



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Molecular and Cellular Cardiology

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/yjmcc

Review article

Cell therapy for human ischemic heart diseases: Critical review and summary of the clinical experiences

Q1 Noemi Pavo^a, Silvia Charwat^a, Noemi Nyolczas^a, András Jakab^b, Zsolt Murlasits^c, Jutta Bergler-Klein^a,
 5 Mariam Nikfardjam^a, Imre Benedek^d, Teodora Benedek^d, Imre J. Pavo^a, Bernard J. Gersh^e, Kurt Huber^f,
 6 Gerald Maurer^a, Mariann Gyöngyösi^{a,*}

^a Department of Cardiology, Medical University of Vienna, Austria^b Department of Biomedical Laboratory and Imaging Science, Faculty of Medicine, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary^c Exercise Biochemistry Laboratory, The University of Memphis, Department of Health and Sport Sciences, Memphis, TN, USA^d Department of Cardiology, University of Medicine and Pharmacy Tirgu Mures, Romania^e Internal Medicine, Mayo Graduate School of Medicine, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA^f 3rd Dept. Cardiology and Emergency Medicine, Wilhelminen hospital, Vienna, Austria

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 11 February 2014

Received in revised form 23 May 2014

Accepted 26 June 2014

Available online xxxx

Q2 Keywords:

Cardio-myogenic stem and progenitor cells

Human clinical trial

Review

Intracoronary delivery

Intra-myocardial injection

Cardiac regeneration

Myocardial infarction

ABSTRACT

A decade ago, stem or progenitor cells held the promise of tissue regeneration in human myocardium, with the 27 expectation that these therapies could rescue ischemic myocyte damage, enhance vascular density and rebuild 28 injured myocardium. The accumulated evidence in 2014 indicates, however, that the therapeutic success of 29 these cells is modest and the tissue regeneration involves much more complex processes than cell-related 30 biologic. As the quest for the ideal cell or combination of cells continues, alternative cell types, such as resident 31 cardiac cells, adipose-derived or phenotypic modified stem or progenitor cells have also been applied, with the 32 objective of increasing both the number and the retention of the reparative cells in the myocardium. Two main 33 delivery routes (intracoronary and percutaneous intramyocardial) of stem cells are currently used preferably 34 for patients with recent acute myocardial infarction or ischemic cardiomyopathy. Other delivery modes, such 35 as surgical or intravenous via peripheral veins or coronary sinus have also been utilized with less success. Due 36 to the difficult recruitment of patients within conceivable timeframe into cardiac regenerative trials, meta- 37 analyses of human cardiac cell-based studies have tried to gather sufficient number of subjects to present a 38 statistical compelling statement, reporting modest success with a mean increase of 0.9–6.1% in left ventricular 39 global ejection fraction. Additionally, nearly half of the long-term studies reported the disappearance of the initial 40 benefit of this treatment. Beside further extensive efforts to increase the efficacy of currently available methods, 41 pre-clinical experiments using new techniques such as tissue engineering or exploiting paracrine effect hold 42 promise to regenerate injured human cardiac tissue. 43

© 2014 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

Contents

51	1. Evolution of the cardiac tissue regeneration	0
52	1.1. Regenerative cell types in cardiac repair provoking clinical cell-based studies	0
53	1.2. Controversies in the cardiac repair mechanisms	0
54	2. Cell delivery routes and timing in human cardiac trials	0
55	2.1. Cell delivery routes in human clinical trials	0
56	2.2. Timing cell therapy in human studies	0
57	3. Results of human clinical stem cell trials	0
58	4. Different methods to measure outcome parameters of the human cardiac cell therapy	0
59	5. Long-term follow-up results of clinical stem cell therapies in cardiac regeneration	0
60	6. Innovative methods for improving human stem cell-related regeneration efficiency	0
61	6.1. New stem cell-like cell types	0
62	6.2. Phenotypically modified stem cells	0

* Corresponding author at: Department of Cardiology, Medical University of Vienna, Währinger Gürtel 18–20, A-1090 Vienna, Austria. Tel.: +43 1 40400 4614; fax: +43 1 40400 4216.
 E-mail address: mariann.gyongyosi@meduniwien.ac.at (M. Gyöngyösi).

63	6.3. Cardiac shock-wave therapy	0
64	6.4. Tissue engineering	0
65	6.5. Paracrine hypothesis	0
66	6.6. Cell-based or cell-related gene therapy for cardiac regeneration	0
67	7. Perspectives	0
68	8. Review criteria	0
69	References	0
70	Conflict of interest	0
71	Uncited references	0
72	Appendix A. Supplementary material	0
73	Appendix A. Supplementary material	0
74	References	0

75

76 1. Evolution of the cardiac tissue regeneration

77 Treatment of patients with cardiovascular disease in concert with
78 improvement in outcomes has advanced considerably in recent de-
79 cades. The incidence of cardiac adverse events, such as death, acute
80 myocardial infarction (AMI), or cardiovascular-disease-related hospi-
81 talizations, have shown marked declines, in part because of better
82 primary and secondary preventive strategies or the introduction of in-
83 vasive (eg, primary percutaneous coronary intervention) or non-
84 invasive (eg, new antithrombotic regimens) treatments, to achieve
85 and maintain patency of the diseased coronary arteries. With these ad-
86 vances, the focus of innovation has partially shifted from managing
87 acute cardiac manifestations to treatment of chronic cardiac disease
88 and heart failure with the attempt of regeneration of the ischemic
89 injured cardiac tissue [1].

90 The evidence of the trans-differentiation of multipotent cells of di-
91 verse origin into cardiomyocyte or cells with surface markers of early
92 cardiomyocytes [2,3] stimulated an increased interest in the field of
93 human cardiac regeneration. Furthermore, mitotic myocytes and accu-
94 mulated bone marrow (BM) – and peripheral blood-originated stem
95 and progenitor cells in the transplanted heart [4,5] or ischemic injured
96 myocardium [6] have been found by confocal microscopy with un-
97 doubting evidence of self-regenerating capacity (even if the mitotic
98 rate is low) of the cardiac tissue [7,8].

99 Almost two decades ago, the discovery of early commitment cells
100 with the ability to form myocytes [9] triggered an intensive search
101 for regenerative cells in the cardiovascular system. Encouraging pre-
102 clinical study results led to the initiation of early clinical trials for the re-
103 pair of ischemic-injured myocardium using specific cell types. The aims
104 of the present review are to provide a critical overview of clinical cell-
105 based therapies used in patients with ischemic heart disease, from lab-
106 oratory studies to early and current clinical applications, and to discuss
107 new directions and perspectives in cardiac regeneration.

108 1.1. Regenerative cell types in cardiac repair provoking clinical 109 cell-based studies

110 Chiu et al. reported differentiation of satellite cells (undifferentiated
111 myoblasts) into cardiac-like cardiomyocytes, leading to successful cellu-
112 lar cardiomyoplasty [3], which initiated clinical studies injecting autolo-
113 gous skeletal myoblasts administered either surgically [10] or by
114 percutaneous intramyocardial method [11,12]. The recognition of the
115 intrinsic arrhythmogenicity and the inability of integration of these
116 cells into the myocardial milieu suggested another mechanisms of
117 the reparative effect of these cells [13].

118 In the early 2000, Orlic et al. and the Anversa groups demonstrated
119 several BM cell clusters (eg, Lin negative c-kit positive) capable of myo-
120 genic differentiation and expression of transcription factors, such as
121 myocyte enhanced factor (MEF), GATA4 and Nkx2.5 [14–16]. These
122 cells have been shown to transdifferentiate also into endothelial cells
123 and smooth muscle cells in murine myocardial infarction [15]. Further

BM-derived stem cells (endothelial progenitors, angioblasts or CD34 + 124
cells) proved to be also angiogenic, promoting neovascularization in 125
the ischemic myocardium [15]. Kocher et al. reported neovasculariza- 126
tion of the infarcted mice heart by giving human *BM-derived angioblasts* 127
intravenously and demonstrated improved cardiac function [17]. 128

Furthermore, a population of *immature hematopoietic progenitor cells* 129
(called *side population cells*) (Lin- c-kit + Sca-1 +) has been found to be 130
capable of myogenic differentiation [18]. The more committed BM- 131
origin *hematopoietic stem cells* are multi-potent stem cells that give 132
rise to the myeloid and lymphoid blood cell types, characterized by 133
their small size and lack of lineage marker (Lin-), but expressing c-kit, 134
CD34 + and CD133 +. This heterogeneous cell population is thought 135
to play a role in the regeneration of myocardium, as early-stage cardiac 136
myogenic differentiation was demonstrated, in contrast with the BM- 137
origin *circulating endothelial progenitor cells (EPC)* expressing CD45 + 138
and CD34 +, which regenerate only vascular smooth muscle cells and 139
endothelial cells [2,8]. However, if human EPCs were co-cultured with 140
rat cardiomyocytes [19,20], they were able to transdifferentiate func- 141
tionally active cardiomyocytes [19,20]. 142

When BM cells were mobilized by stem cell and granulocyte-colony 143
stimulating factors (G-CSF) due to an ischemic and probably chemo- 144
attractive signal from the infarcted myocardium, they demonstrated 145
homing to the border zone of infarction resulting in cardiac repair evi- 146
denced by dividing myocytes and improved left ventricular (LV) func- 147
tion and survival [14]. 148

These pre-clinical findings on the cardiac regeneration capacity of 149
the BM-origin stem cells prompted the first clinical studies using unse- 150
lected *BM-origin mononuclear cells (MNCs)* for human cardiac repair in 151
patients with recent AMI [21]. The first clinical studies reported by 152
Strauer et al. were followed by randomized studies using unselected 153
BM MNCs or EPCs (REPAIR-AMI, TOPCARE-AMI) in patients with AMI 154
[22–29] or ischemic cardiomyopathy (TOPCARE-CHD) [30]. 155

In order to achieve higher efficacy, selected BM-origin CD34 + or 156
CD34 +/CD133 + cells were injected intracoronary in patients with 157
acute AMI, with similarly modest success, proven by the REGENT [31] 158
and AMR-001 [32] trials. The Phase 3 RENEW trial included approxi- 159
mately 100 patients with chronic ischemic cardiomyopathy, and ran- 160
domized patients to receive G-CSF-mediated mobilized selected 161
CD34 + cells percutaneously intramyocardially in the active arm [33], 162
before premature stop of the study due to slow inclusion rate. 163

Based on the uncertainty regarding the best cell type for cardiac re- 164
pair, unselected BM-MNCs containing heterogeneous mononuclear cell 165
population were chosen for cardiac repair in the majority of the early 166
clinical studies. On the other side, the majority of the BM MNCs are lym- 167
phocytes, but BM contains also other mononuclear cell populations, 168
such as monocytes, pericytes, pre-adipocytes or osteoblasts (which 169
cells are undesirable in the ischemic injured myocardium), and only 170
1% of the extracted and infused BM stem cells are the preferred hema- 171
topoietic stem cells [34], raising doubt as to whether BMSC will survive 172
the test of time; the currently on-going European multicenter BAMI trial 173
(clinical trials gov identifier: NCT01569178) will give the final answer. 174

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8474657>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8474657>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)