[International Journal for Parasitology xxx \(2017\) xxx–xxx](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2017.08.013)

1

5 6

1415 16

International Journal for Parasitology



journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/ijpara](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/ijpara)

# <sup>3</sup> Similar yet different: co-analysis of the genetic diversity and structure of an invasive nematode parasite and its invasive mammalian host

7 Natalia Osten-Sacken <sup>a,b</sup>, Mike Heddergott <sup>a</sup>, Anna Schleimer <sup>a</sup>, Helena E. Anheyer-Behmenburg <sup>c</sup>, 8 — Martin Runge <sup>c</sup>, Gavin J. Horsburgh <sup>d</sup>, Lauren Camp <sup>e</sup>, Steven A. Nadler <sup>e</sup>, Alain C. Frantz <sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>9</sup> <sup>a</sup> Musée National d, Histoire Naturelle, 25 rue Muenster, L-2160 Luxembourg, Luxembourg<br>10 <sup>b</sup> Eondation Faune-Flore 25 rue Muenster L-2160 Luxembourg Luxembourg

<sup>1</sup> b Fondation Faune-Flore, 25 rue Muenster, L-2160 Luxembourg, Luxembourg<br>11 S Lower Saxony State Office for Consumer Protection and Food Safety Food a

<sup>c</sup> Lower Saxony State Office for Consumer Protection and Food Safety, Food and Veterinary Institute Braunschweig/Hannover, Eintrachtweg 17, D-30173 Hannover, Germany<br><sup>d</sup> NERC Biomolecular Anglysis Facility Department of A

<sup>d</sup> NERC Biomolecular Analysis Facility, Department of Animal and Plant Sciences, University of Sheffield, Western Bank, Sheffield S10 2TN, UK

13 eDepartment of Entomology and Nematology, University of California, One Shields Avenue, Davis, CA 95616-8668, USA

## article info

1 8 3 1 19 Article history:<br>20 Received 20 Iu

- 20 Received 20 June 2017<br>21 Received in revised for
- 21 Received in revised form 24 August 2017<br>22 Accepted 31 August 2017
- Accepted 31 August 2017
- 23 Available online xxxx
- 24 Keywords:<br>25 Baylisasca
- 25 Baylisascaris procyonis<br>26 Cenetic diversity
- 26 Genetic diversity<br>27 Genetic structure
- 27 Genetic structure<br>28 Invasion history
- 28 Invasion history<br>29 *Procyon lotor* Procyon lotor
- 30

### ABSTRACT

Animal parasitic nematodes can cause serious diseases and their emergence in new areas can be an issue 32 of major concern for biodiversity conservation and human health. Their ability to adapt to new environ- 33 ments and hosts is likely to be affected by their degree of genetic diversity, with gene flow between dis- 34 tinct populations counteracting genetic drift and increasing effective population size. The raccoon 35 roundworm (Baylisascaris procyonis), a gastrointestinal parasite of the raccoon (Procyon lotor), has 36<br>increased its global geographic range after being translocated with its host. The raccoon has been introincreased its global geographic range after being translocated with its host. The raccoon has been introduced multiple times to Germany, but not all its populations are infected with the parasite. While fewer 38 introduced individuals may have led to reduced diversity in the parasite, admixture between different 39 founder populations may have counteracted genetic drift and bottlenecks. Here, we analyse the popula- 40 tion genetic structure of the roundworm and its raccoon host at the intersection of distinct raccoon pop- 41 ulations infected with B. procyonis. We found evidence for two parasite clusters resulting from 42 independent introductions. Both clusters exhibited an extremely low genetic diversity, suggesting small 43 founding populations subjected to inbreeding and genetic drift with no, or very limited, genetic influx 44 from population admixture. Comparison of the population genetic structures of both host and parasite 45 suggested that the parasite spread to an uninfected raccoon founder population. On the other hand, an 46 almost perfect match between cluster boundaries also suggested that the population genetic structure 47 of B. procyonis has remained stable since its introduction, mirroring that of its raccoon host. 48

2017 Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of Australian Society for Parasitology. 49

# 52

# 53 1. Introduction

 The widespread introduction of non-native flora and fauna resulting from anthropogenic activities carries the risk of simulta- neously introducing pathogens into new areas ([Taraschewski,](#page--1-0) [2006; Kelehear et al., 2013](#page--1-0)). Indeed, parasite invasions can consti- tute a problem for biodiversity conservation, agriculture and human/animal health [\(Daszak et al., 2000; Walker et al., 2008\)](#page--1-0). Parasitic nematodes are likely to be translocated with their hosts, as they are widespread and many animal and plant species have one or more associated species of roundworm ([Taraschewski,](#page--1-0) [2006; Gilabert and Wasmuth, 2013; Demiaszkiewicz, 2014](#page--1-0)). Once introduced, non-indigenous nematodes can become highly inva-

⇑ Corresponding author. Fax: +352 46 38 48.

E-mail address: [afrantz@mnhn.lu](mailto:afrantz@mnhn.lu) (A.C. Frantz).

sive - even reducing the occurrence of native parasites in their 65 new hosts in some cases ([Radwan et al., 2010\)](#page--1-0) – and can be a major 66 cause of destructive disease [\(Cheng et al., 2008; Plantard et al.,](#page--1-0) 67 [2008](#page--1-0)). 68

However, the ability of pathogens to adapt to new environ- 69 ments and hosts is likely to be affected by their overall degree of 70 genetic diversity. Parasite populations experiencing frequent and 71 severe bottlenecks are expected to be less diverse and, having less 72 variation for natural selection, slower to adapt than large popula- 73 tions that maintain a constant size ([McDonald and Linde, 2002\)](#page--1-0). 74 Alien species generally experience a genetic bottleneck at intro- 75 duction and there are many examples of genetically depauperate 76 invaders [\(Dlugosch and Parker, 2008\)](#page--1-0), including parasitic nema- 77 todes [\(Wielgoss et al., 2008; Boucher et al., 2013](#page--1-0)). Conversely, 78 some invasive nematodes have genetic diversity levels comparable 79 to the source population, probably as a result of multiple 80

0020-7519/ 2017 Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of Australian Society for Parasitology.

Please cite this article in press as: Osten-Sacken, N., et al. Similar yet different: co-analysis of the genetic diversity and structure of an invasive nematode parasite and its invasive mammalian host. Int. J. Parasitol. (2017), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2017.08.013>

6 November 2017

2 N. Osten-Sacken et al. / International Journal for Parasitology xxx (2017) xxx–xxx

 introductions from different origins [\(Cheng et al., 2008; Valadas](#page--1-0) [et al., 2012\)](#page--1-0) or, in the case of animal parasites, large infra- population size. Understanding genetic diversity can provide an indication of the evolutionary potential of invasive nematodes and therefore may be relevant for predicting the potential success of control programmes.

 Gene flow between geographically distinct populations coun- teracts genetic drift and increases effective population sizes. Patho- gens that exhibit a high degree of genetic exchange are therefore likely to have a greater genetic diversity than those that are genet- ically isolated ([McDonald and Linde, 2002\)](#page--1-0). Gene flow will be facil- itated in species whose life-history characteristics ensure population connectivity over large geographic scales ([Gilleard](#page--1-0) [and Beech, 2007\)](#page--1-0). Dispersal of parasitic nematodes with direct life cycles is expected to be mediated by host dispersal and the popu- lation genetic structures of both host and nematode can be highly correlated [\(Mazé-Guilmo et al., 2016](#page--1-0)). Host and parasite gene flow might, however, not be equivalent and there may be large differ- ences in the effective population sizes as some hosts are not infected and prevalence rates can differ across the landscape ([Criscione et al., 2006](#page--1-0)). Analysis of the population genetic struc- tures of parasites and comparison with those of their hosts will contribute to estimating parasite migration rates and assessing gene flow patterns [\(Mazé-Guilmo et al., 2016\)](#page--1-0). While there have been several studies co-analysing the genetic structures of para- sites and their hosts, especially at larger spatial scales and/or only using mitochondrial DNA [\(Mazé-Guilmo et al., 2016](#page--1-0)), the number of regional studies that analyse the landscape genetic structures of the parasite and its host are rarer (e.g., [Nadler et al., 1990;](#page--1-0) [Lavandero et al., 2011; Dharmarajan et al., 2016](#page--1-0)), especially in 111 the case of invasive species (but see [Prugnolle et al., 2005\)](#page--1-0).

 The raccoon roundworm (Baylisascaris procyonis), a dioecious and obligate sexual nematode, is a common gastrointestinal para-114 site of the raccoon (Procyon lotor), its definitive host ([Kazacos,](#page--1-0) [2001\)](#page--1-0). The parasite is widespread in its native North American range [\(Hernandez et al., 2013](#page--1-0)) where prevalence of infection can be as high as 82% ([Kazacos, 2001\)](#page--1-0). Infected raccoons can shed mil- lions of B. procyonis eggs in their faeces daily, which contaminate 119 the environment and remain infective for years. Baylisascaris procy- onis larvae are highly non-specific with regard to paratenic hosts: over 130 vertebrate species – including humans – have been reported to exhibit the clinical symptoms of larval migrans ([Kazacos, 2001; Page, 2013](#page--1-0)). Transmission to paratenic hosts occurs at latrines when eggs are ingested ([Sorvillo et al., 2002\)](#page--1-0). While B. procyonis infections in the definitive host are normally benign, they can be fatal in paratenic hosts, including – rarely – in humans ([Kazacos, 2001](#page--1-0)).

 Translocations of raccoons have increased the global geographic range of B. procyonis ([Beltrán-Beck et al., 2012; Page, 2013](#page--1-0)). Rac- coons are particularly abundant and wide-spread in Germany, where the whole population descends from at least four separate introduction events ([Frantz et al., 2013; Fischer et al., 2015\)](#page--1-0). In comparison with its host, the geographic range of the parasite in Germany appears to be more restricted, with the parasite being absent from at least one of the four major founding populations ([Schwarz et al., 2015](#page--1-0)). The number of introduced B. procyonis may therefore have been small(er), resulting in a severe(r) genetic bottleneck. Infected raccoons can, however, carry several hundred 139 nematodes in their intestines [\(Kazacos, 2001](#page--1-0)). Depending on the inter-individual relatedness of such an infra-population, a small number of infected raccoons might suffice, however, for the para-142 site to maintain a high genetic diversity in its new range [\(Criscione](#page--1-0) [et al., 2005\)](#page--1-0).

144 If B. procyonis was introduced multiple times and if distinct 145 introductions were followed by genetic admixture between clus-146 ters, genetic diversity levels of B. procyonis in Germany might be

comparable with the source population, or even higher [\(Kolbe](#page--1-0) 147 [et al., 2004\)](#page--1-0). The pattern and degree of genetic admixture between 148 geographically separated B. procyonis populations, however, is 149 likely to depend on raccoon dispersal capabilities. Landscape barri- 150 ers that separate raccoon populations are likely to have the same 151 effect on *B. procyonis* populations. For example, [Sarkissian et al.](#page--1-0) 152 [\(2015\)](#page--1-0) have recently shown a large river in Michigan, USA to be 153 a gene flow barrier to B. procyonis. However, the proportion of 154 trans-barrier-dispersing raccoons that fail to reproduce might be 155 high ([Riley et al., 2006](#page--1-0)). These hosts can nevertheless contribute 156 to the spread and effective dispersal of parasites. In other words, 157 populations of B. procyonis might conceivably be less genetically 158 structured than those of its host ([Mazé-Guilmo et al., 2016\)](#page--1-0). 159 Finally, prevalence rates of B. procyonis can differ substantially 160 across the landscape [\(Gompper and Wright, 2005; Page et al.,](#page--1-0) 161 [2008\)](#page--1-0), which might be an indication of large population fluctua- 162 tions and colonisation events, leading to highly subdivided nema- 163 tode populations. 164

Here, we analysed the population genetic structure of the rac- 165 coon roundworm and its host at the intersection of distinct raccoon 166 founder populations that were known to be infected with B. procy- 167 onis. The overall objectives of this study were to estimate the num- 168 ber of colonisation events by the parasite, to understand its degree 169 of genetic diversity and to jointly analyse the population genetic 170 structure of the parasite and its host to make inferences about gene 171 flow patterns in both species. 172

### 2. Materials and methods 173

#### 2.1. Invasion history and sample collection 174

It was commonly assumed that all German raccoons stemmed 175 from a small number of founders and two separate founding events 176 in western (Hesse) and eastern (Brandenburg) Germany during the 177 1930s and 1940s, respectively [\(Lutz, 1995; Frantz et al., 2005\)](#page--1-0). 178 However, recent genetic work has shown the invasion history to 179 be rather more complex ([Fig. 1](#page--1-0); [Frantz et al., 2013; Fischer et al.,](#page--1-0) 180 [2015\)](#page--1-0). In addition to two genetic populations centred around the 181 known introduction sites, distinct raccoon populations were iden- 182 tified in Saxony (eastern-central Germany) and around the Harz 183 Mountains in central Germany ( $Fig. 1$ ). A fifth genetic population 184 was identified in the city of Kassel, but [Fischer et al. \(2015\)](#page--1-0) con-<br>185 cluded that it probably did not stem from a distinct introduction 186 event. A further two clusters were formed mainly by samples from 187 Luxembourg and some outliers, respectively. The presence of B. 188 procyonis has been reported from the western (Hesse) and central 189 (Harz Mountains) German populations ([Helbig, 2011; Michler and](#page--1-0) 190 [Michler, 2012](#page--1-0)), as well as from the city of Kassel, where 74% of 191 latrines in inhabited houses have been shown to be contaminated 192 with nematode eggs (Gunesch, E., 2003. Untersuchungen zur Pop-<br>193 ulationsökologie urbaner Waschbärpopulationen, Procyon lotor L., 194 1758. am Beispiel der Stadt Kassel, Master's Thesis, Georg- 195 August-Universität Göttingen, Germany). In contrast, there is no 196 evidence for the presence of B. procyonis in the eastern, Branden-<br>197 burg population ([Schwarz et al., 2015\)](#page--1-0) and, to the best of our 198 knowledge, no information on the presence of the parasite in the 199 eastern-central Saxony population. 200

During the years 2011–2015, we collected B. procyonis from 255 201 infected raccoons legally harvested in the southern parts of the 202 German federal states of Lower Saxony and Saxony-Anhalt, as well 203 as from the city of Kassel ([Fig. 1\)](#page--1-0). The study site was located at the 204 intersection of two distinct raccoon founder populations (central 205 and western) that were both known to be infected with B. procy- 206 onis. We genotyped all the raccoon hosts as well as one round- 207 worm per host. We used the Germany-wide raccoon genotype 208

Please cite this article in press as: Osten-Sacken, N., et al. Similar yet different: co-analysis of the genetic diversity and structure of an invasive nematode parasite and its invasive mammalian host. Int. J. Parasitol. (2017), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2017.08.013>

Download English Version:

# <https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8500138>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8500138>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)