Mutation Research xxx (2014) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Mutation Research/Reviews in Mutation Research



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/reviewsmr Community address: www.elsevier.com/locate/mutres

Review

3

3

4

5

6 7 8

Adaptive response in mammalian cells exposed to non-ionizing radiofrequency fields: A review and gaps in knowledge

Q1 Vijayalaxmi^a, Yi Cao^{b,**}, Maria Rosaria Scarfi^{c,*}

^a Department of Radiology, University of Texas Health Science Center, San Antonio, TX 78229, USA ^b School of Public Health, Medical College of Soochow University, Suzhou, People's Republic of China

^c CNR – Institute for Electromagnetic Sensing of Environment, Napoli, Italy

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 7 December 2013 Received in revised form 3 February 2014 Accepted 6 February 2014 Available online xxx

Keywords: Adaptive response Radiofrequency fields Survival Genetic damage Clinical significance

ABSTRACT

Adaptive response is a phenomenon in which cells which were pre-exposed to extremely low and nontoxic doses of a genotoxic agent became resistant to the damage induced by subsequent exposure to a higher and toxic dose of the same, similar (in action) or another genotoxic agent. Such response has been well documented in scientific literature in cells exposed in vitro and in vivo to low doses of physical (especially, ionizing radiation) and chemical mutagens. The existence of similar phenomenon in mammalian cells exposed in vitro and in vivo to non-ionizing radiofrequency fields has been reported in several research publications. In *in vitro* studies, human blood lymphocytes exposed to radiofrequency fields and then treated with a genotoxic mutagen or subjected to ionizing radiation showed significantly decreased genetic damage. Similar studies in tumor cells showed significantly increased viability, decreased apoptosis, increased mitochondrial membrane potential, decreased intracellular free Ca² and, increased Ca²⁺-Mg²⁺-ATPase activity. In *in vivo* studies, exposure of rodents to radiofrequency fields and then to lethal/sub-lethal doses of γ -radiation showed survival advantage, significantly decreased damage in hematopoietic tissues, decreased genetic damage in blood leukocytes and bone marrow cells, increased numbers of colony forming units in bone marrow, increased levels of colony stimulating factor and interleukin-3 in the serum and increased expression of genes related to cell cycle. These observations suggested the ability of radiofrequency fields to induce adaptive response and also indicated some potential mechanisms for the induction of such response. Several gaps in knowledge that need to be investigated were discussed.

© 2014 Published by Elsevier B.V.

Contents

9 10 11

1.	1. Introduction				
2. Studies in human cells					
	2.1. Peripheral blood lymphocytes	000			
	2.2. Continuously growing HL-60 cancer cells	000			
3. Studies in animals					
4.	Gaps in knowledge				
	4.1. DNA damage and DNA repair				
	4.2. Inhibitors of DNA repair enzymes/proteins	000			

Abbreviations: AD, adaptation dose; AR, adaptive response; CA, chromosomal aberrations; CD, challenge dose; CFU-BM, colony forming units in bone marrow; DOX, doxorubicin; FDTD, finite-difference-time-domain; FIT, finite integration technique; GSM, Global System for Mobile Communications; GTEM, Gigahertz Transverse Electromagnetic; MMP, mitochondrial membrane potential; IL, interleukin; MMC, mitomycin C; MN, micronuclei; MNNG, N-methyl-N-nitro-nitrosoguanidine; MUT, mutations; RF, radiofrequency fields; SAR, specific absorption rates; SB, single and double strand breaks; SCE, sister chromatid exchanges; UMTS, universal mobile telecommunication system; WPC, wire patch cell.

Corresponding author. Tel.: +39 081 7620659; fax: +39 081 5705734.

Corresponding author. Tel.: +86 512 65 588 1552; fax: +86 512 65 880 0689.

E-mail addresses: yicao@suda.edu.cn (Y. Cao), scarfi.mr@irea.cnr.it (M.R. Scarfi).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.mrrev.2014.02.002

1383-5742/© 2014 Published by Elsevier B.V.

Please cite this article in press as: . , et al., Adaptive response in mammalian cells exposed to non-ionizing radiofrequency fields: A review and gaps in knowledge, Mutat. Res.: Rev. Mutat. Res. (2014), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mrrev.2014.02.002

ARTICLE IN PRESS

Vijayalaxmi et al./Mutation Research xxx (2014) xxx-xxx

	4.3.		lar responses: activation/transcription of genes and protein synthesis		
			dant system: activation and detoxification		
	4.5. Characterization			000	
		4.5.1.	RF exposure and time interval		
		4.5.2.	End-points	000	
		4.5.3.	Normal and tumor cells	000	
		4.5.4.	Genotoxic agents	000	
		4.5.5.	Genomic instability syndromes	000	
			nce		
6.	Conclusions				
	Acknowledgements				
	Refere		000		

12

13 1. Introduction

14 Exposure to nonionizing electromagnetic radiofrequency fields 15 (RF) in the frequency range 300 MHz-300 GHz has become 16 unavoidable in modern society because of their multitude of uses 17 in defense, industry, medicine and general consumer products 18 such as microwave ovens and wireless communications devices 19 which deliver voice, data and images. There is ongoing concern in 20 the public regarding the potential for adverse human health effects 21 from such exposure. During the last several decades, researchers 22 have been evaluating the extent of genetic damage in human and 23 animal cells exposed in vivo and in vitro to RF since the damage to 24 the DNA in somatic cells can lead to the development of cancer or 25 cell death while changes in the DNA of germ cells can lead to 26 mutations which can be transmitted to subsequent generations. 27 Investigations were also conducted to examine the impact of RF 28 exposure before, during or after treatment with known genotoxic 29 agent(s), as occur in real life situations. Different genotoxicity end-30 points including single and double strand breaks (SB), chromo-31 somal aberration (CA), micronuclei (MN), sister chromatid 32 exchanges (SCE) and mutations (MUT) were used to investigate 33 the impact of a range of RF frequencies, modulations, specific absorption rates (SAR), cell types, exposure durations, etc. The 34 35 conclusions from several peer-reviewed scientific reviews were: (i) 36 the currently available data is not sufficient to provide the 37 evidence that RF exposure per se is genotoxic, (ii) RF exposure may 38 not modify (enhance or reduce) the damage induced by known 39 genotoxic agents and, (iii) some of the reported 'adverse' effects 40 may be attributed to RF-induced hyperthermia [1–10]. The 41 conclusions from expert scientific advisory committees in several countries as well as from international organizations were similar 42 43 to those of the above (reviewed in [11]).

44 Adaptive response (AR) is a phenomenon in which cells which 45 were pre-exposed to extremely low and non-toxic doses of a 46 genotoxic agent became resistant to the damage induced by 47 subsequent exposure to a higher and toxic dose of the same, similar 48 (in action) or another genotoxic agent. Samson and Crains [12] 49 were the first to demonstrate AR in Escherichia coli: the bacteria 50 which were grown in low and non-toxic dose of N-methyl-N-nitro-51 nitrosoguanidine (MNNG, 1 µg/ml, an alkylating mutagen) be-52 came increasingly resistant both to cell killing and mutation by the 53 subsequent exposure to high dose MNNG (100 μ g/ml). The low 54 dose is usually referred as adaptation dose (AD) and the high dose 55 as challenge dose (CD). Subsequent researchers demonstrated the 56 induction of AR in many different organisms including mammalian 57 cells exposed in vitro and in vivo to physical (especially, ionizing 58 radiation) and chemical mutagens using several different end-59 points such as SB, CA, MN, SCE, MUT, neoplastic transformation, 60 apoptosis, oxidative stress and survival. Further studies also 61 indicated that AR was not elicited instantaneously but require 62 certain time interval between AD and CD to become fully active. Potential action mechanisms have been proposed to elucidate the induction of AR (reviewed in [13]).

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

In RF investigations, there were some studies in which the cells were first exposed to RF and then treated with a genotoxic agent and, there was no time interval between the two treatments [14-16]. The data from a majority of such studies showed neither enhancement nor reduction of the damage induced by the genotoxic agent. In recent investigations, researchers have given the cells certain time interval between the two exposures in order to examine whether non-genotoxic RF pre-exposure given as AD can elicit AR and induce resistance to the damage induced by a subsequent high challenge dose (CD) of a mutagen. The very first such report was published in 2009 [17]. Since then, there were several other publications in peer-reviewed scientific literature. This paper reviews the RF-induced AR investigations with an outlook on several ionizing radiation- and chemical mutageninduced AR publications. Gaps in knowledge and future research opportunities are discussed.

2. Studies in human cells

2.1. Peripheral blood lymphocytes

The experimental protocol used was essentially similar in all of the following studies. Peripheral blood was collected from healthy donors. Lymphocyte cultures (before and/or after stimulation with phytohemagglutinin, PHA) were first exposed for 20 h to RF (AD). At 48 h, the cells were treated with a genotoxic dose of mitomycin-C (MMC) or X-rays (CD).

The incidence of MN was determined at the end of 72 h culture period. The results obtained in cells exposed to AD + CD were compared with those treated with CD alone. Overall, RF exposure *per se* did not significantly increase the incidence of MN compared with those in sham-exposed cells and, sham exposure did not decrease mutagen-induced MN and thus was not able to elicit AR.

Sannino et al. [17] were the first to report RF-induced AR in 95 freshly collected human peripheral blood lymphocytes. Lympho-96 cytes from 5 different donors were stimulated with PHA for 24 h 97 and then exposed for 20 h to 900 MHz RF (GSM, Global System for 98 Mobile Communications signal) in a wire patch cell (WPC). The SAR 99 was an average of 1.25 W/kg with peak value of 10 W/kg. At 48 h, 100 all cells were treated with 100 ng/ml MMC. The results were that 101 the cells from 4 donors which were exposed to RF + MMC showed 102 significantly decreased incidence of MN (ranged from 35% to 56%, 103 p < 0.05) while those from one donor did show a decrease (25%) 104 but was not significant (p > 0.05) (Fig. 1). These observations 105 suggested that RF-exposed cells were able to resist the damage 106 107 induced by subsequent exposure to MMC and thus exhibited AR. The variability/heterogeneity in the response among the donors 108 was similar to that reported in low dose ionizing radiation- and 109 110 chemical mutagen-induced AR [18-20].

Please cite this article in press as: . , et al., Adaptive response in mammalian cells exposed to non-ionizing radiofrequency fields: A review and gaps in knowledge, Mutat. Res.: Rev. Mutat. Res. (2014), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mrrev.2014.02.002

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8456727

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8456727

Daneshyari.com