European Journal of Cancer xx (2018) 1-10



Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

## **ScienceDirect**

journal homepage: www.ejcancer.com



## Original Research

First-line mFOLFOX plus cetuximab followed by mFOLFOX plus cetuximab or single-agent cetuximab as maintenance therapy in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer: Phase II randomised MACRO2 TTD study

- E. Aranda <sup>a,\*</sup>, P. García Alfonso <sup>b</sup>, M. Benavides <sup>c</sup>, A. Sánchez Ruiz <sup>d</sup>,
- C. Guillén-Ponce e, M.J. Safont f, J. Alcaide g, A. Gómez a, R. López h,
- J.L. Manzano i, M. Méndez Ureña j, J. Sastre k, F. Rivera l, C. Grávalos m,
- T. García <sup>n</sup>, J.I. Martín-Valadés <sup>o</sup>, E. Falcó <sup>p</sup>, M. Navalón <sup>q</sup>,
- E. González Flores <sup>r</sup>, A. M<sup>a</sup> García Tapiador <sup>s</sup>, A. M<sup>a</sup> López Muñoz <sup>t</sup>,
- E. Barrajón <sup>u</sup>, M. Reboredo <sup>v</sup>, P. García Teijido <sup>w</sup>, A. Viudez <sup>x</sup>,
- N. Cárdenas <sup>y</sup>, E. Díaz-Rubio <sup>k</sup>, On behalf of the Spanish Cooperative Group for the Treatment of Digestive Tumours (TTD)

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2018.06.024

0959-8049/© 2018 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Hospital Reina Sofía, Córdoba, Spain

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Hospital Universitario Gregorio Marañón, Madrid, Spain

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Hospital Universitario Regional y Virgen de la Victoria, Málaga, Spain

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> Hospital Universitario Puerta de Hierro, Madrid, Spain

e Hospital Ramón y Cajal, Madrid, Spain

f Hospital General Universitario de Valencia, Valencia, Spain

g Hospital Costa del Sol, Málaga, Spain

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>h</sup> Hospital Clínico Universitario de Santiago, A Coruña, Spain

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>i</sup> Institut Català d'Oncologia, Hospital Germans Trias i Pujol, Barcelona, Spain

j Hospital de Móstoles, Madrid, Spain

k Hospital Universitario Clínico San Carlos, Madrid, Spain

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Hospital Universitario Marqués de Valdecilla, Santander, Spain

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>m</sup> Hospital 12 de Octubre, Madrid, Spain

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>n</sup> Hospital Morales Meseguer, Murcia, Spain

<sup>°</sup> Fundación Jiménez Díaz, Madrid, Spain

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>p</sup> Hospital Son Llàtzer, Palma de Mallorca, Spain

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>q</sup> Complejo Asistencial de Zamora, Zamora, Spain

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>r</sup> Hospital Virgen de las Nieves, Granada, Spain

s Complejo Hospitalario La Mancha Centro, Ciudad Real, Spain

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>t</sup> Hospital Universitario de Burgos, Burgos, Spain

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>u</sup> Hospital Clínica Benidorm, Alicante, Spain

- v Complejo Hospitalario Universitario A Coruña, A Coruña, Spain
- W Hospital San Agustín, Avilés, Spain
- x Complejo Hospitalario de Navarra, Pamplona, Spain
- y Complejo Hospitalario de Jaén, Jaén, Spain

Received 23 March 2018; received in revised form 21 June 2018; accepted 24 June 2018

Available online

#### **KEYWORDS**

Cetuximab; 5-FU; Oxaliplatin; mFOLFOX; Metastatic colorectal cancer **Abstract** *Background:* This multicentre, randomised, and phase II study evaluated mFOLFOX+cetuximab followed by maintenance mFOLFOX+cetuximab or single-agent cetuximab in metastatic colorectal cancer (mCRC) patients (NCT01161316).

**Patients and methods:** Previously, untreated mCRC patients (wild-type KRAS) were randomised to receive cetuximab+mFOLFOX-6 (8 cycles for 2 weeks) followed by maintenance therapy: single-agent cetuximab (Arm-A) or mFOLFOX-6 + cetuximab (Arm-B) until progression. Primary endpoint was progression-free survival (PFS) at 9 months.

Results: One hundred ninety-three patients (median [range] age 60 [33–74] years) were randomised (2:1): 129 Arm-A versus 64 Arm-B. PFS at 9 months (95% confidence interval) showed non-inferiority between arms (Arm-A/Arm-B: 60 [52, 69]%/72 [61, 83]%, p [non-inferiority] < 0.1). There were no statistically significant differences in the PFS (Arm-A/Arm-B: 9 [95% CI 7, 10] months/10 [7,13] months, hazard ratio [HR] = 1.19 [0.80, 1.79]) or overall survival (23 [19, 28] months/27 [18, 36] months, HR = 1.24 [0.85, 1.79]) between arms. The objective response rate was also similar (48 [39, 57]%/39 [27, 52]%). The safety profile was similar between arms, and all patients experienced at least one adverse event (AE) (Arm-A/Arm-B grade ≥III AEs: 70%/68%). The most common grade ≥III AEs were as follows: neutropenia (Arm-A/Arm-B: 28%/26%), rash acneiform (15%/24%) and sensory neuropathy (2%/15%) in any group. Arm-A was associated with less grade ≥III rash and sensory neuropathy and a lower rate of serious AEs (20%/27%).

Conclusion(s): This phase II exploratory trial with a non-inferiority design suggests that maintenance therapy with single-agent cetuximab following mFOLFOX+cetuximab induction could be a valuable option compared with mFOLFOX+cetuximab treatment continuation. We await phase III trials to confirm single-agent cetuximab as maintenance therapy in mCRC patients.

© 2018 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the third most common form of cancer and accounts for an estimated 694,000 deaths annually [1]; around one-quarter of all patients will present metastatic disease, and approximately half will go on to develop metastases [2]. Metastatic CRC (mCRC) is treated with a combination of cytotoxic drugs and targeted agents [3,4].

Cetuximab, a monoclonal antibody targeting the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) was initially approved for KRAS wild-type (WT) mCRC in patients who failed oxaliplatin and irinotecan-based therapy or were intolerant to irinotecan [5]. However, emerging data of *RAS* mutations as negative predictors of EGFR-targeting antibodies further refined the EMA approval (2009) to *RAS* WT (*KRAS* [exons 2 and 3] and *NRAS* [exons 2, 3, and 4] WT) mCRC patients. Recommending

cetuximab as a first-line treatment in *RAS* WT mCRC patients together with anticancer chemotherapy treatments (irinotecan or oxaliplatin) in treatment naïve patients and as a single-agent in oxaliplatin and irinotecan treatment-refractory patients.

However, patients treated with oxaliplatin- or irinotecan-based therapies tend to discontinue treatment prematurely either due to severe neurotoxicity (oxaliplatin) or chronic diarrhoea (irinotecan) [6]. Non—chemotherapy-based maintenance therapy following first-line chemotherapy is a valuable and growing strategy used to decrease toxicity while maintaining efficacy. A number of maintenance therapy approaches have been evaluated, including intermittent schedules, chemotherapy holidays and single-agent regimens [7–17].

The MACRO2 trial seeks to optimise treatment with oxaliplatin-based regimens with the aim of preventing premature discontinuations for reasons other than

## Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8439282

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8439282

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>