[International Journal for Parasitology xxx \(2014\) xxx–xxx](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2013.10.009)

Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/00207519)

International Journal for Parasitology

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ijpara

³ Egg number–egg size: an important trade-off in parasite life history strategies

7 Q1 Francisca I. Cavaleiro *, Maria J. Santos

8 Universidade do Porto, Faculdade de Ciências, Departamento de Biologia, Rua do Campo Alegre, s/n, Edifício FC4, 4169-007 Porto, Portugal 9 CIIMAR/CIMAR – Centro Interdisciplinar de Investigação Marinha e Ambiental, Universidade do Porto, Rua dos Bragas 289, 4050-123 Porto, Portugal

$1₀$ 12

1

5 6

article info

- 1 4 2 8 15 Article history
16 Received 4 Iu
- Received 4 July 2013 17 Received in revised form 12 October 2013
18 Accepted 16 October 2013 18 Accepted 16 October 2013
19 Available online xxxx
- Available online xxxx
- 20 Keywords:
21 Parasite s
- 21 Parasite species
22 r- and K-strateg
- 22 r and K-strategy
23 Trade-off egg nu
- Trade-off egg number-egg size
- 24 Multilevel approach
25 Natural selection
- Natural selection
- Adaptive phenotypic plasticity 26
27

ABSTRACT

Parasites produce from just a few to many eggs of variable size, but our understanding of the factors driv- 29 ing variation in these two life history traits at the intraspecific level is still very fragmentary. This study 30 evaluates the importance of performing multilevel analyses on egg number and egg size, while charac- 31 terising parasite life history strategies. A total of 120 ovigerous females of Octopicola superba (Copepoda: 32 Octopicolidae) (one sample $(n = 30)$ per season) were characterised with respect to different body dimen-
33 sions (total length; genital somite length) and measures of reproductive effort (fecundity; mean egg 34 diameter; total reproductive effort; mean egg sac length). While endoparasites are suggested to follow 35 both an r- and K-strategy simultaneously, the evidence found in this and other studies suggests that envi- 36 ronmental conditions force ectoparasites into one of the two alternatives. The positive and negative 37 skewness of the distributions of fecundity and mean egg diameter, respectively, suggest that O. superba 38 is mainly a K-strategist (i.e. produces a relatively small number of large, well provisioned eggs). Signifi- 39 cant sample differences were recorded concomitantly for all body dimensions and measures of reproduc- 40 tive effort, while a generalised linear model detected a significant influence of season-parasite total 41 length in both egg number and size. This evidence suggests adaptive phenotypic plasticity in body 42 dimensions and size-mediated changes in egg production. Seasonal changes in partitioning of resources 43 between egg number and size resulted in significant differences in egg sac length but not in total repro- 44 ductive effort. Evidence for a trade-off between egg number and size was found while controlling for a 45 potential confounding effect of parasite total length. However, this trade-off became apparent only at 46 high fecundity levels, suggesting a state of physiological exhaustion. 47

© 2014 Published by Elsevier Ltd. on behalf of Australian Society for Parasitology Inc. 48

50

51 1. Introduction

 Transition from a free-living existence to a parasitic mode of life impacted various life history traits, including fecundity (egg num- ber) and egg size (see [Poulin, 1995a; Calow, 1983](#page--1-0)). However, our theoretical framework is still lacking some important elements if we are to understand fully the mechanism of parasite egg produc- tion. These elements will allow us to evaluate the existence of gen- eral laws (i.e. patterns and processes) in parasite egg production. Furthermore, fitting the pieces of the puzzle together, namely the evidence from multilevel analyses on egg number and egg size, is crucial to elucidating parasite life history strategies.

62 Egg number and egg size are key concepts in parasite reproduc-63 tion. For many years, our understanding of the former of these 64 traits was largely based on the misconception that all parasites

⇑ Corresponding author at: Universidade do Porto, Faculdade de Ciências, Departamento de Biologia, Rua do Campo Alegre, s/n, Edifício FC4, 4169-007 Porto, Q2 Portugal. Tel.: +351 220 402 805; fax: +351 220 402 709.

E-mail address: francisca.cavaleiro@gmail.com (F.I. Cavaleiro).

evolve toward extremely high egg output ([Poulin, 1995b\)](#page--1-0). There 65 were different explanations for it: a high egg output represents 66 the expected outcome of natural selection – according to the 'bal- 67 anced mortality' hypothesis ([Smith, 1954\)](#page--1-0), parasites must compen- 68 sate for the massive losses of infective stages that occur during the 69 transmission phase of their life cycles; a high egg output is the 70 direct outcome of the conditions provided by the host environment 71 ([Jennings and Calow, 1975\)](#page--1-0). 72

49

The strategy of egg production of a parasite is somewhere 73 between two extremes (the r -end and the K -end) in a continuum $\frac{74}{5}$ of possibilities. It is the outcome of natural selection, representing 75 the optimal compromise between egg number and egg size. In per- 76 fect r-strategist organisms, there are no density effects or competi-

77 tion; all available energy and matter are invested in reproduction, 78 the smallest possible amount into each individual offspring. On the 79 other hand, in perfect *K*-strategist organisms, the density effects 80 are maximum and the competition is keen; the emphasis is on pre- 81 serving the adult and only the remaining energy and matter are 82 used in reproduction, i.e. in the production and maintenance of a 83 small number of extremely fit offspring [\(Jennings and Calow,](#page--1-0) 84

0020-7519/\$36.00 © 2014 Published by Elsevier Ltd. on behalf of Australian Society for Parasitology Inc. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2013.10.009>

Please cite this article in press as: Cavaleiro, F.I., Santos, M.J. Egg number–egg size: an important trade-off in parasite life history strategies. Int. J. Parasitol. (2014), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2013.10.009>

23 January 2014

2 F.I. Cavaleiro, M.J. Santos / International Journal for Parasitology xxx (2014) xxx–xxx

 [1975\)](#page--1-0). The way in which parasites of a species partition reproduc- tive effort between egg number and egg size can however vary to some extent (see e.g., [Ritchie et al., 1993\)](#page--1-0), i.e. reflect adaptive phe- notypic plasticity. This process enables individuals to accommo- date changes in their environment, by making possible the rapid movement to a new fitness optimum [\(Price et al., 2003\)](#page--1-0); however, unlike natural selection, it does not result in genetic adaptation, i.e. in changes in genotypic frequencies in the population ([Poulin,](#page--1-0) [1996, 2007](#page--1-0)).

 The strategies of parasite egg production (egg number and egg size) have been addressed from broad perspectives in the literature (e.g. [Gotto, 1962; Price, 1974; Jennings and Calow, 1975; Calow,](#page--1-0) [1983; Poulin, 1995a, 1996, 1997, 2007\)](#page--1-0). Also, numerous studies have considered the egg production of parasites in different taxo- nomic groups, i.e. Monogenea, Digenea, Cestoda, Nematoda and Copepoda, in relation to different factors, i.e. adult size and longev- ity, maturation time or prepatency, temperature, photoperiod, salinity, season/time, sampling site, origin of the host (wild envi- ronment versus farm), host species, host size, number of parasites in the host, interactions between parasites in the host and number of treatments with anti-parasitic drugs (see e.g., [Faust, 1949; Olsen,](#page--1-0) [1974; Anderson, 1982; Kennedy, 1983; Johnston and Dykeman,](#page--1-0) [1987; McGladdery and Johnston, 1988; Mehlhorn, 1988; Cable](#page--1-0) [and Tinsley, 1991; Johnson and Albright, 1991; Tocque and Tinsley,](#page--1-0) [1991; Ritchie et al., 1993; Tully and Whelan, 1993; Roubal, 1994;](#page--1-0) [Trouvé et al., 1998; Heuch et al., 2000; Rossin et al., 2005; Bravo](#page--1-0) [et al., 2009; Bravo, 2010; González et al., 2012; Ruiz Daniels](#page--1-0) [et al., 2013\)](#page--1-0). Among these groups, the Copepoda is particularly sui- ted to study parasite egg production for different reasons: firstly, copepods frequently occur in high prevalence and intensity levels year-round in their natural host populations; and secondly, unlike parasites in other taxonomic groups, copepods produce egg sacs which can be easily detached from the parasite and manipulated.

 Causes of intraspecific variability in egg number and egg size can only be understood properly if the possible effects of factors at different levels, i.e. the macroenvironment, microenvironment, microhabitat (sensu [Rohde, 1984](#page--1-0)) and parasite levels, are consid- ered for analysis (see e.g. [Timi et al., 2010; Loot et al., 2011\)](#page--1-0). More- over, unravelling how factors at these different levels interact with each other appears to be crucial to understanding how the mech- anism of egg production works at the intraspecific level. For instance, evidence for developmental plasticity in size in response to water temperature (e.g. [Nordhagen et al., 2000](#page--1-0)) and that indi- vidual parasites reach a size proportional to that of their hosts (e.g., [Van Damme et al., 1993; Poulin, 1995b; Loot et al., 2011\)](#page--1-0) is documented in the literature, while it is a general assumption that larger parasites tend to produce more eggs [\(Poulin, 2007](#page--1-0)). Larger hosts likely provide parasites with a more permanent habitat ([Poulin, 2007\)](#page--1-0). In this way, they may favour a delay in maturation and larger body sizes [\(Stearns, 1992; Roff, 1992; Poulin, 2007\)](#page--1-0), therefore interfering with parasite egg production. The effect of host body size on parasite egg production appears, however, to 137 be controversial. Actually, [Cole \(1954\)](#page--1-0) and [Kennedy \(1983\)](#page--1-0) argued that a decrease in parasite maturation time should result in an increase of the reproductive potential, while the same effect is expected to be seen in parasites with delayed maturity, as these should present larger body sizes. At the microhabitat level, the selective pressures affecting parasites relate to the food resources (quality and quantity) and the site of infection itself (i.e. its loca- tion within the host's body – the stress imposed on parasites living on body surfaces and in internal organs should vary greatly), as the host represents the source of food and the home simultaneously ([Crompton, 1991; Castro, 1991; Combes, 1991\)](#page--1-0). The nutrients available to parasites should also vary greatly with the number of conspecifics present at the site of infection, i.e. with the intensity of infection. According to the 'crowding effect' [\(Read, 1951](#page--1-0)), the

larger the parasite burden, the more intense the competition for 151 essential nutrients and, likely, the host immune response; in such 152 a scenario, both the body size and the fecundity of the parasite are 153 expected to be negatively affected. This type of effect has been doc-
154 umented for cestodes [\(Keymer et al., 1983; Dobson, 1986; Shostak](#page--1-0) 155 [and Dick, 1987; Heins et al., 2002\)](#page--1-0), nematodes [\(Krupp, 1961; Kha-](#page--1-0) 156 [mboonruang, 1971; Michel et al., 1971, 1978; Szalai and Dick,](#page--1-0) 157 [1989\)](#page--1-0) and digeneans ([Jones et al., 1989\)](#page--1-0). 158

A phenotypic trade-off between egg number and egg size has al- 159 ready been demonstrated using data from different taxa of para- 160 sitic copepods (see [Poulin, 1995a, 2007\)](#page--1-0), but its occurrence at the 161 intraspecific level is less consensual (see e.g., [Rossin et al., 2005;](#page--1-0) 162 [Timi et al., 2005\)](#page--1-0). The trade-off appears to be influenced by a num- 163 ber of factors, i.e. the host quality ([Rossin et al., 2005\)](#page--1-0), the female 164 body size ([Herreras et al., 2007\)](#page--1-0) and the site of infection ([Loot et al.,](#page--1-0) 165 [2011\)](#page--1-0), which should therefore be considered for analysis. 166

This study aimed to investigate how the mechanism of egg 167 production works in parasites using a multilevel approach. Par- 168 ticular emphasis is given to the trade-off between egg number 169 and egg size and the factors having a significant influence on 170 these two life history traits. Octopicola superba (Copepoda: 171 Octopicolidae), parasitic on the common octopus, Octopus vulga- 172 ris (recently suggested to represent a complex of species), was 173 used as a model parasite. Data were assessed from mature, 174 ovigerous females. The specific questions addressed were the 175 following: (i) which strategy of egg production is followed by 176 the parasite: is it mainly an r-strategist (i.e. produces a large 177 number of small, poorly provisioned eggs) or a K-strategist 178 (i.e. produces a small number of large, well provisioned eggs) 179 species; (ii) was there an influence of season, site of infection, 180 host body size, number of conspecifics present at the site of 181 infection and parasite body size (or of interactions between 182 these variables) in egg number and/or egg size; and (iii) was 183 there a phenotypic trade-off between egg number and egg size, 184 while controlling for a potential effect of confounding variables? 185 While considering each of these questions, the existence of gen-
186 eral trends was evaluated on the basis of the information avail- 187 able in the literature. The same state of \sim 188

2. Materials and methods 189

2.1. The parasite 190

Octopicola superba is a parasite of O. vulgaris, endemic to Euro- 191 pean waters ([Humes, 1957; Deboutteville et al., 1957; Bocquet](#page--1-0) 192 [and Stock, 1960; Cavaleiro et al., 2013](#page--1-0)). It most likely has a single 193 host life cycle. Indeed, according to our findings, both copepodites 194 and adults are commonly found on *O. vulgaris*. Information on this 195 parasite is scarce concerning its behaviour [\(Deboutteville et al.,](#page--1-0) 196 [1957\)](#page--1-0) and infection levels ([Bocquet and Stock, 1960](#page--1-0)). Associated 197 disease is not documented in the literature, which suggests that 198 O. superba might not be pathogenic for the natural population of 199 octopus; otherwise, the lack of records on associated disease is 200 likely a consequence of the low number of studies so far conducted 201 on this parasitic infection. According to our findings, ovigerous fe- 202 males of *O. superba* are present at high prevalence and intensity 203 and year-round on O. vulgaris off northwestern Portugal. The para- 204 site can be easily isolated from the sediment obtained from the 205 washings of the octopus' body surface and mantle cavity. All of 206 these aspects make it ideally suited to study the mechanism of 207 egg production in parasites. Besides, species associated with mar- 208 ine invertebrates seem to be particularly suited to studies of the 209 impact of the type, habitat and behaviour of the host in the number 210 and size of the eggs laid by the copepod (see [Gotto, 1962](#page--1-0)). 211

Please cite this article in press as: Cavaleiro, F.I., Santos, M.J. Egg number–egg size: an important trade-off in parasite life history strategies. Int. J. Parasitol. (2014), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2013.10.009>

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8500225>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8500225>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)