



## Three bisabolane-type sesquiterpenes from edible mushroom *Pleurotus eryngii*

Takashi Kikuchi<sup>a,\*</sup>, Kazutaka Kitaura<sup>a</sup>, Ayaka Katsumoto<sup>a</sup>, Jie Zhang<sup>b</sup>, Takeshi Yamada<sup>a</sup>, Reiko Tanaka<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Osaka University of Pharmaceutical Sciences, 4-20-1 Nasahara, Takatsuki, Osaka 569-1094, Japan

<sup>b</sup> School of Traditional Chinese Pharmacy, China Pharmaceutical University, Nanjing 211198, PR China

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Keywords:

Bisabolane-type

Sesquiterpene

*Pleurotus eryngii*

Inhibitory activity on nitric oxide production

### ABSTRACT

Three bisabolane-type sesquiterpenes (1–3) were isolated from the fruiting bodies of king trumpet mushrooms (*Pleurotus eryngii*), together with a known compound (4). All isolated compounds were evaluated for their inhibitory effects on nitric oxide (NO) production. Among these, 2 exhibited a moderate inhibitory effect on NO production with an IC<sub>50</sub> of 90.9 μM.

### 1. Introduction

The edible mushroom *Pleurotus eryngii* (Pleurotaceae) is native to the Mediterranean Basin, Central and Southern Europe, and Central and Western Asia [1]. It was found to contain the following bioactive compounds: eryngiolide A, exhibiting cytotoxicity to tumor cells [2], pleurone, showing an inhibitory effect on human neutrophil elastase [3], polysaccharides, having an inhibitory effect on lipid accumulation [4, 5] and showing antitumor activity [6], a polypeptide showing antioxidant, antitumor, and immunostimulatory activities [7], and a protein exhibiting cytotoxicity to tumor cells [8]. In our continuing search for bioactive compounds from *P. eryngii*, we recently reported the isolation of ergostane-type steroids, including eringiactetals A and B and pleurocins A and B, with evaluations of their inhibitory effects on nitric oxide (NO) production and human recombinant aromatase [9–11]. In this paper, we describe the isolation and structural elucidation of three new bisabolane-type sesquiterpenes, and the evaluation of their inhibitory effects on NO production.

### 2. Experimental

#### 2.1. General experimental procedures

Chemicals and reagents were purchased as follows: fetal bovine serum (FBS) from Invitrogen Co. (Carlsbad, CA, USA); 3-(4,5-dimethyl-2-thiazolyl)-2,5-diphenyl-2H-tetrazolium bromide (MTT) from Sigma-Aldrich Japan Co. (Tokyo, Japan); Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM), antibiotics, and lipopolysaccharide (LPS) from *Escherichia coli*

O157, from Nacalai Tesque, Inc. (Kyoto, Japan); sulfanilamide and *N*-(1-naphthyl)ethylenediamine dihydrochloride from Tokyo Chemical Industry Co., Ltd. (Tokyo, Japan); and *N*<sup>G</sup>-monomethyl-L-arginine acetate (L-NMMA) from Dojindo Molecular Technologies, Inc. (Kumamoto, Japan). All other chemicals and reagents were of analytical grade. Physical data were obtained using following instruments: a JASCO DIP-1000 digital polarimeter for optical rotations; a Jasco FT/IR-680 Plus for IR spectra; an Agilent-NMR-vnmrs600 for <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra (<sup>1</sup>H: 600 MHz; <sup>13</sup>C: 150 MHz) in CDCl<sub>3</sub> with tetramethylsilane as the internal standard; and a JEOL JMS-700 for FAB mass spectrometry. Column chromatography was carried out with silica gel (70–230 mesh, Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) and silica gel 60 (230–400 mesh, Nacalai Tesque, Inc., Kyoto, Japan). HPLC was performed using the following systems; system I: MeOH/H<sub>2</sub>O (45:55), system II: MeOH/H<sub>2</sub>O (55:45), system III: MeOH/H<sub>2</sub>O (60:40), and system IV: MeOH/H<sub>2</sub>O (80:20), with a Cosmosil 5C<sub>18</sub>-MS-II column (25 cm × 20 mm i.d.) (Nacalai Tesque, Inc.) 4.0 mL/min, 35 °C.

#### 2.2. Material

Fruiting bodies of *P. eryngii*, produced in Kagawa, Japan, were purchased from HOKUTO Corp. in 2014.

#### 2.3. Extraction and isolation

The fruiting bodies of *P. eryngii* (dry weight 13 kg) were extracted with MeOH under reflux (3 days, 4 times). The MeOH extract (1920 g) was partitioned between AcOEt and H<sub>2</sub>O. The AcOEt-soluble fraction

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [t.kikuchi@gly.oups.ac.jp](mailto:t.kikuchi@gly.oups.ac.jp) (T. Kikuchi).

(385 g) was subjected to SiO<sub>2</sub> column chromatography (CC) [SiO<sub>2</sub> (3.5 kg); hexane/AcOEt (5:1, 1:1, and 0:1), and AcOEt/MeOH (10:1, and 0:1) in increasing order of polarity] resulting in fourteen fractions (Fr. A–N).

Fr. F (33 g), which was eluted with hexane/AcOEt (1:1), was fractionated into 16 fractions, F1 to F16, by SiO<sub>2</sub> CC; Fr. F5 (33 g) was then eluted with hexane:AcOEt 5:1, and rechromatographed by SiO<sub>2</sub> CC to yield 18 fractions, F5–1 to F5–18. Preparative HPLC (system II) of Fr. F5–6 (56.04 mg), eluted with hexane:AcOEt 1:1, gave **1** (3.65 mg; *t*<sub>R</sub> 51.6 min). SiO<sub>2</sub> CC of Fr. F6 (5 g), eluted with hexane:AcOEt 5:1, gave 35 fractions, F6–1 to F6–35. Among these, F6–11 (183.03 mg), eluted with AcOEt, gave **2** (2.63 mg; *t*<sub>R</sub> 39.9 min), **1** (1.81 mg; *t*<sub>R</sub> 46.3 min), and **3** (14.00 mg; *t*<sub>R</sub> 52.7 min) by HPLC (system III).

Fr. G (1 g), eluted with hexane:AcOEt 1:1, was subjected to SiO<sub>2</sub> CC to yield 14 fractions, G1 to G14. Preparative HPLC (system I) of G6 gave **4** (2.81 mg; *t*<sub>R</sub> 90.8 min).

Fr. H (22 g), eluted with hexane:AcOEt 1:1, was subjected to SiO<sub>2</sub> CC to yield 8 fractions, H1 to H8; H2 (17 g), eluted with hexane:AcOEt 1:1, was then rechromatographed by SiO<sub>2</sub> CC to yield 38 fractions. Among these, H2–10 (49.92 mg) and H2–11 (51.80 mg), eluted with AcOEt, gave **1** (1.05 mg and 1.19 mg, respectively; *t*<sub>R</sub> 16.7 min) by HPLC (system IV).

### 2.3.1. Compound 1

Amorphous solid; [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub><sup>20</sup> + 92.8 (c 0.34, EtOH); IR (KBr)  $\nu_{\max}$  3446, 2960, 1717, 1456, 1368, 1153, 1101, 1041, 1016 cm<sup>−1</sup>; for <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra, see Table 1; FABMS *m/z* 309 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>; HRFABMS *m/z* 309.1676 (calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>26</sub>O<sub>5</sub>Na, 309.1678).

### 2.3.2. Compound 2

Amorphous solid; [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub><sup>20</sup> + 39.7 (c 0.046, EtOH); IR (KBr)  $\nu_{\max}$  3419, 2360, 2342, 1456, 2342, 1456, 1382, 1040 cm<sup>−1</sup>; for <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra, see Table 1; FABMS *m/z* 295 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>; HRFABMS *m/z* 295.1883 (calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>28</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Na, 295.1885).

### 2.3.3. Compound 3

Amorphous solid; [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub><sup>20</sup> + 182.6 (c 0.20, EtOH); IR (KBr)  $\nu_{\max}$  3428, 2951, 2865, 1426, 1369, 1259, 1115, 1092 cm<sup>−1</sup>; for <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra, see Table 1; FABMS *m/z* 293 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>; HRFABMS *m/z* 293.1730 (calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>26</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Na, 293.1729).

**Table 1**

NMR spectroscopic data (<sup>1</sup>H: 600 MHz; <sup>13</sup>C: 150 MHz) for compound **1–3** in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.

1			2			3		
position	$\delta_{\text{H}}$	$\delta_{\text{C}}$	$\delta_{\text{H}}$	$\delta_{\text{C}}$	$\delta_{\text{H}}$	$\delta_{\text{C}}$	$\delta_{\text{H}}$	$\delta_{\text{C}}$
2		105.3 s	3.97 (1H, dd, 8.2, 5.0)	83.4 d	4.42 (1H, dd, 3.2, 2.3)	83.6 d		
3	2.13 <sup>a</sup>	41.4 d	2.20 (1H, m)	37.2 d		147.6 s		
3a	2.40 <sup>a</sup>	42.2 d	2.03 (1H, m)	44.2 d	2.89 (1H, br s)	42.6 d		
4	$\alpha$ 2.12 <sup>a</sup>	18.4 t	$\alpha$ 1.96 (1H, m)	19.9 t	$\alpha$ 2.10 (1H, m)	18.1 t		
	$\beta$ 1.56 (1H, m)		$\beta$ 1.48 <sup>a</sup>		$\beta$ 1.78 (1H, m)			
5	$\alpha$ 1.69 (1H, m)	32.4 t	$\alpha$ 1.65 (1H, m)	32.1 t	$\alpha$ 1.55 (1H, m)	31.7 t		
	$\beta$ 1.48 (1H, td, 14.0, 4.7)		$\beta$ 1.45 <sup>a</sup>		$\beta$ 1.46 (1H, m)			
6		72.0 s		72.0 s		72.4 s		
7	3.38 (1H, d, 8.3)	77.7 d	3.35 (1H, d, 7.4)	75.4 d	3.16 (1H, d, 8.2)	75.0 d		
7a	4.28 (1H, t, 8.3)	83.8 d	4.06 (1H, t, 7.4)	81.9 d	4.22 (1H, t-like, 8.2)	82.9 d		
8		208.5 s	3.74 (1H, ddd, 10.0, 5.0, 2.4)	70.6 d	3.74 (1H, dt, 10.3, 3.2)	71.9 d		
9	A 2.43 (1H, dd, 17.3, 7.1)	43.9 t	A 1.35 (1H, m)	42.5 t	A 1.20 (1H, ddd, 12.7, 10.3, 3.0)	40.1 t		
	B 2.64 (1H, dd, 17.3, 6.5)		B 1.47 <sup>a</sup>		B 1.50 (1H, m)			
10	2.23 (1H, m)	24.3 d	1.83 (1H, m)	24.6 d	1.85 (1H, m)	24.5 d		
11	0.96 (3H, d, 6.7)	22.6 q	0.92 (1H, d, 6.5)	21.5 q	0.90 (3H, d, 6.5)	21.6 q		
12	0.94 (3H, d, 6.7)	22.5 q	0.96 (1H, d, 6.5)	24.0 q	0.95 (3H, d, 6.5)	23.8 q		
13	0.88 (3H, d, 6.4)	11.0 q	1.09 (3H, d, 7.0)	13.4 q	A 4.99 (1H, brs)	105.4 t		
					B 5.03 (1H, brs)			
14	1.32 (3H, s)	27.0 q	1.26 (3H, s)	26.7 q	1.24 (3H, s)	26.9 q		
2-OH	4.20 (1H, s)							

<sup>a</sup> Overlapped with other signals.

### 2.4. Preparation of the (S)- and (R)-MTPA Esters from 1, 2, and 3

To a solution of **1** (3.18 mg) in pyridine was added (−)-MTPA-Cl (100 mg). The mixture was stirred at r.t. overnight, poured into H<sub>2</sub>O and extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. The organic layer was evaporated *in vacuo* to give a crude product. This was subjected to HPLC, which yielded **1a** (3.27 mg), the (S)-MTPA ester of **1**. Compound **1b** (2.83 mg), the (R)-MTPA ester of **1**, was prepared from **1** (2.41 mg); the (S)-MTPA ester of **2** (**2a**, 2.33 mg), and (R)-MTPA ester of **2** (**2b**, 4.48 mg) were prepared from **2** (2.38 mg and 2.67 mg, respectively); the (S)-MTPA ester of **3** (**3a**, 6.00 mg) and (R)-MTPA ester of **3** (**3b**, 5.00 mg) were prepared from **3** (2.41 mg and 2.77 mg, respectively) by a similar method to that described above.

#### 2.4.1. (S)-MTPA ester of compound 1 (1a)

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.63 (2H, brd, *J* = 7.9 Hz, Ar), 7.39 (overlapped, Ar), 7.37 (overlapped, Ar), 5.11 (1H, d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, H-7), 4.55 (1H, t, *J* = 8.5 Hz, H-7a), 4.48 (1H, s, 2-OH), 3.61 (3H, s, OMe), 2.78 (1H, dd, *J* = 17.9, 7.3 Hz, H-9B), 2.53 (1H, m, H-3a), 2.40 (1H, dd, *J* = 17.9, 6.2 Hz, H-9A), 2.20 (1H, m, H-3), 2.18 (1H, m, H-10), 2.15 (1H, m, H-4 $\alpha$ ), 1.72 (1H, ddd, *J* = 14.0, 4.4, 2.0 Hz, H-5 $\alpha$ ), 1.64 (1H, m, H-4 $\beta$ ), 1.58 (1H, m, H-5 $\beta$ ), 1.09 (3H, s, Me-14), 0.94 (3H, d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, H-11), 0.897 (3H, d, *J* = 6.5 Hz, Me-13), 0.840 (3H, d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, Me-12) ppm; HRFABMS *m/z* 525.2068 (calcd for C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>33</sub>F<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7</sub>Na, 525.2076).

#### 2.4.2. (R)-MTPA ester of compound 1 (1b)

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.59 (2H, brd, *J* = 6.7 Hz, Ar), 7.40 (overlapped, Ar), 7.38 (overlapped, Ar), 5.09 (1H, d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, H-7), 4.49 (1H, t, *J* = 8.5 Hz, H-7a), 4.45 (1H, s, 2-OH), 3.47 (3H, s, OMe), 2.80 (1H, dd, *J* = 18.2, 7.3 Hz, H-9B), 2.52 (1H, m, H-3a), 2.43 (1H, dd, *J* = 18.2, 6.4 Hz, H-9A), 2.20 (1H, dq, *J* = 16.7, 6.4 Hz, H-3), 2.18 (1H, m, H-10), 2.16 (1H, m, H-4 $\alpha$ ), 1.76 (1H, m, H-5 $\alpha$ ), 1.64 (1H, m, H-4 $\beta$ ), 1.60 (1H, m, H-5 $\beta$ ), 1.23 (3H, s, Me-14), 0.96 (3H, d, *J* = 6.7 Hz, Me-11), 0.891 (3H, d, *J* = 6.5 Hz, Me-13), 0.888 (3H, d, *J* = 6.7 Hz, Me-12) ppm; HRFABMS *m/z* 525.2075 (calcd for C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>33</sub>F<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7</sub>Na, 525.2076).

#### 2.4.3. bis-(S)-MTPA ester of compound 2 (2a)

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.65 (2H, m, Ar), 7.55 (2H, m, Ar), 7.41 (overlapped, Ar), 7.35 (overlapped, Ar), 7.34 (overlapped, Ar), 5.30 (1H, dt, *J* = 9.4, 3.6 Hz, H-8), 4.90 (1H, d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, H-7), 4.18 (1H, dd, *J* = 8.8, 4.4 Hz, H-2), 3.92 (1H, t, *J* = 7.9 Hz, H-7a), 3.550

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8530476>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8530476>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)