



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Radiotherapy and Oncology

journal homepage: www.thegreenjournal.com



Original article

Treatment of the ventral intermediate nucleus for medically refractory tremor: A cost-analysis of stereotactic radiosurgery versus deep brain stimulation

Shearwood McClelland III*, Jerry J. Jaboin

Department of Radiation Medicine, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, United States

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 21 March 2017
 Received in revised form 26 July 2017
 Accepted 31 July 2017
 Available online xxx

Keywords:

Medically refractory tremor
 Ventral intermediate nucleus
 Stereotactic radiosurgery
 Deep brain stimulation
 Cost analysis

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Medically refractory tremor treatment has evolved over the past half-century from intraoperative thalamotomy to deep brain stimulation (DBS) of the thalamic ventral intermediate nucleus (VIM). Within the past 15 years, unilateral radiosurgical VIM thalamotomy has emerged as a comparably efficacious treatment modality.

Methods: An extensive literature search of VIM DBS series was performed; the total cost of VIM DBS was calculated from hospitals geographically representative of the entire United States using current procedural terminology and work relative value unit (RVU) codes. The 2016 Medicare Ambulatory Payment Classification for stereotactic radiosurgery (SRS) was added to the work RVU to determine the total cost of VIM SRS for both Gamma Knife and linear accelerator SRS. Cost estimates assumed that VIM DBS was performed without intraoperative microelectrode recording.

Result: The mean unilateral VIM DBS cost was \$17,932.41 per patient. For SRS VIM, the total costs for Gamma Knife (\$10,811.77) and linear accelerator (\$10,726.40) were 40% less expensive than for unilateral VIM DBS.

Conclusion: Radiosurgery of the VIM is 40% less expensive than unilateral VIM DBS in treatment of medically refractory tremor, regardless of radiosurgical modality. This finding argues for increased radiation oncology involvement in the management of medically refractory tremor patients.

© 2017 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. Radiotherapy and Oncology xxx (2017) xxx–xxx

The treatment of medically refractory tremor has evolved over the past half-century from intraoperative thalamotomy to deep brain stimulation (DBS), which was first explored in humans for multiple sclerosis tremor by Brice and McLellan in 1980 who targeted the midbrain and basal ganglia with electrodes [1]. Subsequently, the pioneering work of Benabid et al. used the hypothesis-driven target of the thalamic ventral intermediate nucleus (VIM) beginning in 1987 to treat refractory tremor [2,3]. VIM DBS has proven efficacious for patients with essential tremor (ET), tremor-dominant Parkinson's disease (PD), and less common causes of tremor including multiple sclerosis and orthostatic tremor [4–6]. Within the past 15 years, unilateral Gamma Knife radiosurgical VIM thalamotomy has emerged as a comparably efficacious treatment modality, particularly for ET and tremor-dominant PD patients, utilizing a mean dosage of 130–140 Gy [7–10]. Compared to DBS, radiosurgical thalamotomy has the

advantage of reduced patient burden, due to the absence of inpatient hospital stay and elimination of intraoperative complication risks such as infection, hemorrhage, and/or cerebrospinal fluid leakage which have been reported following DBS [8]. Despite extensive investigation of these treatment modalities, there has been no cost-comparison of unilateral DBS versus radiosurgery of the VIM.

Materials and methods

Determination of mean operating room time for VIM DBS

The estimated operating room (OR) time of unilateral VIM DBS was acquired from a literature search using the PubMed database (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed>) and associated references from VIM DBS publications. The results of the search are demonstrated in Table 1, as of the 17 studies, only one included the mean intraoperative time for VIM DBS [2–6,11–22]. This study also happened to be the only study to report VIM DBS performed without intraoperative test stimulation, and notes that all VIM DBS cases were performed without intraoperative microelectrode recording (MER) assistance [22]. From this study, the mean intraoperative

* Corresponding author at: Department of Radiation Medicine, Oregon Health & Science University, 3181 SW Sam Jackson Park Rd, L337, Portland, OR 97239-3098, United States.

E-mail address: drwood@post.harvard.edu (S. McClelland III).

Table 1

Literature review of operating room time in deep brain stimulation of the ventral intermediate nucleus for medically refractory tremor.

Study	Number of VIM DBS patients (electrodes)	Mean OR time for unilateral VIM DBS (minutes)
Benabid et al. [2]	32 (43)	NR
Benabid et al. [3]	87 (126)	NR
Caparros-Lefebvre et al. [11]	10 (10)	NR
Alesch et al. [5]	27 (33)	NR
Benabid et al. [4]	117 (177)	NR
Hubble et al. [12]	10 (10)	NR
Koller et al. [13]	24 (24)	NR
Ondo et al. [14]	33 (33)	NR
Koller et al. [15]	38 (38)	NR
Caparros-Lefebvre et al. [16]	73 (96)	NR
Schuurman et al. [17]	22 (22)	NR
Lyons et al. [18]	12 (12)	NR
Ondo et al. [19]	21 (42)	NR
Pilitsis et al. [20]	27 (31)	NR
Parihar et al. [21]	8 (8)	NR
Coleman et al. [6]	2 (2)	NR
Chen et al. [22]	57 (89)	146.4

NR = not reported.

time of 146.4 min was used as the estimate operative time for VIM DBS for the present study, since the vast majority of VIM DBS cases reported have been performed without the use of general anesthesia [2–6,11–22]. The use of general anesthesia increased the mean intraoperative time to 192.5 min [22].

Calculation of time-dependent operating room costs for VIM DBS

Extrapolation of cost calculations to the entire United States involved amalgamation of OR costs per minute from four geographically representative academic hospitals as previously described [23]. Costs were averaged from representative Eastern (Cornell Medical Center, New York, NY), Midwestern (Ohio State University Medical Center, Columbus, OH), Southern (Emory University, Atlanta, GA) and Western (University of California at San Francisco, San Francisco, CA) medical centers; OR and anesthesia costs were obtained from the billing departments of each hospital as previously described using current procedural terminology code 61863 (DBS without MER) (Table 2) [23]. The geographically averaged OR cost per minute was then multiplied by the previously calculated average OR time of VIM DBS.

The total anesthesia cost was calculated by multiplying the cost per anesthesia unit by the total number of anesthesia units for VIM DBS. For CPT code 61863, the anesthesia base unit was 11 units, plus an additional unit for every 15 min of OR time. The final cost of VIM DBS dependent on OR duration time was then calculated by combining the total OR cost with the total anesthesia cost.

Time-independent costs of VIM DBS

The neurosurgeon reimbursement for VIM DBS without MER was calculated via relative value unit (RVU) and CPT codes using the CodeCorrect program (MedAssets, Inc., Alpharetta, GA). As in previous work, only the work RVUs were calculated due to their

Table 2

Time dependent United States operating room cost estimate (anesthesia, nursing, staff, setup) for CPT code 61863.

Geographic region	OR cost per minute	Cost per anesthesia unit*
East	\$60.78	\$150
Midwest	\$131.44	\$85
West	\$119.44	\$124
South	\$90.71	\$102
Average	\$100.59	\$115.25

* Each anesthesia unit represents 15 min of OR time.

approximation of reimbursement to the surgeon (not to transition facility practice or malpractice expenses) and because they are the least variable geographically across the United States; the 2016 work RVUs (which include stereotactic frame placement as well as electrode implantation) were multiplied by a previously published conversion factor of \$37.942 per RVU to obtain the neurosurgeon reimbursement [23].

Costs of radiosurgical VIM thalamotomy

The estimated radiation oncology cost of radiosurgical VIM thalamotomy (Gamma Knife or linear accelerator) was derived from the 2016 Medicare fee schedule, due to its relative resistance to geographic and hospital variations in billing. Practitioner costs were derived from treatment planning, devices, and management (from CPT codes 99205, 77263, 77470, 77295, 77334, 77300, and 77432) based on the 2016 Oregon Health & Science University Department of Radiation Medicine mean payment per intervention.

The neurosurgery cost was derived from the work RVU for VIM SRS, based on CPT code 61796 rather than 61798 since the lesion target was less than 3.5 cm. The 2016 work RVU for this code was 13.93. The work RVU for stereotactic frame placement in Gamma Knife SRS (which unlike for DBS is billed from a separate CPT code) is 2.25, corresponding to CPT code 61800. These work RVUs were then multiplied by the conversion factor to obtain the neurosurgeon cost for VIM radiosurgery.

Results

Unilateral VIM DBS

The cost of OR time for unilateral VIM DBS (including initial setup charge, use of room, and nursing) is equivalent to 146.4 min × \$100.59 per minute = \$14,726.38. The anesthesia cost of 146.4 min of VIM DBS (CPT code 61863) is 21 units, equivalent to \$2420.25 (\$115.25 per unit). The neurosurgeon reimbursement for CPT code 61863 is equivalent to the work RVU, which is 20.71 multiplied by the previously published conversion factor of \$37.942 per RVU = \$785.78. Consequently, the total unilateral VIM DBS cost = \$14,726.38 + \$2420.25 + \$785.78 = \$17,932.41.

Radiosurgical VIM thalamotomy

Based on the 2016 Medicare hospital outpatient prospective payment rates, the comprehensive Ambulatory Payment Classification (APC) for Gamma Knife single-session cranial radiosurgery reimbursement is \$8827 which is inclusive of delivery and ancillary codes but exclusive of co-insurance and other adjustments. This rate is equivalent to reimbursement for linear accelerator radiosurgery. For Gamma Knife, the estimated neurosurgeon reimbursement is equivalent to: (work RVU for radiosurgery + work RVU for stereotactic frame placement) × RVU conversion factor = (13.93 + 2.25) × \$37.942 = \$613.90. The radiation oncology reimbursement is equivalent to \$1370.87 (Table 3).

Table 3

Estimated radiation oncology practitioner cost for stereotactic radiosurgery.

Intervention	CPT code	Mean payment
Consult	99205	\$198.30
Clinical treatment planning	77263	\$201.87
Special treatment procedure	77470	\$130.14
3D plan	77295	\$280.04
Device: per collimator	77334	\$73.46
Radiation dosimetry calculation	77300	\$38.18
Radiosurgery management	77432	\$448.88
Total		\$1370.87

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8459465>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8459465>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)