



Review article

Ischemia reperfusion injury, ischemic conditioning and diabetes mellitus



Anne Lejay^{a,b,*}, Fei Fang^a, Rohan John^c, Julie A.D. Van^a, Meredith Barr^a, Fabien Thaveau^b, Nabil Chakfe^b, Bernard Geny^d, James W. Scholey^a

^a Department of Medicine and Institute of Medical Science, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada

^b Department of Vascular Surgery and Kidney Transplantation, University of Strasbourg, Strasbourg, France

^c Department of Pathology, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada

^d Department of Physiology, University of Strasbourg, Strasbourg, France

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 8 July 2015

Received in revised form 15 November 2015

Accepted 20 December 2015

Available online 21 December 2015

ABSTRACT

Ischemia/reperfusion, which is characterized by deficient oxygen supply and subsequent restoration of blood flow, can cause irreversible damages to tissue. Mechanisms contributing to the pathogenesis of ischemia reperfusion injury are complex, multifactorial and highly integrated. Extensive research has focused on increasing organ tolerance to ischemia reperfusion injury, especially through the use of ischemic conditioning strategies.

Of morbidities that potentially compromise the protective mechanisms of the heart, diabetes mellitus appears primarily important to study. Diabetes mellitus increases myocardial susceptibility to ischemia reperfusion injury and also modifies myocardial responses to ischemic conditioning strategies by disruption of intracellular signaling responsible for enhancement of resistance to cell death.

The purpose of this review is twofold: first, to summarize mechanisms underlying ischemia reperfusion injury and the signal transduction pathways underlying ischemic conditioning cardioprotection; and second, to focus on diabetes mellitus and mechanisms that may be responsible for the lack of effect of ischemic conditioning strategies in diabetes.

© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Contents

1.	Introduction	12
2.	Part I: ischemia–reperfusion injury and ischemic conditioning	12
2.1.	A. Mechanisms of ischemia reperfusion injury	12
2.1.1.	Calcium overload	12
2.1.2.	Oxidative stress	13
2.1.3.	Endoplasmic reticulum stress	14
2.1.4.	Mitochondrial dysfunction	14
2.1.5.	Apoptosis	14
2.1.6.	Protein kinase activation	14
2.1.7.	Inflammation	15
2.2.	B. Ischemic conditioning	15
2.2.1.	Ischemic conditioning approaches and mechanisms	15
2.2.2.	Triggers of cardioprotection	15
2.2.3.	Intracellular mediators of conditioning	15
2.2.4.	Effectors of cardioprotective conditioning	16
2.2.5.	Mechanisms involved in remote ischemic conditioning	16
3.	Part II: impact of diabetes mellitus	16
3.1.	A. Increased basal oxidative stress in diabetes	16
3.1.1.	Mitochondrial sources of oxidative stress	17
3.1.2.	Non-mitochondrial sources of oxidative stress	17
3.1.3.	Impairment of antioxidant capacities	17

* Corresponding author at: Institute of Medical Science, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario M5S 1A8, Canada.

E-mail address: anne-catherine.lejay@orange.fr (A. Lejay).

3.2.	B. Impaired ischemic conditioning in diabetes	17
3.2.1.	Inefficiency of ischemic conditioning in diabetes	17
3.2.2.	Adenosine signaling alterations	18
3.2.3.	RISK pathway alterations	18
3.2.4.	SAFE pathway alterations	18
3.2.5.	Endothelial NOS signaling alterations	18
3.2.6.	Calcitonin gene-related peptide signaling alterations	18
3.2.7.	Cardioprotective effector alterations	18
3.3.	C. Conditioning and diabetes in the clinical settings	18
3.3.1.	Cardiac consequences of diabetes in patients	18
3.3.2.	Ischemic pre-, post- and remote conditioning in diabetic patients	19
3.4.	D. Restoring myocardial sensitivity to ischemic conditioning in the setting of diabetes	19
4.	Conclusion	19
	Disclosure	19
	References	19

1. Introduction

The heart is one of the most energy demanding tissues in the body and is totally dependent upon oxidative phosphorylation to supply the large amount of ATP required for continuous contraction and relaxation. Ischemia, caused by disruption in blood flow leads to deficient oxygen supply to tissue. This results in cessation of oxidative phosphorylation, causing decrease in tissue ATP and creatine phosphate, and concomitant rise in ADP, AMP and Pi concentrations. Glycolysis is activated, but is unable to produce the required level of ATP. The heart rapidly ceases to beat as the contractile machinery is inhibited by elevated Pi and ADP, combined with the decreasing pH from the accumulation of glycolytic lactic acid [1]. Short duration ischemia is associated with full recovery of the tissue after reperfusion, while prolonged ischemia can lead to cell death. The extent of tissue injury is influenced by both the magnitude and duration of ischemia. Immediate restoration of blood flow remains the mainstream treatment. Reperfusion delivers oxygen and nutrients to support aerobic metabolism and ATP generation, and normalizes extracellular pH by washing out accumulated H⁺ ions. However, reperfusion may paradoxically exacerbate tissue injury, and this additional damage is called reperfusion injury.

Mechanisms contributing to the pathogenesis of ischemia reperfusion injury are multifactorial and complex but also highly integrated. Increases in cellular calcium and reactive oxygen species (ROS), initiated during ischemia and then amplified upon reperfusion are thought to be the main mediators of reperfusion injury. Mitochondrial dysfunction also plays an important role, both in the production of ROS and as a target for downstream effects of both ROS and calcium overload [1–5].

Extensive research has focused on increasing heart tolerance to ischemia reperfusion injury using conditioning strategies. Brief episodes of coronary ischemia reperfusion preceding (ischemic preconditioning) or following (ischemic postconditioning) sustained myocardial ischemia reperfusion reduce infarct size. Even ischemia reperfusion in organs remote from the heart provides cardioprotection (remote ischemic conditioning) [6–10]. Diabetes is a common comorbidity in patients with cardiovascular disease [11]. Despite progress in coronary intervention strategies, diabetes mellitus is still associated with higher mortality after acute myocardial infarction. Diabetes mellitus increases myocardial susceptibility to ischemia reperfusion injury and also modifies myocardial responses to ischemic conditioning strategies by disruption of intracellular signaling responsible for conditioning-induced enhancement of resistance to cell death [12–16]. These alterations in the diabetic heart appear to underlie the poor prognosis of diabetic patients after acute myocardial infarction.

The purpose of this review is twofold. First, to summarize mechanisms underlying ischemia reperfusion injury and signal transduction pathways underlying ischemic conditioning-related cardioprotection. Second, to focus on diabetes mellitus and mechanisms that may be

responsible for the lack of effect of ischemic conditioning strategies in the diabetic heart.

2. Part I: ischemia–reperfusion injury and ischemic conditioning

2.1. A. Mechanisms of ischemia reperfusion injury

The mechanisms contributing to the pathogenesis of ischemia reperfusion injury are multifactorial, complex, and moreover highly integrated. Processes as varied as calcium overload, oxidative stress, endoplasmic reticulum stress, mitochondrial dysfunction, apoptosis, protein kinases activation, and inflammation all play an important role and are also inter-related [2–5].

2.1.1. Calcium overload

When oxygen delivery to tissue is impaired, cells undergo a transformation from aerobic to anaerobic metabolism, switching to glycolysis for ATP generation. This leads to an accumulation of lactates, protons, and NAD⁺, and decreases cell pH. Cells restore pH by extruding H⁺ ions for Na⁺ through the Na⁺/H⁺ exchanger. Na⁺ ions are then exchanged for Ca²⁺ by the Na⁺/Ca²⁺ exchanger³. The increase in cytosolic Ca²⁺ is exacerbated upon reperfusion, because the washout of accumulated extracellular H⁺ ions further increases the proton gradient across the cell membrane, thereby accelerating Na⁺/H⁺ exchanger function³. In addition to changes in transmembrane Ca²⁺ transport during ischemia–reperfusion, Ca²⁺ transport across the sarcoplasmic reticulum is also affected. Ca²⁺ reuptake into the sarcoplasmic reticulum by the sarcoplasmic reticulum Ca²⁺ ATPase (SERCA) is impaired, while Ca²⁺ release through the ryanodine receptor is enhanced (Fig. 1) [4–17]. (See Fig. 2.)

Calcium overload activates a variety of systems, all of which can contribute to cell injury following ischemia reperfusion. Excess Ca²⁺ is taken into the mitochondria via the mitochondrial Ca²⁺ uniporter, a protein that uses the negative mitochondrial transmembrane potential to drive uptake of the positively charged Ca²⁺ ions into the matrix. However, when Ca²⁺ levels in the mitochondria become excessive, Ca²⁺ binds and activates the Ca²⁺ binding domains of the mitochondrial permeability transition pore (mPTP), leading directly to mPTP opening and causing cell death (Fig. 1) [18]. The calpains are another target for calcium overload. This family of cysteine proteases is activated by increased Ca²⁺ and degrades intracellular proteins such as cytoskeletal, endoplasmic reticulum and mitochondrial proteins. On the other hand, the endogenous inhibitor of calpains, calpastatin, is often degraded during ischemia reperfusion, which further enhances calpain availability and ischemia reperfusion injury [1]. Finally, calcium overload can lead to the generation of calcium pyrophosphate complexes and the formation of uric acid, both having the possibility to bind to

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8473947>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8473947>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)