

Clinical Trial

Randomised phase III trial of second-line irinotecan plus cisplatin versus irinotecan alone in patients with advanced gastric cancer refractory to S-1 monotherapy: TRICS trial  $\stackrel{\text{trial}}{\xrightarrow{}}$ 



Kazuhiro Nishikawa<sup>a,\*</sup>, Kazumasa Fujitani<sup>b</sup>, Hitoshi Inagaki<sup>c</sup>, Yusuke Akamaru<sup>d</sup>, Shinya Tokunaga<sup>e</sup>, Masakazu Takagi<sup>f</sup>, Shigeyuki Tamura<sup>g</sup>, Naotoshi Sugimoto<sup>h</sup>, Tadashi Shigematsu<sup>i</sup>, Takaki Yoshikawa<sup>j</sup>, Tohru Ishiguro<sup>k</sup>, Masato Nakamura<sup>1</sup>, Satoshi Morita<sup>m</sup>, Yumi Miyashita<sup>n</sup>, Akira Tsuburaya<sup>o</sup>, Junichi Sakamoto<sup>p</sup>, Toshimasa Tsujinaka<sup>q</sup>

- <sup>a</sup> Department of Surgery, Osaka National Hospital, 2-1-14, Houenzaka, Chuo-ku, Osaka 540-0006, Japan
- <sup>b</sup> Department of Surgery, Osaka General Medical Center, 3-1-56, Bandaihigashi, Sumiyoshi-ku, Osaka 558-0056, Japan
- <sup>c</sup> Department of Surgery, Gifu Central Hospital, 3-25, Kawabe, Gifu 501-1151, Japan
- <sup>d</sup> Department of Surgery, Osaka Kose-Nenkin Hospital, 4-2-78, Fukushima, Fukushima-ku, Osaka 553-0007, Japan
- <sup>e</sup> Department of Clinical Oncology, Osaka City General Hospital, 2-13-22, Miyakojimahondori, Miyakojima-ku, Osaka 534-0021, Japan
- <sup>f</sup> Department of Surgery, Shizuoka General Hospital, 4-27-1, Kitaando, Aoi-ku, Shizuoka 420-0881, Japan
- <sup>g</sup> Department of Surgery, Kansai Rosai Hospital, 3-1-69, Inabaso, Amagasaki 537-0025, Japan
- <sup>h</sup> Department of Clinical Oncology, Osaka Medical Center for Cancer and Cardiovascular Diseases, 1-3-3, Nakamichi, Higashinari-ku, Osaka 537-0025, Japan
- <sup>i</sup>Department of Gastroenterology, Saiseikai Shiga Prefectural Hospital, 2-4-1, Ohashi, Ritto 520-3046, Japan
- <sup>1</sup>Department of Gastrointestinal Surgery, Kanagawa Cancer Center, 2-3-2, Nakao, Asahi-ku, Yokohama 241-0815, Japan
- <sup>k</sup> Department of Digestive Tract and General Surgery, Saitama Medical Center, 1981, Kamoda, Kawagoe 350-0844, Japan
- <sup>1</sup>Comprehensive Cancer Center, Aizawa Hospital, 2-5-1, Honjo, Matsumoto 390-0814, Japan
- <sup>m</sup> Department of Biomedical Statistics and Bioinformatics, Kyoto University Graduate School of Medicine, 54, Shogoinkawaharacho, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8397, Japan
- <sup>n</sup> Date Center, Epidemiological & Clinical Research Information Network, 21-7, Shogoinsannocho, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8392, Japan
- <sup>o</sup> Department of Gastroenterological Center, Yokohama City University Medical Center, 4-57, Urafunecho, Minami-ku, Yokohama 232-0024, Japan
- <sup>p</sup> Tokai Central Hospital, 4-6-2, Higashijimacho Sohara, Kakamigahara 504-8601, Japan
- <sup>q</sup> Kaizuka City Hospital, 3-10-20, Hori, Kaizuka 597-0015, Japan

Received 11 July 2014; received in revised form 26 January 2015; accepted 24 February 2015 Available online 18 March 2015

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2015.02.009

0959-8049/© 2015 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

<sup>\*</sup> This work was supported by non-profit organisation Epidemiological & Clinical Research Information Network (ECRIN).Clinical trial information: UMIN 000002571.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author: Tel.: +81 6 6942 1331; fax: +81 6 6946 5660.

*E-mail addresses:* kazuno13@hotmail.co.jp (K. Nishikawa), fujitani@gh.opho.jp (K. Fujitani), hinagaki@skhosp.or.jp (H. Inagaki), akamaru@ka3.so-net.ne.jp (Y. Akamaru), s-tokunaga@hospital.city.osaka.jp (S. Tokunaga), masakazu-takagi@i.shizuoka-pho.jp (M. Takagi), stamura@kanrou.net (S. Tamura), sugimoto-na2@mc.pref.osaka.jp (N. Sugimoto), bssjh242@yahoo.co.jp (T. Shigematsu), yoshikawat@kcch.jp (T. Yoshikawa), itoru@saitama-med.ac.jp (T. Ishiguro), geka-dr?@ai-hosp.or.jp (M. Nakamura), smorita@kuhp.kyoto-u.ac.jp (S. Morita), miya@ecrin.or.jp (Y. Miyashita), tuburayaa@gmail.com (A. Tsuburaya), sakamjun@tokaihp.jp (J. Sakamoto), tsujinaka@hosp.kaizuka.osaka.jp (T. Tsujinaka).

## **KEYWORDS**

Phase III Gastric cancer Combination chemotherapy Second-line Irinotecan Cisplatin Fluoropyrimidine S-1 **Abstract** *Aim:* The optimal second-line regimen for treating advanced gastric cancer (AGC) remains unclear. While irinotecan (CPT-11) plus cisplatin (CDDP) combination therapy and CPT-11 monotherapy have been explored in the second-line setting, the superiority of second-line platinum-based therapies for AGC patients initially treated with S-1 monotherapy has not yet been evaluated; therefore, we aimed to examine the survival benefit of CPT-11/CDDP combination over CPT-11 monotherapy.

*Methods:* AGC patients showing progression after S-1 monotherapy for advanced cancer or recurrence within 6 months after completion of S-1 adjuvant therapy were randomly allocated to CPT-11/CDDP (CPT-11, 60 mg/m<sup>2</sup>; CDDP, 30 mg/m<sup>2</sup>, q2w) or CPT-11 (150 mg/m<sup>2</sup>, q2w). *Results:* Sixty-eight advanced and 95 recurrent cases were evaluated. The median overall survivals were 13.9 (95% confidence interval [CI]: 10.8–17.6) and 12.7 (95% CI: 10.3–17.2) months for CPT-11/CDDP and CPT-11, respectively (hazard ratio: 0.834; 95% CI: 0.596–1.167, P = 0.288). No significant differences were observed in the secondary end-points, including progression-free survival (4.6 [95% CI: 3.4–5.9] versus 4.1 [95% CI: 3.3–4.9] months) and response rate (16.9% [95% CI: 8.8–28.3] versus 15.4% [95% CI: 7.6–26.5]). The incidences of grade 3–4 anaemia (16% versus 4%) and elevated serum lactate dehydrogenase levels (5% versus 0%) were higher for CPT-11/CDDP than for CPT-11. Exploratory subgroup analysis revealed that CPT-11/CDDP was significantly more effective for intestinal-type AGC, compared with CPT-11 (overall survival: 15.8 versus 14.0 months; P = 0.019).

*Conclusion:* No survival benefit was observed upon adding CDDP to CPT-11 after S-1 monotherapy failure.

© 2015 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

## 1. Introduction

Gastric cancer is the third leading cause of cancer death worldwide [1]. Nearly 50% of patients with locally advanced-stage gastric cancer relapse after gastrectomy, resulting in an unfavourable long-term prognosis [2]. The survival of these patients has been demonstrated to be markedly improved upon introduction of postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy with S-1 monotherapy [3]. However, a number of patients develop early recurrence after completion of adjuvant therapy with S-1.

On the other hand, combination therapies with fluoropyrimidines and platinum have been recognised as standard regimens for the first-line treatment of advanced gastric cancer (AGC) [4]. In addition, EOX (epirubicin, oxaliplatin and capecitabine) has also become a standard regimen in Europe [5], and DCF (cisplatin [CDDP], 5fluorouracil and docetaxel) has been developed in the US, although its application is limited because of high toxicity [6]. In contrast, when this study started, S-1 monotherapy was widely used as a standard regimen in Japan [7]. Although the result of the JCOG 9912 trial showed that S-1 monotherapy was tentatively recognised as a standard treatment, the result of the SPIRTS trial proved the superiority of S-1 plus CDDP combination therapy over S-1 monotherapy [8]. However, the S-1 monotherapy regimen showed good overall survival (OS) in both trials (11.4 and 11.0 months, respectively), and owing to its modest toxicity and the fact that intensive hydration is not required, S-1 monotherapy remains an option for frail or unfit patients in Japan.

It is common practice to offer further chemotherapy for patients with AGC after failure of first-line chemotherapies [9]. However, currently, no established second-line chemotherapy (SLC) regimen is available for AGC patients. When we designed this trial, irinotecan (CPT-11) plus CDDP combination therapy or CPT-11 monotherapy were commonly used to treat AGC in the second-line setting in Asia [10-13]. In a previous phase II study using CPT-11 monotherapy as SLC, CPT-11 was found to frequently cause diarrhoea and febrile neutropenia [10]; therefore, CPT-11/CDDP combination therapy was developed to reduce CPT-11-asdiarrhoea and febrile neutropenia by sociated decreasing the dose of CPT-11 [12,13]. Moreover, a phase I/II study of bi-weekly CPT-11/CDDP combination therapy showed promising efficacy and a manageable toxicity profile [13].

However, the effects of platinum-based therapies in the second-line setting for AGC patients initially treated by S-1 monotherapy have not yet been examined; therefore, this trial was designed to compare the effects of combination therapy with CPT-11 and CDDP to CPT-11 monotherapy in patients who showed progression after at least one cycle of S-1 monotherapy for advanced cancer or recurrence within 6 months after completion of adjuvant therapy with S-1. Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8442421

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8442421

Daneshyari.com