



A third component of the human cytomegalovirus terminase complex is involved in letermovir resistance



Sunwen Chou*

Division of Infectious Diseases, Oregon Health and Science University, Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Portland, OR, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Cytomegalovirus
Antiviral drug resistance
Letermovir
Terminase

ABSTRACT

Letermovir is a human cytomegalovirus (CMV) terminase inhibitor that was clinically effective in a Phase III prevention trial. In vitro studies have shown that viral mutations conferring letermovir resistance map primarily to the UL56 component of the terminase complex and uncommonly to UL89. After serial culture of a baseline CMV laboratory strain under letermovir, mutation was observed in a third terminase component in 2 experiments, both resulting in amino acid substitution P91S in gene UL51 and adding to a pre-existing UL56 mutation. Recombinant phenotyping indicated that P91S alone conferred 2.1-fold increased letermovir resistance (EC50) over baseline, and when combined with UL56 mutation S229F or R369M, multiplied the level of resistance conferred by those mutations by 3.5–7.7-fold. Similarly a combination of UL56 mutations S229F, L254F and L257I selected in the same experiment conferred 54-fold increased letermovir EC50 over baseline, but 290-fold when combined with UL51 P91S. The P91S mutant was not perceptibly growth impaired. Although pUL51 is essential for normal function of the terminase complex, its biological significance is not well understood. Letermovir resistance mutations mapping to 3 separate genes, and their multiplier effect on the level of resistance, suggest that the terminase components interactively contribute to the structure of a letermovir antiviral target. The diagnostic importance of the UL51 P91S mutation arises from its potential to augment the letermovir resistance of some UL56 mutations at low fitness cost.

1. Introduction

The preventive antiviral management of human cytomegalovirus (CMV) infection and disease has been a major factor in improving patient outcomes of solid organ and hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT) over recent decades. During this time, anti-CMV therapy has strongly relied on the nucleoside analog ganciclovir and its oral prodrug valganciclovir, with foscarnet and cidofovir in secondary roles. All of these drugs have dose limiting toxicities and ultimately target the same UL54 CMV DNA polymerase, leading to problems of cross-resistance. Compounds with different CMV antiviral targets are being developed, including the UL97 kinase inhibitor maribavir and the terminase inhibitor letermovir. The latter drug recently showed significant prophylactic efficacy in a Phase III CMV prevention trial in HCT recipients (clinicaltrials.gov NCT02137772) and is a strong candidate to be the first licensed systemic CMV drug with an alternative antiviral target.

As letermovir comes into clinical use, the incidence and genetic pathways of CMV drug resistance to this and other terminase inhibitors require detailed characterization for diagnosis, treatment selection and design of inhibitors with improved genetic barriers to resistance. In

vitro mapping of letermovir resistance is largely focused on the UL56 component of the terminase complex, which has homology to the small subunit of the two-component bacteriophage terminases and is important in recognizing the genomic termini for processing and translocation of unit length viral genomes (Bogner, 2010; Neuber et al., 2017). Diverse UL56 mutations conferring letermovir resistance are mainly located in the codon range 231–369 (Chou, 2015; Goldner et al., 2014). Notable examples are amino acid substitution V236M, which is the first one observed in a treated human subject (Lischka et al., 2016), and various substitutions at the C325 residue that confer absolute letermovir resistance with minor impact on viral growth fitness. Additional in vitro selection experiments with letermovir showed the occasional emergence of UL89 mutations that confer cross-resistance to older terminase inhibitors (Chou, 2017). UL89 is homologous to the bacteriophage terminase large subunit and encodes nuclease activity essential to the cleavage of replicated viral DNA into unit length genomes, in addition to other incompletely understood functions (Bogner, 2010; Neuber et al., 2017).

Here, several new in vitro drug selection experiments revealed mutation in a third component of the terminase complex (UL51), which

* Division of Infectious Diseases L457, Oregon Health & Science University, 3181 SW Sam Jackson Park Road, Portland, OR, 97239, USA.
E-mail address: chous@ohsu.edu.

combined with certain UL56 mutations to increase the overall level of letermovir resistance significantly.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Letermovir

Letermovir was obtained commercially (MedChemExpress HY-15233) and was dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) to make 100 mM drug stocks, from which further 10-fold dilutions were made in DMSO, except that solutions of 10 μ M and 1 μ M were made in water for immediate use. Letermovir stock solutions were diluted into culture media to final letermovir concentrations of 1 nM–4 μ M as needed, while keeping final DMSO concentrations below 0.1%.

2.2. Viral clones and strains

The BD1 bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) clone of CMV strain AD169 containing a secreted alkaline phosphatase (SEAP) reporter gene (Chou, 2015) was used to derive the corresponding live virus strain T4175, representing baseline virus with wild type terminase gene sequences, which was used for the letermovir selection experiments. Unlike some previous work, this strain has an intact UL54 DNA polymerase gene without an exonuclease defect designed to accelerate the evolution of drug resistance (Chou, 2015, 2017). Other BD1-derived baseline strains T4190 and T4272 contain a silent Frt motif upstream of UL56 and UL89 exon 2 respectively (Chou, 2015, 2017), for insertion and removal of a Kan selection marker during BAC mutagenesis and were used as the parental strain for comparisons of growth and drug susceptibility with mutant strains.

2.3. Cell and viral cultures

In vitro selection experiments with letermovir were performed using human foreskin fibroblasts (HFF) cultured in Eagle minimal essential medium and 3% fetal bovine serum. Beginning at a concentration of 5 nM, letermovir was added to culture medium at the time of viral inoculation. At weekly intervals, the cells were trypsinized and ~30% of the infected cell suspension was transferred to a subconfluent uninfected HFF monolayer seeded the prior day. The letermovir concentration was increased as tolerated by the amount of visible cytopathology at the time of passage, with the goal of cytopathic effect involving about 30% of the monolayer a week later. Weekly passages were continued for at least 20 weeks. At intervals of about 5 passages, or with a notable increase in cytopathic effect, DNA extracts of infected cells were prepared for PCR amplification and standard dideoxy sequencing of UL51, UL56 and UL89 coding sequences involved in the viral terminase complex (Neuber et al., 2017). Mutant recombinant viruses were constructed as described below for phenotypic assays of specific mutations and combinations of interest. Drug susceptibility and growth assays were performed in retinal epithelial cells transduced to over-express platelet-derived growth factor receptor α (ARPEp), which facilitate assay standardization as described (Chou, 2017; Chou et al., 2017).

2.4. Recombinant phenotyping

Mutagenesis of BAC clones to introduce specific amino acid substitutions in UL51 and UL56 was performed as previously described (Chou, 2015, 2017). New UL56 mutations were introduced by recombination of a transfer vector containing a selectable *Kan* resistance marker and subsequent removal by Flp recombinase, while the one UL51 mutation studied was introduced by the markerless *en passant* procedure (Tischer et al., 2010) into BAC clones containing either wild type UL56 and UL89 sequences, or pre-existing UL56 or UL89 mutations. BAC cloned viral DNA was transfected into HFF or ARPEp to yield

cell-free CMV stocks, which were sequenced throughout the mutagenized gene for the presence of the intended mutation(s). Phenotypic assays for letermovir susceptibility were performed as recently detailed (Chou, 2017), using SEAP activity in culture supernatants as a measure of viral growth. The drug concentration required to reduce supernatant SEAP activity by 50% (EC50) at 6–7 days was determined by assaying growth under no drug and at 5 two-fold increasing concentrations centered on the estimated EC50 value. The mean and standard deviation of EC50 values and the number of replicates (at least 10 replicates set up on at least 4 separate dates) were used to estimate a 95% confidence interval for the EC50 under the prevailing assay conditions (Chou, 2017). Statistical significance of the difference in EC50s between mutant and baseline viral strains was evaluated by the Student *t*-test, using values obtained for the two strains on the same setup dates. Growth fitness of mutant viruses was compared using growth curves resulting from assay of culture supernatant SEAP activity at each of days 4–8 after inoculation of ARPEp cells at equivalent low multiplicity of 0.02, as previously described for other terminase mutants (Chou, 2015, 2017).

3. Results

3.1. Mutations detected after serial culture passage under letermovir

The mutations that evolved in 5 selection experiments are shown in a time-line format (Fig. 1), and included UL56 amino acid substitutions that have been observed previously: V231L, E237D, L257I, F261L and R369M (Chou, 2015; Goldner et al., 2014). Several novel UL56 substitutions were also observed, including S229F, L254F, L257F and N368D, which are at or near the loci of other established letermovir resistance mutations. No UL89 mutations were detected. The same UL51 mutation resulting in substitution P91S was observed in two experiments, in one instance by passage 7 and another by passage 25, in both cases adding to a pre-existing UL56 mutation (S229F or R369M). Eventually viral cytopathic effect was readily observed at 1 μ M letermovir (> 200-fold baseline EC50) in both experiments; in one case (M184) after the emergence of additional UL56 substitutions L257I and L254F. Letermovir concentrations could not be increased to these levels in the 3 other experiments because of suppression of viral growth. As expected, the tempo of evolution of letermovir resistance was much slower with baseline CMV strain T4175 than with an error prone exonuclease mutant (Chou, 2015). Two of the 5 experiments had detectable UL56 mutations by passage 5, but progression to absolute letermovir resistance (typically by mutation at codon 325) did not occur within 20 passages as happened routinely with the exonuclease mutant.

3.2. Phenotypic characterization of newly detected mutations

The genotypes and phenotypes of recombinant viral strains representing the newly detected mutations are shown in Table 1, along with those of calibrating control strains. Mutant strains were generated by mutagenesis of BAC clones as in previous studies (Chou, 2015, 2017). A sufficient number of letermovir EC50 assay replicates were performed such that there was no overlap of 95% confidence intervals of EC50s between parental virus and any mutant strain. All mutant virus letermovir EC50s were significantly different from those of parental controls from the same setup dates, with *p* values of $< 2 \times 10^{-5}$. The letermovir EC50 values and ratios for baseline and mutant control strains are consistent with published data (Chou, 2015, 2017; Goldner et al., 2014). Among the novel UL56 mutations studied, substitutions S229F and N368D conferred slight increases in letermovir EC50, while L254F and L257F conferred modestly higher EC50 increases of 3.2–8.6-fold, similar to the 5-fold increase conferred by L257I (Chou, 2015). Also consistent with previous observations (Chou, 2015), a combination of UL56 substitutions at codons 229, 254 and 257 conferred 54-fold increased letermovir EC50, considerably higher than any of the

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8523416>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8523416>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)