

### **ScienceDirect**



## Regulators of mitochondrial dynamics in cancer Daniela Senft and Ze'ev A Ronai



Mitochondrial dynamics encompasses processes associated with mitochondrial fission and fusion, affecting their number, degree of biogenesis, and the induction of mitophagy. These activities determine the balance between mitochondrial energy production and cell death programs. Processes governing mitochondrial dynamics are tightly controlled in physiological conditions and are often deregulated in cancer. Mitochondrial protein homeostasis, transcriptional regulation, and posttranslational modification are among processes that govern the control of mitochondrial dynamics. Cancer cells alter mitochondrial dynamics to resist apoptosis and adjust their bioenergetic and biosynthetic needs to support tumor initiating and transformation properties including proliferation, migration, and therapeutic resistance. This review focuses on key regulators of mitochondrial dynamics and their role in cancer.

#### Address

Cancer Center, Sanford Burnham Prebys Medical Discovery Institute, 10901 N. Torrey Pines Rd, La Jolla, CA 92037, United States

Corresponding authors: Senft, Daniela (Daniela@ronailab.net) and Ronai, Ze'ev A (Zeev@ronailab.net)

#### Current Opinion in Cell Biology 2016, 39:43-52

This review comes from a themed issue on **Cell regulation**Edited by **Manuela Baccarini** and **Ivan Dikic** 

#### http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ceb.2016.02.001

0955-0674/© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

#### Mitochondrial dynamics: regulation and physiological relevance

Mitochondria are dynamic organelles that form filamentous networks or appear as fragmented, rounded structures within cells. These morphologies continuously change as a result of coordinated fission (fragmentation), fusion (elongation), or movement along microtubular structures [1,2]. Fusion and fission are highly conserved processes, orchestrated by dynamin related GTPases: in mammals, cytosolic dynamin related protein (Drp1), is recruited to mitochondria and executes mitochondrial fragmentation (fission) after undergoing extensive post-translational modification (Table 1). Drp1 binds to receptor/adaptor proteins (such as Fis1, MFF, MiD49, and Mid51), at the mitochondrial outer membrane (MOM), and oligomerizes to form a ring-like structure along the

MOM that divides mitochondria by constriction. Such sites are marked by tubules from the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) that 'pre-constrict' mitochondria before Drp1 recruitment [1,2]. Two GTPases, mitofusin 1 and 2 (MFN1/2), reportedly mediate MOM fusion, whereas the inner mitochondrial membrane (MIM), is subsequently fused by a process involving the cristae-shaping protein OPA1. Fusion machinery proteins are also subject to posttranslational modifications that regulate their abundance and activity (Table 1).

Although the molecular machinery that shapes mitochondrial morphology is well described, its relationship to mitochondrial functions (such as ATP generation, amino acid and lipid biosynthesis and breakdown, ROS generation, and Ca<sup>2+</sup>-signaling) is still under evaluation (Figure 1). Mitochondrial shape is particularly plastic during cell cycle progression. Highly connected mitochondria seen in G1/S, are thought to ensure sufficient ATP production during energy-consuming cell proliferation [3], whereas fission increases during S/G2/M as a means to distribute mitochondria equally among daughter cells [4]. In post-mitotic cells, extensive mitochondrial fragmentation occurs during apoptosis [1,2], and the mitochondrial network responds to changes in nutrient or oxygen supply, linking mitochondrial dynamics to cellular signaling pathways and stress responses [5,6,7,8°°]. Linked to their role in mitochondrial morphology and function, regulators of mitochondrial dynamics are associated with cytoskeletal proteins and with other cellular organelles. For example, MFN2 tethers mitochondria to the ER and modulates lipid metabolism, calcium homeostasis, and the ER stress response [9]. Mitofusins also link mitochondria to kinesin motors by direct interaction with Miro/Milton, thereby influencing mitochondrial transport [1].

Genetic inactivation of any core mitochondrial-shaping protein promotes embryonic lethality in mice, indicating that mitochondrial dynamics are indispensable for life [10–12]. Whether the perturbation of mitochondrial dynamics per se, or some of the altered processes associated with these dynamics are the cause for the lethality, remain to be determined. In humans, mutations in core proteins have been reported and are associated with tissue-restricted diseases: for example, optic atrophy, in which the loss of retinal ganglions and optic nerve degeneration is linked to OPA1 mutations; Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease type 2A, which is characterized by axonal degeneration of peripheral nerves, results from MFN2 mutations. These observations suggest that altered mitochondrial dynamics and integrity has distinct implications in different cell

P: Phosphorylation; **De-P**: Dephosphorylation; **S-nitro**: S-nitrosylation; **O-GlcNAc**: O-GlcNAcylation; **SUMO**: SUMOylation; **De-SUMO**: De-SUMOylation; **De-AC**: De-Acetylation;

Fzo1, which is counteracted by UBP12

types. Accordingly, perturbations in the balance between fusion and fission have been linked to neurodegenerative and cardiovascular diseases [13,14], and its implication in cancer will be discussed here.

De- Ubi

Inactivation

# Mitochondrial dynamics, mitochondrial quality control and cell fate decision

Given the importance of mitochondria for vital processes, several mechanisms serve to maintain their integrity [15]. First, accumulation of damaged proteins in mitochondrial compartments causes transcriptional upregulation of

proteases and chaperones, which restore homeostasis by clearing aberrant proteins from the organelle. Additionally, ubiquitin-dependent proteasomal degradation of mitochondrial proteins contributes to mitochondrial quality control (QC). Third, mitochondria-derived vesicles have been demonstrated to carry specific cargo and fuse with the lysosome, providing an additional route to remove molecules from mitochondria [15]. Failure of any of these pathways to maintain homeostasis, results in elimination of the entire mitochondria via mitophagy, or, if stress is sustained, in apoptosis [2].

Limits fusion without altering protein abundance. Similar mechanisms reported in yeast: SCF<sup>Mdm30</sup> activates fusion by ubiquitination of

USP30

[24°,84]

#### Download English Version:

## https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8465248

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8465248

Daneshyari.com