



## Review

## Nitrate uptake and its regulation in relation to improving nitrogen use efficiency in cereals

Darren C. Plett<sup>a</sup>, Luke R. Holtham<sup>a</sup>, Mamoru Okamoto<sup>a</sup>, Trevor P. Garnett<sup>a,b,\*</sup><sup>a</sup> School of Agriculture, Food and Wine, Waite Research Institute, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, SA 5064, Australia<sup>b</sup> The Plant Accelerator, Australian Plant Phenomics Facility, Waite Research Institute, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, SA 5064, Australia

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 17 June 2017

Received in revised form 2 August 2017

Accepted 9 August 2017

Available online 24 August 2017

## Keywords:

Nitrate transporters

Nitrate signalling

Regulation

Nitrogen use efficiency

Regulation

## ABSTRACT

On average less than half of the applied N is captured by crops, thus there is scope and need to improve N uptake in cereals. With nitrate ( $\text{NO}_3^-$ ) being the main form of N available to cereal crops there has been a significant global research effort to understand plant  $\text{NO}_3^-$  uptake. Despite this, our knowledge of the  $\text{NO}_3^-$  uptake system is not sufficient to easily target ways to improve  $\text{NO}_3^-$  uptake. Based on this there is an identified need to better understand the  $\text{NO}_3^-$  uptake system and the signalling molecules that modulate it. With strong transcriptional control governing the  $\text{NO}_3^-$  uptake system, we also need new leads for modulating transcription of  $\text{NO}_3^-$  transporter genes.

© 2017 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## Contents

1. Introduction.....	97
2. Nitrate uptake.....	98
3. The control of nitrate uptake.....	98
3.1. Transcriptional control.....	98
3.2. Post transcriptional.....	100
3.3. Post translational.....	100
3.4. Signalling.....	100
4. Conclusions.....	101
4.1. The uptake systems and signalling molecules.....	101
4.2. Leveraging the PNR literature.....	101
4.3. New leads for transcriptional control.....	101
Conflicts of interest.....	101
Acknowledgements.....	102
References.....	102

## 1. Introduction

Approximately 80 million tonnes of N fertiliser is applied to cereals globally to maximise yields [1]. Unfortunately, the applied nitrogen fertiliser is not used efficiently, with, on average, less than

40% of the applied N being taken up by cereals [2,3]. This inefficient usage comes at considerable environmental cost and considerable effort is now being directed at improving nitrogen use efficiency (NUE) [4].

The major sources of N in agricultural soils are nitrate ( $\text{NO}_3^-$ ) and ammonium ( $\text{NH}_4^+$ ) [5]. Proportionally  $\text{NH}_4^+$  is on average 10% of the soil  $\text{NO}_3^-$  concentration, making  $\text{NO}_3^-$  the predominant form of N available to cereal crops [6]. Due to its negative charge and solubility  $\text{NO}_3^-$  is highly mobile, and in cropping soils can vary by four orders of magnitude from micromolar to millimolar [7]. As sessile

\* Corresponding author at: The Plant Accelerator, Australian Plant Phenomics Facility, Waite Research Institute, University of Adelaide, Urrbrae, South Australia 5064, Australia.

E-mail address: [trevor.garnett@adelaide.com.au](mailto:trevor.garnett@adelaide.com.au) (T.P. Garnett).

organisms, plants therefore need to be able to rapidly adapt to these variable soil  $\text{NO}_3^-$  concentrations to optimize N capture. In order to enhance the ability of plants to capture the applied nitrogen fertiliser, it is important to understand the processes by which plants acquire  $\text{NO}_3^-$  and how this process is regulated. This review details current knowledge of these processes and, given their importance in terms of nitrogen application, will where possible relate model plant data to cereals.

## 2. Nitrate uptake

To cope with such variable soil  $\text{NO}_3^-$  concentrations plants have two  $\text{NO}_3^-$  uptake systems: a high affinity transport system (HATS) which is active when  $\text{NO}_3^-$  in the soil is low (<250  $\mu\text{M}$ ); and a low affinity transport system (LATS) which predominates at high soil  $\text{NO}_3^-$  concentration (>250  $\mu\text{M}$ ) [8–10]. This has been the accepted paradigm for many years, however recent studies have shown the HATS respond to plant N demand and contribute the majority of total uptake capacity at high  $\text{NO}_3^-$  concentrations (>2.5 mM) raising questions regarding the roles and activity of each uptake system [11,12]. In Arabidopsis these LATS and HATS uptake systems have been linked to the  $\text{NO}_3^-$  transporter (NRT) families NRT1/NPF and NRT2, respectively, with NRT1.1/NRT1.2 (NPF6.3/NPF4.6) and NRT2.1/NRT2.2/NRT2.4/NRT2.5 primarily mediating  $\text{NO}_3^-$  uptake [13–19]. However due to the dichotomy in the NRT gene families of dicots and grass species, and the subsequent lack of directly orthologous gene pairs, the function of these genes cannot simply be extrapolated into cereals based on sequence homology [20].

The most extensively studied NRT gene is *NRT1.1* (*CHL1/NPF6.3*) which in Arabidopsis is predominantly expressed in the epidermis of young root tips [19]. This gene is  $\text{NO}_3^-$  inducible and encodes a dual affinity transporter with both HATS and LATS activity [21–24], and also acts as a transceptor with the ability to sense external  $\text{NO}_3^-$  and activate  $\text{NO}_3^-$ -signalling pathways [25,26]. The AtNRT1.1 crystal structure reveals that it dimerises in the plasma membrane and operates as a phosphorylation-controlled dimerization switch [23,24]. Some cereal species have been shown to possess additional *AtNRT1.1* orthologues although their functional roles are yet to be defined [27]. Four co-orthologues have been identified in maize of which three showed different expression patterns and responses to  $\text{NO}_3^-$  concentration over the lifecycle of maize [11]. Similarly in wheat, four co-orthologous genes were recently identified and shown to have different tissue specificity and transcriptional responses to N supply [27], further confirming that the functional roles need to be separately defined for cereals. In rice a number of co-orthologues have been identified with over expression of one orthologue leading to improved NUE [28,29].

In contrast to *NRT1.1*, *NRT1.2* (*NPF4.6*) expression in Arabidopsis is primarily located in root hairs and the epidermis of both young root tips and mature root regions and is constitutively expressed [30]. In cereals a single orthologous *NRT1.2* gene has been identified for each of the sequenced cereal species meaning function may be more evolutionarily conserved. In maize Garnett et al. [11] showed little difference in transcript levels of *ZmNRT1.2* between plants grown at high and low  $\text{NO}_3^-$  concentration until late reproductive growth where expression profiles differed between treatments. More recently however, a wheat orthologue has been shown to be dramatically induced under N starvation [31], again highlighting the need for complete functional characterisation to confirm this genes contribution to  $\text{NO}_3^-$  uptake in cereals.

In Arabidopsis *NRT2.1* and *NRT2.2* share 90.4% sequence identity and are located in tandem on chromosome 1 suggesting they are a product of a gene duplication event [32]. Despite their similarity, AtNRT2.1 has been demonstrated as the main component of the HATS under many conditions with AtNRT2.2 providing only a

minor contribution [17,33]. However, when *AtNRT2.1* is knocked-out *AtNRT2.2* transcript levels have been shown to increase and provide a greater contribution to HATS, partially compensating for the *AtNRT2.1* loss [17]. Although the cereal orthologues are yet to be functionally characterised, their transcriptional changes have shown strong correlation to  $\text{NO}_3^-$  uptake and HATS activity indicating a similar role to their Arabidopsis counterparts [11,34]. In Arabidopsis, *NRT2.4* is expressed in both the epidermis of lateral roots and in shoot tissue with affinity for  $\text{NO}_3^-$  at very low levels, suggesting this protein plays a role in both the root and shoot during N starvation [18]. Finally, *NRT2.5* in Arabidopsis has been located in the epidermis and cortex of roots at the root hair zone, and, is induced under N starvation [15,16,35] and suppressed by  $\text{NO}_3^-$  [16,36]. Kotur and Glass [37] suggest the AtNRT2.5 provides the bulk of the constitutive HATS capacity. In rice the orthologous gene *OsNRT2.5* (also known as *OsNRT2.3a*) is expressed predominantly in xylem parenchyma cells of the root stele and has been demonstrated to play a role in the transport of  $\text{NO}_3^-$  from root to shoot, again under low  $\text{NO}_3^-$  conditions [38]. *OsNRT2.3b* expression is in the phloem and it is suggested be involved in  $\text{NO}_3^-$  transport within the shoot and its remobilisation to the grain [39]. In both maize and wheat the *NRT2.5* orthologues also demonstrate induction under low  $\text{NO}_3^-$  conditions [11,31], however the difference in function between the orthologues in Arabidopsis and rice suggest that the simple one to one orthologous gene relationships for this gene will not translate into a conservation of function between dicots and cereals.

## 3. The control of nitrate uptake

Knowledge of the transporters mediating  $\text{NO}_3^-$  uptake has increased substantially in the past 30 years, however to truly understand the  $\text{NO}_3^-$  uptake system in plants the regulatory system controlling the transporter function must be elucidated. Improvements of  $\text{NO}_3^-$  uptake and NUE in crops through manipulation of  $\text{NO}_3^-$  transporters has recently been successful [28,39], however it stands to reason that further improvements will require more complete knowledge of the regulatory system to maximise efficiency gains. There is evidence to suggest that  $\text{NO}_3^-$  uptake is controlled at the transcriptional, translational and post-translational levels. Isolation of mutants impaired in  $\text{NO}_3^-$  uptake has provided some new players in the regulatory system, however the advent of technology capacities such as systems biology has accelerated the identification of 'master regulators' or 'hub genes' which control  $\text{NO}_3^-$  uptake [40] (Fig. 1).

### 3.1. Transcriptional control

Transcriptional control of  $\text{NO}_3^-$  uptake is well documented. When Arabidopsis and barley plants are subjected to  $\text{NO}_3^-$  starvation and resupply, the observed changes in transcript levels of *NRT2.1* and *NRT2.2* follow changes in HATS  $\text{NO}_3^-$  uptake capacity [16,41–47]. Mutant analyses of these genes have confirmed that they are indeed the major drivers of the changes in  $\text{NO}_3^-$  uptake capacity supporting the link between *NRT2* transcription and uptake capacity [33,36,48,49]. Longer term lifecycle analysis has also shown distinct correlation between the changes  $\text{NO}_3^-$  uptake capacity changes and transcript levels of the *NRT2s* across the lifecycle of maize [11]. In Arabidopsis, maize and wheat transcript levels of some *NRT2s* have been shown to increase in response to reduction in N availability, aligning with an observed increase in  $\text{NO}_3^-$  uptake capacity [16,27,35].

Transcription factors (TFs) act as master switches for regulatory networks [50–52]. The first TF identified to play a role in  $\text{NO}_3^-$ -responsive signalling in plants was a MADS box TF, ANR1,

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8479794>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8479794>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)