points of D it satisfies the (secant) equation

$$\mathbf{A}(x - y) = \mathbf{f}(x) - \mathbf{f}(y).$$

To emphasize its dependence on x, y , and $\mathbf{f}$, such an operator is designated by the symbol $[x, y, \mathbf{f}]$.

For given $x \in X$ and $w \in W$, linear operators satisfying the equation $\mathbf{A}x = w$ constitute an affine manifold in the space $\mathcal{L}(X, W)$ of all bounded linear operators between X and W :

$$\mathbf{A}_0x = w \quad \& \quad \mathbf{A}x = w \implies (\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}_0)x = 0 \implies \mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{A}_0 + \mathcal{L}_x,$$

where $\mathcal{L}_x \subset \mathcal{L}(X, W)$ is the subspace of operators vanishing on x . So, the symbol $[x, y, \mathbf{f}]$ should be understood as the notation for this manifold or, more precisely, as its particular representative selected from it according to a certain rule specified in advance. If $[x, y, \mathbf{f}]$ is selected to be continuous at x with respect to y , then it is easy to see that $[x, x, \mathbf{f}] = \mathbf{f}'(x)$. Otherwise, $\lim_{t \rightarrow +0} [x, x + th, \mathbf{f}]h = \lim_{t \rightarrow +0} t^{-1}[\mathbf{f}(x + th) - \mathbf{f}(x)]$ (if it exists) may vary depending on h , $\|h\| = 1$. In this case, this limit is the directional derivative $\mathbf{f}'(x, h)$ of $\mathbf{f}$ in the direction h .

Numerous convergence analyses of the secant method appearing in literature impose on $[x, y, \mathbf{f}]$ one or another continuity assumption, more general than (1.3). For example, Potra in [9,10] assumes dd to be a *consistent approximation* to the derivative:

$$\|[x, y, \mathbf{f}] - \mathbf{f}'(u)\| \leq c(\|x - u\| + \|y - u\|), \quad \forall x, y, u \in D. \quad (2.4)$$

In [11,12], the inequality

$$\|[x, y, \mathbf{f}] - [u, v, \mathbf{f}]\| \leq c(\|x - u\| + \|y - v\|), \quad \forall x, y, u, v \in D. \quad (2.5)$$

(Lipschitz continuity of dd) is required. In [13] Hernández and Rubio replace Lipschitz continuity by the more general *Hölder continuity*, which means that

$$\|[x, y, \mathbf{f}] - [u, v, \mathbf{f}]\| \leq c(\|x - u\|^p + \|y - v\|^p), \quad \forall x, y, u, v \in D. \quad (2.6)$$

for some $p \in (0, 1]$. In [14–16] these authors relax this requirement still further, assuming that a continuous nondecreasing function $\omega : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is known such that

$$\|[x, y, \mathbf{f}] - [u, v, \mathbf{f}]\| \leq \omega(\|x - u\|, \|y - v\|), \quad \forall x, y, u, v \in D. \quad (2.7)$$

It is noted however that assumptions of the type of ω -continuity

$$\|[x, y, \mathbf{f}] - [u, v, \mathbf{f}]\| \leq \omega(\|x - u\| + \|y - v\|), \quad \forall x, y, u, v \in D. \quad (2.8)$$

are too general for meaningful convergence analysis. First, we observe that the least ω satisfying (2.8)

$$\underline{\omega}(t) := \sup_{x, y, u, v} \{ \|[x, y, \mathbf{f}] - [u, v, \mathbf{f}]\| \mid (x, y, u, v) \in D^4 \quad \& \quad \|x - u\| + \|y - v\| \leq t \},$$

in addition to being continuous and nondecreasing, vanishes at zero and subadditive: $\underline{\omega}(s+t) \leq \underline{\omega}(s) + \underline{\omega}(t)$, $\forall s > 0, t > 0$. The functions ω possessing all four properties

- (i) $\omega(0) = 0$,
- (ii) continuity on $[0, \infty)$,
- (iii) monotonicity,
- (iv) subadditivity,

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/843309>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/843309>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)