



Review

## Development of molecularly targeted agents and immunotherapies in small cell lung cancer



Adam Sharp<sup>a,b</sup>, Jaishree Bhosle<sup>a</sup>, Fatma Abdelraouf<sup>a,c</sup>, Sanjay Popat<sup>a,d</sup>,  
Mary O'Brien<sup>a</sup>, Timothy A. Yap<sup>a,b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Lung Cancer Unit, Department of Medicine, Royal Marsden Hospital, London, United Kingdom

<sup>b</sup> Division of Clinical Studies, The Institute of Cancer Research, London, United Kingdom

<sup>c</sup> Clinical Pathology Department, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt

<sup>d</sup> Imperial College, London, United Kingdom

Received 3 November 2015; received in revised form 29 February 2016; accepted 2 March 2016

### KEY WORDS

Small cell lung cancer;  
Targeted therapies;  
Precision medicine;  
Molecular analysis;  
Angiogenesis;  
Cell signalling;  
Apoptosis;  
Immunotherapies;  
DNA repair;  
Antibody-drug  
conjugate

**Abstract** Small cell lung cancer (SCLC) is a smoking-induced malignancy with multiple toxin-associated mutations, which accounts for 15% of all lung cancers. It remains a clinical challenge with a rapid doubling time, early dissemination and poor prognosis. Despite multiple clinical trials in SCLC, platinum-based chemotherapy remains the mainstay of treatment in the first line advanced disease setting; good initial responses are nevertheless inevitably followed by disease relapse and survival ultimately remains poor. There are currently no molecularly targeted agents licenced for use in SCLC. Advances in sequencing the cancer genome and other high-throughput profiling technologies have identified aberrant pathways and mechanisms implicated in SCLC development and progression. Novel anti-tumour therapeutics that impact these putative targets are now being developed and investigated in SCLC. In this review, we discuss novel anti-tumour agents assessed in SCLC with reference to the complex molecular mechanisms implicated in SCLC development and progression. We focus on novel DNA damage response inhibitors, immune checkpoint modulators and antibody-drug conjugates that have shown promise in SCLC, and which may potentially transform treatment strategies in this disease. Finally, we envision the future management of SCLC and propose a biomarker-driven translational treatment paradigm for SCLC that incorporates next generation sequencing studies with patient tumours, circulating plasma DNA and functional imaging. Such modern strategies have the potential to transform the management and improve patient outcomes in SCLC.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

\* Corresponding author: Lung Cancer Unit, Department of Medicine, Royal Marsden NHS Foundation Trust and Drug Development Unit, Division of Clinical Studies, The Institute of Cancer Research, Downs Road, London SM2 5PT, United Kingdom.

E-mail address: [timothy.yap@icr.ac.uk](mailto:timothy.yap@icr.ac.uk) (T.A. Yap).

## 1. Introduction

Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer-related deaths in Europe with 353,000 deaths in 2012 [1]. Small cell lung cancer (SCLC) is an aggressive neuroendocrine subtype of lung cancer with a propensity to present with metastatic disease (extensive stage disease; ED) at an early stage [2]. Our understanding of the complex molecular mechanisms and pathways underpinning the development and progression of SCLC has improved with recent advancements in cancer genome sequencing and other high throughput profiling technologies [3–10]. However, despite these advances, there have been no significant improvements in the development of anti-tumour strategies for this disease. A plethora of molecular targeted agents have been investigated in SCLC and most have failed to demonstrate clinical benefit [11–43]. This is likely to be due to a combination of factors, including the molecular targets selected, their functional relevance in the pathogenesis of this aggressive disease and the lack of predictive biomarkers of

clinical efficacy in SCLC, making appropriate patient selection challenging.

Given the richness of the leads that have been produced with high throughput profiling technologies in other solid malignancies, as well as liquid tumours, there is now a fresh impetus to exploit these technologies in SCLC. Here we detail novel therapies that have been investigated in patients with SCLC in the context of our expanding knowledge of the molecular biology underpinning the disease. We also propose treatment strategies that we believe will provide SCLC patients with the greatest chance of clinical benefit.

## 2. Development of novel agents in SCLC: the challenges

A number of molecularly targeted therapies have been evaluated in SCLC either as monotherapy or in combination with other anti-tumour agents. These include clinical trials in the first-line setting, as maintenance therapy and in relapsed SCLC (Tables 1 and 2) [11–43]. The majority of these trials have not found a benefit

Table 1  
Targeted therapies in first line treatment of small cell lung cancer.

| Putative target  | Agent        | Author                    | Phase  | Therapy     | Setting | Outcome             |
|--|--------------|---------------------------|--------|-------------|---------|---------------------|
| <b>Targeting angiogenesis</b>                          |              |                           |        |             |         |                     |
| VEGF-A   | Bevacizumab  | Pujol <sup>2015</sup>     | II/III | Combination | F (M) R | Negative            |
|  |              | Patton <sup>2006</sup>    | II     | Monotherapy | M       | 15 m OS             |
|  |              | Spigel <sup>2008</sup>    | II     | Combination | F (M)   | Stopped             |
|  |              | Ready <sup>2011</sup>     | II     | Combination | F       | 7 m PFS, 11.6 m OS  |
|  |              | Spigel <sup>2009</sup>    | II     | Combination | F (M)   | 9.1 m TTP, 12.1m OS |
| RAF-1, VEGFR-2, VEGFR-3 and PDGFR $\beta$              | Sorafenib    | Spigel <sup>2011</sup>    | II     | Combination | F (M) R | Negative            |
|  |              | Sharma <sup>2014</sup>    | II     | Combination | F (M)   | 7.4 m OS            |
| VEGFR-1, VEGFR-2, VEGFR-3, PDGFR, c-KIT, FLT-3 and RET | Sunitinib    | Spigel <sup>2012</sup>    | II     | Monotherapy | M       | 7.6 m PFS           |
|  |              | Ready <sup>2015</sup>     | II     | Monotherapy | M R     | Improved PFS not OS |
| VEGFR-2, EGFR and RET                                  | Vandetanib   | Arnold <sup>2007</sup>    | II     | Monotherapy | M R     | Negative            |
| <b>Targeting cell signalling</b>                       |              |                           |        |             |         |                     |
| BCR-Abl, c-KIT and PDGFR                               | Imatinib     | Johnson <sup>2003</sup>   | II     | Monotherapy | F       | 0.8 m TTP           |
|  |              | Spigel <sup>2007</sup>    | II     | Monotherapy | M       | 5.4 m PFS, 8.4 m OS |
|  |              | Schneider <sup>2010</sup> | II     | Monotherapy | F (M)   | 4.3 m PFS, 7.8 m OS |
| mTOR   | Temsirolimus | Pandya <sup>2007</sup>    | II     | Monotherapy | M       | 2.5 m PFS           |
| IGF1R  | Cixutumumab  | Belani <sup>2013</sup>    | II     | Combination | F R     | Negative            |
| Smoothened   | Vismodegib   | Belani <sup>2013</sup>    | II     | Combination | F R     | Negative            |
| <b>Targeting apoptosis</b>                             |              |                           |        |             |         |                     |
| BCL-2  | Oblimersen   | Rudin <sup>2008</sup>     | II     | Combination | F       | Negative            |
| BCL-2, MCL-1, BCL-W, BCL-XL                            | Obatoclax    | Langer <sup>2014</sup>    | II     | Combination | F       | Negative            |
| <b>Targeting DNA repair defects</b>                    |              |                           |        |             |         |                     |
| PARP   | Veliparib    | Owonikoko <sup>2014</sup> | I      | Combination | F       | Negative            |
|  |              | Ongoing                   | II     | Monotherapy | M R     | ISRCTN73164486      |
| <b>Targeting the immune system</b>                     |              |                           |        |             |         |                     |
| CTLA-4   | Ipilimumab   | Reck <sup>2013</sup>      | II     | Combination | F       | Improved iRPFS      |
|  |              | Ongoing                   | II     | Combination | F       | NCT01331525         |
|  |              | Ongoing                   | II     | Combination | F (M)   | NCT02046733         |
|  |              | Ongoing                   | III    | Combination | F       | NCT01450761         |

VEGF – Vascular endothelial growth factor; VEGFR – Vascular endothelial growth factor receptor; PDGFR – Platelet derived growth factor receptor; c-KIT – Stem cell factor receptor; FLT3 – FMS-like tyrosine kinase 3; RET – Rearranged during transfection tyrosine kinase; mTOR - mammalian target of rapamycin; IGFR – Insulin like growth factor receptor; BCL-2 – B-cell lymphoma; MCL-1 – myeloid cell leukaemia 1; HDAC – Histone deacetylase; F – First-line; F (M) – First-line followed by maintenance; R – Randomised; PFS – Progression free survival; TTP – Time to progression; OS – Overall survival; m – Months; PARP – Poly-ADP ribose polymerase; EGFR – epidermal growth factor receptor; CTLA – Cytotoxic T-lymphocyte-associated protein 4; M – maintenance alone; iRPFS – Immune-related progression-free survival.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8441206>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8441206>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)