

Available at www.sciencedirect.com

ScienceDirect

journal homepage: www.ejcancer.com



Policy statement on multidisciplinary cancer care



European Partnership Action Against Cancer consensus group: Josep M. Borras ^{a,*}, Tit Albreht ^b, Riccardo Audisio ^c, Erik Briers ^d, Paolo Casali ^e, Hélène Esperou ^f, Birgitte Grube ^g, Marc Hamoir ^h, Geoffrey Henning ⁱ, Joan Kelly ^j, Susan Knox ^k, Maria Nabal ¹, Marco Pierotti ^m, Claudio Lombardo ^m, Wim van Harten ^m, Graeme Poston ⁿ, Joan Prades ^o, Milena Sant ^p, Luzia Travado ^q, Vincenzo Valentini ^r, Cornelis van de Velde ^s, Saskia van den Bogaert ^t, Marc van den Bulcke ^u, Elke van Hoof ^v, Ingrid van den Neucker ^w, Robin Wilson ^x

- ^a Catalonian Institute of Oncology (ICO) & University of Barcelona (UB), Barcelona, Spain
- ^b EPAAC, Work Package 10 Cancer Plans & National Institute of Public Health of Slovenia (IVZ), Ljubljana, Slovenia
- ^c International Society of Geriatric Oncology (SIOG)
- ^d European Cancer Patients Coalition (ECPC)
- ^e European Society of Medical Oncology (ESMO)
- f European Hospital and Healthcare Federation (HOPE) & UNICANCER
- ^g European Oncology Nursing Society (EONS)
- ^h Cliniques Universitaires Saint-Luc, UCL, Brussels, Belgium
- ⁱ EuropaColon
- ^j Association of European Cancer Leagues(ECL) & Work Package 5 Health Promotion Prevention
- k Europa Donna The European Breast Cancer Coalition
- ¹European Association for Palliative Care (EAPC)
- ^m Organisation of European Cancer Institutes (OECI)
- ⁿ European Society of Surgical Oncology (ESSO)
- ° EPAAC, Work Package 7 Healthcare & Catalonian Cancer Plan, Barcelona, Spain
- PEPAAC, Work Package 9 Information Systems & Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Nazionale dei Tumori, Milano, Italy
- ^q International Psycho-Oncology Society (IPOS)
- ^r European SocieTy for Radiology & Oncology (ESTRO)
- ^s European CanCer Organisation (ECCO)
- ^t Scientific Institute of Public Health, Ministry of Health, Brussels, Belgium
- ^u Belgium Cancer Center (BCC), Brussels, Belgium
- Vrije Universitet, Brussels, Belgium
- w EPAAC, Work Package 8 Research & European CanCer Organisation (ECCO)
- * EUSOMA European Society of Breast Cancer Specialists

E-mail addresses: jmborras@iconcologia.net, jmborras@ub.edu (J.M. Borras).

^{*} This policy statement on multidisciplinary cancer care is the result of a workshop carried out in the framework of the European Partnership for Action Against Cancer (EPAAC). It has been elaborated with the participation of representatives of the following scientific societies, patients' organisations and stakeholders: European CanCer Organisation (ECCO), European Society for Radiology & Oncology (ESTRO), European Society for Medical Oncology (ESMO), European Society of Surgical Oncology (ESSO), International Society of Geriatric Oncology (SIOG), European Association for Palliative Care (EAPC), European Oncology Nursing Society (EONS), International Psycho-Oncology Society (IPOS), European Cancer Patient Coalition (ECPC), EuropaColon, Europa Donna - The European Breast Cancer Coalition, Association of European Cancer Leagues (ECL), Organisation of European Cancer Institutes (OECI), EUSOMA - European Society of Breast Cancer Specialists, European Hospital and Healthcare Federation (HOPE), EPAAC Work Packages 5 (Health promotion and prevention), 7 (Healthcare), 8 (Research), 9 (Information systems) and 10 (Cancer plans).

^{*} Corresponding author: Address: Hospital Duran i Reynals, Gran Via de l'Hospitalet, 199-203 08908 Hospitalet del Llobregat, Barcelona, Spain. Tel.: +34 932607820.

Available online 6 December 2013

KEYWORDS

Multidisciplinary team Consensus Health care organisation Health policy

Abstract Background: Cancer care is undergoing an important paradigm shift from a diseasefocused management to a patient-centred approach, in which increasingly more attention is paid to psychosocial aspects, quality of life, patients' rights and empowerment and survivorship. In this context, multidisciplinary teams emerge as a practical necessity for optimal coordination among health professionals and clear communication with patients. The European Partnership for Action Against Cancer (EPAAC), an initiative launched by the European Commission in 2009, addressed the multidisciplinary care from a policy perspective in order to define the core elements that all tumour-based multidisciplinary teams (MDTs) should include. To that effect, a working group conference was held in January 2013 within the EPAAC Work Package 7 (on Healthcare) framework. Methods: The consensus group consisted of high-level representatives from the following European scientific societies, patient associations and stakeholders: European CanCer Organisation (ECCO), European Society for Radiology & Oncology (ESTRO), European Society for Medical Oncology (ESMO), European Society of Surgical Oncology (ESSO), International Society of Geriatric Oncology (SIOG), European Association for Palliative Care (EAPC), European Oncology Nursing Society (EONS), International Psycho-Oncology Society (IPOS), European Cancer Patient Coalition (ECPC), EuropaColon, Europa Donna - The European Breast Cancer Coalition, Association of European Cancer Leagues (ECL), Organisation of European Cancer Institutes (OECI), EUSOMA - European Society of Breast Cancer Specialists, European Hospital and Healthcare Federation (HOPE) and EPAAC Work Packages 5 (Health promotion and prevention), 7, 8 (Research), 9 (Information systems) and 10 (Cancer plans). A background document with a list of 26 core issues drawn from a systematic review of the literature was used to guide the discussion. Five areas related to MDTs were covered: care objectives, organisation, clinical assessment, patients' rights and empowerment and policy support. Preliminary drafts of the document were widely circulated for consultation and amendments by the working group before final approval. Results: The working group unanimously formulated a Policy Statement on Multidisciplinary Cancer Care to define the core elements that should be implemented by all tumour-based MDTs. This document identifies MDTs as the core component in cancer care organisation and sets down the key elements to guide changes across all European health systems.

Conclusion: MDTs are an essential instrument of effective cancer care policy, and their continued development crucial to providing patients the care they need and deserve. While implementation must remain in local hands, European health systems can still benefit from having a basis for an effective multidisciplinary model of cooperation. This policy statement is intended to serve as a reference for policymakers and healthcare providers who wish to improve the services currently provided to the cancer patients whose lives and well-being depend on their action.

© 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Optimal decision-making in the diagnosis, treatment and support of cancer patients is being increasingly associated with multidisciplinary teams (MDTs) [1]. Cancer care involves a growing number of specialists and health professionals as intervention areas expand to encompass psychosocial support, genetics and frailty aspects (among other areas) and consensus decisions are needed at all stages of care. As the care pathway becomes more complex, the potential for miscommunication, poor coordination between providers and fragmentation of services increases. This constitutes a challenge for patients and families as well as for caregivers.

MDTs were identified by the Lisbon roundtable (Portuguese European Union (EU) Presidency, 2007) as the

best approach to organising cancer care in a way that consistently brings together all healthcare professionals involved in cancer diagnosis and treatment [2], and the EU Parliament and Council has also expressed support for these teams as a way to cope with coordination and communication issues associated with the implementation of the European reference networks for rare diseases [3]. Likewise, several European cancer control plans stress the importance of multidisciplinary care, setting specific guidance for its implementation [4,5]. However, this prioritisation coexists with significant differences in performance, implementation and organisation of cancer care and access across countries [6,7] as well as in policy measures taken to promote this approach. While implementation must remain in local hands, European health systems can still benefit from having a basis for an effective multidisciplinary model of cooperation.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8443586

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8443586

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>