European Journal of Cancer (2015) xxx, xxx-xxx



Available at www.sciencedirect.com

## **ScienceDirect**

journal homepage: www.ejcancer.com



Survival prediction for advanced cancer patients in the real world: A comparison of the Palliative Prognostic Score, Delirium-Palliative Prognostic Score, Palliative Prognostic Index and modified Prognosis in Palliative Care Study predictor model

Mika Baba <sup>a,\*</sup>, Isseki Maeda <sup>b</sup>, Tatsuya Morita <sup>c</sup>, Satoshi Inoue <sup>d</sup>, Masayuki Ikenaga <sup>e</sup>, Yoshihisa Matsumoto <sup>f</sup>, Ryuichi Sekine <sup>g</sup>, Takashi Yamaguchi <sup>h</sup>, Takeshi Hirohashi <sup>i</sup>, Tsukasa Tajima <sup>j</sup>, Ryohei Tatara <sup>k</sup>, Hiroaki Watanabe <sup>l</sup>, Hiroyuki Otani <sup>m,n</sup>, Chizuko Takigawa <sup>o</sup>, Yoshinobu Matsuda <sup>p</sup>, Hiroka Nagaoka <sup>q</sup>, Masanori Mori <sup>r</sup>, Yo Tei <sup>d</sup>, Shuji Hiramoto <sup>s</sup>, Akihiko Suga <sup>t</sup>, Hiroya Kinoshita <sup>f</sup>

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2015.04.025

0959-8049/© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Palliative Care Division, Saito Yukoukai Hospital, 7-2-18 Saito asagi, Ibaraki, Osaka 567-0085, Japan

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Department of Palliative Medicine, Graduate School of Medicine, Osaka University, 2-2 Yamadaoka, Suita, Osaka 565-0871, Japan

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Palliative and Supportive Care Division, Seirei Mikatahara General Hospital, 3453 Mikatahara-cho, Kita-ku, Hamamatsu City, Shizuoka 433-8558, Japan

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> Seirei Hospice, Seirei Mikatahara General Hospital, 3453 Mikatahara-cho, Kita-ku, Hamamatsu City, Shizuoka 433-8558, Japan

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup> Children's Hospice Hospital, Yodogawa Christian Hospital, 6-9-3 Higashinakagima, Higashiyodogawa-ku, Osaka City 533-0033, Japan

f Department of Palliative Medicine, National Cancer Center Hospital East, 6-5-1 Kashiwanoha, Kashiwa, Chiba 277-8577, Japan

g Department of Pain and Palliative Care, Kameda Medical Center, Higashi-Cho 929, Kamogawa City, Chiba 296-8602, Japan

h Department of Palliative Medicine, Kobe University Graduate School of Medicine, 7-5-1 Kusunokicho, Chuo-ku, Kobe, Hyogo 650-0017, Japan

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>i</sup> Department of Palliative Care, Mitui Memorial Hospital, 1 Kandaizumicho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 101-8643, Japan

Department of Palliative Medicine, Tohoku University Hospital, 1-1 Seiryo-machi, Aobaku, Sendai 980-8574, Japan

k Osaka City General Hospital, Department of Palliative Medicine, 2-13-22 Miyakojima-hondori, Miyakojima-ku, Osaka 534-0021, Japan

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Komaki City Hospital, 1-20 Jobushi, Komaki-city, Aichi 485-8520, Japan

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>m</sup> Department of Palliative Care Team, National Kyushu Cancer Center, 3-1-1 Notame, Minami-ku, Fukuoka 811-1395, Japan

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>n</sup> Department of Palliative and Supportive Care, National Kyushu Cancer Center, 3-1-1 Notame, Minami-ku, Fukuoka 811-1395, Japan

O Department of Palliative Care, KKR Sapporo Medical Center, Hiragishi 1-6, Toyohira-ku, Sapporo 062-0931, Japan

P Department of Psychosomatic Medicine, National Hospital Organization Kinki-Chuo Chest Medical Center, Sakai, Osaka 591-8555, Japan

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author: Tel.: +81 72 641 6898; fax: +81 72 641 6097.

E-mail addresses: baba@saito-yukoukai-hp.jp (M. Baba), maeda@pm.med.osaka-u.ac.jp (I. Maeda), tmorita@sis.seirei.or.jp (T. Morita), inosa@sis.seirei.or.jp (S. Inoue), a190185@ych.or.jp (M. Ikenaga), yosmatsu@east.ncc.go.jp (Y. Matsumoto), sekiner@kameda.jp (R. Sekine), ikagoro@pop06.odn.ne.jp (T. Yamaguchi), hirohashi.med@gmail.com (T. Hirohashi), ttajima@med.tohoku.ac.jp (T. Tajima), r-tatara@hotmail.co.jp (R. Tatara), hi.watanabe@komakihp.gr.jp (H. Watanabe), cas60020@pop21.odn.ne.jp (H. Otani), takki236@gmail.com (C. Takigawa), takki236@gmail.com (Y. Takigawa), takki236@gmai

Received 9 April 2015; received in revised form 26 April 2015; accepted 30 April 2015

#### **KEYWORDS**

Prognosis
Predication
Palliative Prognostic
Score
Palliative Prognostic
Index
PiPS model
Palliative care
Accuracy

**Abstract** *Purpose:* The aim of this study was to investigate the feasibility and accuracy of the Palliative Prognostic Score (PaP score), Delirium-Palliative Prognostic Score (D-PaP score), Palliative Prognostic Index (PPI) and modified Prognosis in Palliative Care Study predictor model (PiPS model).

**Patients and methods:** This multicentre prospective cohort study involved 58 palliative care services, including 19 hospital palliative care teams, 16 palliative care units and 23 home palliative care services, in Japan from September 2012 to April 2014. Analyses were performed involving four patient groups: those treated by palliative care teams, those in palliative care units, those at home and those receiving chemotherapy.

**Results:** We recruited 2426 participants, and 2361 patients were finally analysed. Risk groups based on these instruments successfully identified patients with different survival profiles in all groups. The feasibility of PPI and modified PiPS-A was more than 90% in all groups, followed by PaP and D-PaP scores; modified PiPS-B had the lowest feasibility. The accuracy of prognostic scores was  $\geq 69\%$  in all groups and the difference was within 13%, while c-statistics were significantly lower with the PPI than PaP and D-PaP scores.

Conclusion: The PaP score, D-PaP score, PPI and modified PiPS model provided distinct survival groups for patients in the three palliative care settings and those receiving chemotherapy. The PPI seems to be suitable for routine clinical use for situations where rough estimates of prognosis are sufficient and/or patients do not want invasive procedure. If clinicians can address more items, the modified PiPS-A would be a non-invasive alternative. In cases where blood samples are available or those requiring more accurate prediction, the PaP and D-PaP scores and modified PiPS-B would be more appropriate.

© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

Accurate prognostic information is of marked importance in palliative care settings for patients to make decisions and set goals and priorities [1]. Although the clinical prediction of survival is generally useful to formulate a prognosis in clinical practice, clinical prediction alone is limited in accuracy and inclined towards overestimation [2]. Multiple prognostic scores have thus been developed to provide clinicians with more accurate information on the prognosis of advanced cancer patients [1,3,4].

Prognostic instruments that have undergone the most evaluation are the Palliative Prognostic Score (PaP score) and Palliative Prognostic Index (PPI) [5–8]. Both were presented in 1999 by independent research groups from Italy and Japan. The PaP score was initially developed in hospice care services [5,6], and subsequently validated with independent prospective cohorts of patients treated by hospital palliative care teams, in inpatient hospices/palliative care units, in acute care/oncology settings and on a paediatric ward [9–15]. The PPI was initially developed in a palliative

care unit [7,8], and thereafter independently validated in hospital palliative care teams, home palliative care services and acute care hospitals [16–19]. One limitation is that while these two prognostic scores were successfully validated in common palliative care settings in separate studies, few studies directly compared different prognostic tools [19,20], leaving clinicians unclear on which tool to use in practice.

In addition, recently, an Italian team created a modified version of the PaP score, the D-PaP score, integrating delirium as an additional predictor [21]. Moreover, a novel prognostic indicator, the Prognosis Palliative Care Study (PiPS) predictor models, has been proposed in the United Kingdom (U.K.), and this model was validated by independent groups [22–24]. These new prognostic instruments, however, have not yet been fully validated in other palliative care settings especially in comparison with existing tools [23,24].

Moreover, palliative care has been becoming increasingly integrated in oncology for patients with earlier stages of cancer [25,26], and simultaneously proportion receiving chemotherapy within 14 days of death have also a tendency to increase recently [27,28]. Very few

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>q</sup> University of Tsukuba, Department of Medical Social Service Center for Palliative, 2-1-1 Amakubo, Tsukuba 305-8576, Japan

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>r</sup> Seirei Hamamatsu General Hospital, 2-12-12 Sumiyoshi, Naka-ku, Hamamatsu, Shizuoka 430-8558, Japan

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>s</sup> Department of Oncology, Mitsubishi Kyoto Hospital, Goshocho 1 Katsura, Nishikyoku, Kyoto 615-8087, Japan

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Department of Palliative Medicine, Shizuoka Saiseikai General Hospital, 1-1-1 Oshika, Suruga, Shizuoka 422-8527, Japan

### Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8442044

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8442044

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>