RTICLE IN PRESS

Tuberculosis xxx (2016) 1-10



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Tuberculosis

journal homepage: http://intl.elsevierhealth.com/journals/tube

MOLECULAR ASPECTS

REMap: Operon map of *M. tuberculosis* based on RNA sequence data

Shaaretha Pelly ^{a, 1}, Kathryn Winglee ^{a, 1}, Fang Fang Xia ^b, Rick L. Stevens ^b, William R. Bishai ^{a, c}, Gyanu Lamichhane ^{a, *}

^a Center for Tuberculosis Research, School of Medicine, Johns Hopkins University, 1550 Orleans St, Baltimore, MD 21287, USA

^b Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, IL 60439, USA

^c Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Center for Tuberculosis Research, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, 1550 Orleans St, Baltimore, MD 21231, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 17 February 2016 Received in revised form 19 April 2016 Accepted 24 April 2016

Keywords: Operon Mvcobacterium tuberculosis RNAseq

SUMMARY

A map of the transcriptional organization of genes of an organism is a basic tool that is necessary to understand and facilitate a more accurate genetic manipulation of the organism. Operon maps are largely generated by computational prediction programs that rely on gene conservation and genome architecture and may not be physiologically relevant. With the widespread use of RNA sequencing (RNAseq), the prediction of operons based on actual transcriptome sequencing rather than computational genomics alone is much needed. Here, we report a validated operon map of Mycobacterium tuberculosis, developed using RNAseq data from both the exponential and stationary phases of growth. At least 58.4% of M. tuberculosis genes are organized into 749 operons. Our prediction algorithm, REMap (RNA Expression Mapping of operons), considers the many cases of transcription coverage of intergenic regions, and avoids dependencies on functional annotation and arbitrary assumptions about gene structure. As a result, we demonstrate that REMap is able to more accurately predict operons, especially those that contain long intergenic regions or functionally unrelated genes, than previous operon prediction programs. The REMap algorithm is publicly available as a user-friendly tool that can be readily modified to predict operons in other bacteria.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The success of Mycobacterium tuberculosis (M. tuberculosis) as the etiological agent of tuberculosis disease is largely due to its ability to adapt to the wide range of stresses it faces upon entering its host [1]. These include hypoxia in granulomatous lesions [2], low nutrient conditions [3] and nitric oxide exposure upon macrophage activation [4]. In addition to inhabiting both intracellular environments within host macrophages and extracellular environments within lesions, M. tuberculosis bacilli are able to switch from periods of active growth to dormancy, giving rise to latent tuberculosis disease [5]. The ability of *M. tuberculosis* to thrive in diverse microenvironments and modulate growth accordingly is due to complex transcriptional regulation that is often unique to mycobacterial species [6].

E-mail address: lamichhane@jhu.edu (G. Lamichhane).

¹ These authors contributed equally to this work.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tube.2016.04.010 1472-9792/© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Although transcriptional studies are widely used in *M. tuberculosis* research, a map of operon structure based on the M. tuberculosis transcriptome remains unavailable. To date, the M. tuberculosis operon databases in use have been computationally generated based on statistical modeling. For example, predictions of M. tuberculosis operons have been made by the Database for prOkaryotic OpeRons (DOOR) [7]. However, the algorithm used in DOOR is optimized for Escherichia coli (E. coli) and Bacillus subtilis data. Transcription in mycobacteria has been shown to differ from these models as M. tuberculosis does not consistently harbor consensus -35 element in 5' UTRs and also shows a heavy reliance on alternative sigma factors, suggesting the use of alternative promoters for ORFs [8,9]. In addition, a recent study demonstrated that a quarter of genes in M. tuberculosis are expressed as leaderless transcripts which lack a 5'UTR and a classical ribosomal binding site [10]. These findings suggest that the use of computational methods built on classical transcription mechanisms may not be accurate for determining operon structure in M. tuberculosis.

55

56

57 58

59 60

61

62 63

64 65

66 67

68 69 70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

101

110

111

112

113

114

115

117

118

119

Tuberculosis

54

1 2

Abbreviations: REMap, RNA Expression Mapping of operons.

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 410 502 8162; fax: +1 410 614 8173.

2

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

27

31

37

41

Four operon maps have been predicted specifically for *M. tuberculosis.* Each map used a different prediction approach, including an annotation-based method using gene pathways and length of intergenic region (IGR) (BioCyc Pathway/Genome Database, MtbRvCyc) [11], a comparative method using cross-species conservation of gene proximity (The Institute of Genomic Research, TIGR) [12], a method that combined IGR length and *M. tuberculosis* microarray coexpression data [13] and a method that predicted operons based on IGR length, conserved gene clusters and transcriptional termination predictions (MycoperonDB) [14]. A recent review on the transcriptome of *M. tuberculosis* revealed significant discrepancies and little overlap between these four databases, suggesting that these methods of predicting operons are not optimal for *M. tuberculosis* [15]. Furthermore, the MtbRvCyc and TIGR databases, both based on methods in E. coli, showed the least overlap, indicating the importance of speciesspecific transcriptome analysis in the determination of transcriptional units of M. tuberculosis.

Experimental methods, such as RNase protection assays, have 20 been used to delineate operon boundaries [16]. Despite the advantages of specificity and physiological relevance of these 22 methods compared to computational predictions, they are not 23 feasible for the prediction of transcriptional units on a genome-24 wide scale. RNA-sequencing technology (RNAseq) provides re-25 searchers with the ability to analyze the transcriptome at the 26 resolution of a single nucleotide. This has revolutionized the mapping of ORFs and transcriptional units as well as the study of 28 gene expression across all fields. A recent publication updated 29 annotations in the TubercuList database, resulting in the correc-30 tion of multiple ORF annotations in M. tuberculosis based on alternative start codon usage in RNAseg data [17]. RNAseg studies 32 in Helicobacter pylori and M. tuberculosis have also revealed a 33 wide prevalence of alternative transcriptional start sites within 34 operons, suggesting the uncoupling of polycistrons under 35 different environmental conditions [10,18]. Another currently 36 available transcriptome-based operon prediction algorithm is included in the Rockhopper RNAseq analysis package, which 38 bases its classification on intergenic distances and expression 39 level correlation across experiments [19]. These studies highlight 40 the importance of transcriptional unit mapping based on transcriptome analysis and suggest that an operon map cannot be 42 generalized for expression of an organism under all conditions, 43 but is highly specific for the conditions during which the tran-44 scriptome is analyzed.

45 However, given the widespread use of RNAseq by researchers 46 who are not trained bioinformaticians, and the limits of current 47 operon prediction programs for M. tuberculosis, we developed a 48 user-friendly program, REMap (RNA Expression Mapping of op-49 erons), to predict operons based on RNAseq data. A similar 50 method has also been used to study the transcriptome of Myco-51 *bacterium marinum* [20]. In this study, we report an operon map of 52 M. tuberculosis during both exponential and stationary phases of 53 growth and validate the REMap predictions through comparisons 54 to previously published operons as well as confirmation of newly 55 predicted operons. This study reveals extensive co-transcription 56 in the *M. tuberculosis* genome, with operons up to 14 genes in 57 length and 58.4% of genes being transcribed in 749 operons during 58 the exponential phase of growth. This map is also presented in the 59 form of a heat map that provides researchers with a whole 60 genome view of both operonic structure and expression within 61 operons in M. tuberculosis. REMap and the heat map output enable 62 researchers to analyze RNAseq data for transcriptional units 63 and compare expression under the different conditions being 64 tested. 65

2. Methods

2.1. Strains and growth conditions

A M. tuberculosis clinical isolate CDC1551 [21] carrying a plasmid, pMH94 [22], integrated at the attB site, was used in this study. By carrying an empty vector that is often used for introducing DNA into M. tuberculosis, this strain would be an ideal parent strain for gene deletion and complemented strains. M. tuberculosis was grown in Middlebrook 7H9 broth (Difco) supplemented with 0.5% glycerol, 10% oleic acid-albumin-dextrose-catalase (OADC), 0.05% Tween 80 under constant shaking at 37 °C and total RNA was isolated from exponential (optical density of culture $A_{600nm} = 0.8$) and stationary phases of growth (two days following peak optical density).

2.2. RNA isolation and RNA sequencing

Total RNA was extracted from duplicate 150 ml cultures of M. tuberculosis at both exponential and stationary phases. *M. tuberculosis* cultures were centrifuged and the bacterial pellet was resuspended in Trizol (Invitrogen). This mixture was transferred to 1.8 ml O-ring tubes containing 0.5 ml of 0.1 mm zirconia beads (BioSpec Products). Cells were incubated at 25 °C for 10 min, lyzed by six cycles of bead-beating for 30 s and cooling on ice for 1 min, using a mini-beadbeater at 4800 RPM. Lysed cells were centrifuged for 5 min at 13,000 RPM, the supernatant was transferred to a fresh microfuge tube and RNA was then extracted as described [23], followed by column purification with the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen). 16S and 23S rRNA were removed from the sample with MICROBExpress Bacterial mRNA Enrichment Kit (Invitrogen). The quality of RNA was assessed using a Nanodrop (ND-1000, Labtech) and Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies) after each step of processing. cDNA library preparations, fragment library protocols, and all parameters followed standard SOLiD Applied Biosystems protocols. Sequence reads were aligned to M. tuberculosis CDC1551 reference sequence (EMBL Accession number AE000516) using the Bioscope v1.3 Whole Transcriptome plugin. Sequencing data was visualized using the Integrated Genome Viewer V2.2. RNA-sequencing and analysis was carried out at the Next Generation Sequencing Center, Johns Hopkins University.

2.3. Reverse-transcription and polymerase chain reaction

For reverse-transcription PCR (RT-PCR), 5 µg total RNA was treated with 2 U of TURBO[™] DNA-free DNase (Applied Biosystems) according to manufacturer's instructions for 30 min, followed by addition of 2 U of DNase for another 30 min. Reverse transcription was carried out using SuperScriptIII Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen) and a gene specific reverse primer complementary to the gene at the 3' end of the IGR. Approximately 350 ng of DNasetreated RNA, dNTPs and the reverse primer were incubated at 65 °C for 5 min, then on ice for 1 min. This was followed by the addition of FS buffer, DTT and either reverse transcriptase or water (negative control) to each tube according to manufacturer's instructions. The final concentration of dNTPs was 1 mM and the final concentration of the reverse primer was 1 uM. Reverse transcription was conducted in an isothermal cycler at 55 °C for 30 min, followed by 70 °C for 15 min to generate cDNA. cDNA was amplified using Taq DNA Polymerase, Recombinant (Invitrogen). PCR samples were run on a 1.5% agarose gel for analysis. PCR amplification from gDNA and a cDNA sample without reverse transcriptase were used as a positive and negative control respectively. Gene specific primers were designed to amplify intergenic region between two

130

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8485262

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8485262

Daneshyari.com