Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Fitoterapia

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/fitote

# Four new hybrid polyketide-terpenoid metabolites from the *Penicillium* sp. SYPF7381 in the rhizosphere soil of Pulsatilla chinensis

Qingmei Feng, Ying Yu, Mingxu Tang, Tianyuan Zhang, Mengyue Zhang, Haifeng Wang, Yueqing Han, Yixuan Zhang\*, Gang Chen\*, Yuehu Pei\*

Shenyang Pharmaceutical University, Shenyang 110016, People's Republic of China

### ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Hybrid polyketide-terpenoid metabolites Absolute configuration Cytotoxic activity Antimicrobial activity Penicillium sp. Pulsatilla chinensis

## ABSTRACT

A search for cytotoxic agents from cultures of the Penicillium sp., isolated from the rhizosphere soil of Pulsatilla chinensis, led to the isolation of four new hybrid polyketide-terpenoid metabolites (1-4), together with fourteen known compounds (5-18). Using a bioassay-guided fractionation approach, eighteen compounds were obtained from the ethyl acetate extract of this fungus. Structure elucidation was achieved by extensive analysis of spectroscopic data (1D/2D NMR, HRESIMS and IR). The absolute configurations of compounds 1-4 were determined by means of electronic circular dichroism (ECD) calculation. Compounds 1-4, 7-9, 11, 12, 14 and 17 were tested for their cytotoxicity against HL-60, THP-1 and Caco2 cell lines. Compound 1 showed potent cytotoxic capability against HL-60, THP-1 and Caco2 cell with IC50 values of 3.4 µM, 4.3 µM, 10.5 µM, and compound **2** showed significant inhibiting activities against HL-60 cell line and THP-1 cell line ( $IC_{50} = 7.9 \, \mu$ M, 11.3  $\mu$ M, respectively), using 5-fluorouracil as the positive drug with IC<sub>50</sub> values of 6.4  $\mu$ M, 4.4  $\mu$ M, 56.6  $\mu$ M for HL-60, THP-1 and Caco2 cells, respectively. And compound 1 showed antibacterial activity toward Bacillus cereus (IC<sub>50</sub> = 49  $\mu$ g/mL, IC<sub>90</sub> = 111  $\mu$ g/mL) and Bacillus subtilis (IC<sub>50</sub> = 10  $\mu$ g/mL, IC<sub>90</sub> = 85  $\mu$ g/mL).

#### 1. Introduction

With more and more fungi are found in plants and the rhizosphere soil of plants, it's being a hotspot to explore new biologically active compounds from fungi in the rhizosphere soil of officinal plants. They are promising sources for the discovery of structurally diverse and secondary metabolites of various bioactive [1-2]. In our search for bioactive compounds from fungi of the rhizosphere soil of Pulsatilla chinensis, we found cytotoxicity of the ethyl acetate extract from the fungus Penicillium sp. against the human cell lines HL-60 and THP-1. Extraction of the culture broth followed by a bioassay-guided fractionation of the extract led to the isolation of one berkeleytrione (1), three berkeleyteals (2-4) and fourteen known related compounds (5-18) from this fungus. Hybrid polyketide-terpenoid metabolites are a family of marine fungal metabolites possessing a wide range of significant biological activities [3-8]. Interestingly, berkeleydione (11) and berkeleyacetals reportedly inhibit matrix metalloproteinase-3 and caspase-1 [4-5], and among them, berkeleyacetal C exhibits anti-inflammatory activity as well [9]. Berkeley meroterpenes were firstly isolated from a marine fungal strain obtained from Berkeley Pit Lake of Butte, Montana, a toxic lake with acidic water and metal ions [5]. However, we also isolated four new meroterpenes (1-4) and thirteen known related

meroterpenes (5-17) from the interrhizospheric fungal strain of medicinal plants culturing in the normal cultivation condition instead of the extreme environment, which indicated that besides the growing environment, there are more factors that contribute to the biosynthesis of the meroterpenes yielded by the fungi [8]. Herein, we report their isolation, structure elucidation, biosynthesis pathways, cytotoxicity and antimicrobial activities of these isolated meroterpenes.

#### 2. Experimental method

#### 2.1. General experimental procedures

Optical rotation was measured with a JASCO P-2000 Series (Jasco Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). The IR spectrum was obtained from a Bruker IFS-55 spectrophotometer using a KBr pellet (Bruker Optik BmbH, Ettlingen, Germany). The HR-ESI-MS data were obtained on a microTOF-Q Bruker mass instrument (Bruker Daltonics, Billerica, MA, USA). CD spectra were recorded with a Biologic MOS-450 spectrometer using CDCl3 as solvent. 1D and 2D NMR spectra were run on a Bruker AVANCE-400/-600 spectrometer (Bruker BioSpin GmbH, Rheinstetten, Germany). <sup>1</sup>H chemical shifs ( $\delta_{\rm H}$ ) were measured in ppm, relative to TMS, and <sup>13</sup>C chemical shifs ( $\delta_{\rm C}$ ) were measured relative to CDCl<sub>3</sub> and

\* Corresponding authors. E-mail addresses: zhangyzzsh@163.com (Y. Zhang), chengang1152001@163.com (G. Chen), peiyueh@vip.163.com (Y. Pei).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fitote.2018.01.010 Received 29 December 2017; Received in revised form 16 January 2018; Accepted 21 January 2018 Available online 01 February 2018

0367-326X/ © 2018 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.







converted to TMS scale. Column chromatography (CC) was performed on Silica gel (200–300 mesh; Qingdao Marine Chemical Co., Qingdao, China) and Sephadex LH-20 (Pharmacia, Uppsala, Sweden) columns. Analytical and preparative thin-layer chromatographies (TLC) were carried out using silica gel plates (GF 254 10–40  $\mu$ m, Qingdao Marine Chemical Co., China). Analytical TLC was used to follow the separation and check the purity of isolated compounds. Spots on the plates were observed under UV light and visualized by spraying 10% H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> in EtOH (v/v), followed by heating. HPLC was performed on a Shimadzu LC-10AVP liquid chromatograph with a YMC-pack C<sub>18</sub> (ODS) column (10 × 250 mm, 5  $\mu$ m, Japan) and a Shimadzu LC-8AVP liquid chromatograph with a Diamonsil C<sub>18</sub> (ODS) column (4.6 × 250 mm, 5  $\mu$ m, China). All reagents were HPLC or analytical grade and were purchased from Tianjin Damao Chemical Company (Tianjin, China).

#### 2.2. Fungal material

The fungal strain used in this work was isolated from the rhizosphere soil of *Pulsatilla chinensis*, which was collected from Huludao, Liaoning province of China in October 2014. The sequence data derived from this strain has been submitted and deposited in GeneBank with the accession number MF568058. BLAST search results revealed that the isolate belongs to the genus *Penicillium* and had a high sequence identity (99%) to the species *Penicillium skrjabinii*. A voucher specimen (no. SYPF7381) was deposited at the School of Life Science and Biopharmaceutics, Shenyang Pharmaceutical University.

#### 2.3. Fermentation, extraction, and isolation

The strain of fungus was isolated from the rhizosphere soil of Pulsatilla chinensis, which is a Chinese medicinal herb for "bloodcooling" and detoxification. The interrhizospheric fungus Penicillium sp. was fermented on autoclaved rice solid-substrate medium (one hundred and sixty 500 mL Erlenmeyer flasks, each containing 80 g rice, 110 mL water) for 30 days at 26 °C. Following incubation, the mycelia and solid rice medium were extracted three times with 95% EtOH/water to give a crude extract (150 g), which was suspended in water followed by partition with EtOAc to afford an EtOAc soluble extract (80 g). This extract was divided into thirteen parts (Fr. A-M) by using CC (silica gel 200-300 mesh, petroleum ether/acetone 1:0 to 0:1). Fraction H was isolated by CC on silica gel eluted with petroleum mether/acetone (v/v, 100:10), then further purified using SephadexLH-20 with MeOH and HPLC with 70% MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O for 10 (9 mg) and 62% MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O for 16 (10 mg) and 15 (8 mg). Fraction I was isolated by CC on silica gel eluted with petroleum mether/acetone (v/v, 100:15), then purified by CC (silica gel 200-300 mesh, petroleum ether/EtOAc-acetone (1:1) 1:0 to 0:1). Fraction 3 was isolated by CC on silica gel eluted with petroleum mether/ EtOAc-acetone (1:1) (v/v, 100:7), then further purified using SephadexLH-20 with MeOH and HPLC with 66% MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O for 11 (110 mg). Fraction 4 was purified by the  $C_{18}$  column chromatography with 60% MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O for 14 (12 mg), 70% MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O for 3 (17 mg). Fraction 5 was purified by the  $C_{18}$  column chromatography with 70% MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O and HPLC with 69% MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O for 2 (10 mg), 9 (42 mg) and 17 (224 mg), 60% MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>Oand HPLC with 54% MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O for 12 (13 mg). Fraction K was isolated by CC on silica gel eluted with petroleum ether/acetone (v/v, 100:20), then purified by CC (silica gel 200-300 mesh, petroleum ether/EtOAc-acetone (1:1) 1:0 to 0:1). Fraction 1 was isolated by CC on silica gel eluted with petroleum ether/ EtOAc-acetone (1:1) (v/v, 100:7), then further purified using SephadexLH-20 with MeOH for 18 (20 mg). Fraction 2 was isolated by CC on silica gel eluted with petroleum ether/EtOAc-acetone (1:1) (v/v, 100:10), then further purified on HPLC with 70% MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O for 1 (30 mg). Fraction 5 was purified by the  $C_{18}$  column chromatography with 60% MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O and HPLC with 56% MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O for 7 (20 mg), 8 (33 mg) and 13 (60 mg). Fraction M was isolated by CC on silica gel eluted with petroleum mether/acetone (v/v, 100:30), then further

able 1			
H and <sup>13</sup> C NMR	data for compound	1 and 2	2 (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ).

Position	$\delta_C \ 1$	$\delta_{\rm H}$ 1 (J in Hz)	$\delta_C \ 2$	$\delta_{\rm H}2~(J~{\rm in}~{\rm Hz})$
1	204.0, C		172.9, C	
2	126.0, CH	5.82, d (10.0)	35.6, CH <sub>2</sub>	3.91, d (17.3)
				3.32, d (17.3)
3	153.6, CH	6.57, d (10.0)	131.0, C	
4	39.2, C		137.2, C	
5	43.2, CH	1.05, dd (13.2, 3.3)	37.5, CH	2.88, dd (13.9, 3.7)
6	40.0, CH <sub>2</sub>	2.15, dd (13.2, 3.3)	30.4, CH <sub>2</sub>	2.06, dd (13.9, 3.7)
		1.84, t (13.2)		1.65, t (13.9)
7	51.0, C		43.4, C	
8	207.4, C		176.0, C	
9	80.4, C		76.0, CH	4.52, q (6.7)
10	204.1, C		203.8, C	
11	71.6, C		62.8, C	
12	45.6, C		54.3, C	
13	31.9, CH <sub>2</sub>	3.10, dd (18.3, 1.9)	35.1, C	1.96, dd (14.6, 6.8)
		2.58, dd (18.3, 5.1)		2.35, dd (14.6, 6.8)
14	120.9, CH	5.64, dd (5.1, 1.9)	132.5, C	6.05 (t, 6.8)
15	140.2, C		138.3, C	
16	47.7, C		77.5, C	
17	29.4, CH <sub>3</sub>	1.33, s	28.5, CH <sub>3</sub>	1.28, s
18	27.8, CH <sub>3</sub>	1.25, s	24.3, CH <sub>3</sub>	1.52, s
19	18.3, CH <sub>3</sub>	1.30, s	21.4, CH <sub>3</sub>	0.79, s
20	168.4, C		170.3, C	
21	15.0, CH <sub>3</sub>	1.33, s	19.1, CH <sub>3</sub>	1.41, d (6.7)
22	144.9, C		52.5, CH	3.83, d (5.4)
23	$112.5, CH_2$	5.43, brs	99.5, CH	6.02, d (5.4)
		4.93, brs		
24	22.3, CH <sub>3</sub>	1.56, s	27.7, CH <sub>3</sub>	1.35, s
25	24.2, CH <sub>3</sub>	1.13, s	16.4, CH <sub>3</sub>	1.75, s
26-OMe	52.7, CH <sub>3</sub>	3.75, s	53.0, CH <sub>3</sub>	3.84, s
27-OMe			51.4, CH <sub>3</sub>	3.62, s
28-OMe			49.7, CH <sub>3</sub>	3.06, s

purified by the  $C_{18}$  column chromatography with40% MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O and HPLC with 49% MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O for **5** (8 mg), and 60% MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O and HPLC with 63% MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O for **4** (9 mg) and **6** (12 mg).

Compound 1, white solid;  $[a]_D^{20} - 63.3$  (c 0.0018, CH<sub>3</sub>OH); <sup>1</sup>HNMR (400 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>Cl) and <sup>13</sup>CNMR (100 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>Cl) see Table 1; HRESIMS *m*/*z* 463.2065 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>32</sub>NaO<sub>6</sub> 463.2091).

Compound **2**, white solid;  $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{20}$  + 55.2 (*c* 0.0023, CH<sub>3</sub>OH); <sup>1</sup>HNMR (400 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>Cl) and <sup>13</sup>CNMR (100 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>Cl) see Table 1; HRESIMS *m*/*z* 541.2367 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> (calcd. for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>38</sub>NaO<sub>9</sub> 541.2408).

Compound **3**, white solid;  $[\alpha]_{D}^{20}$  + 70.0 (*c* 0.0020, CH<sub>3</sub>OH); <sup>1</sup>HNMR (400 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>Cl) and <sup>13</sup>CNMR (100 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>Cl) see Table 2; HRESIMS *m*/*z* 495.1991 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> (calcd. for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>32</sub>Na O<sub>8</sub> 495.1989).

Compound 4, white solid;  $[\alpha]_D^{20} + 165.6$  (*c* 0.0023, CH<sub>3</sub>OH);<sup>1</sup>HNMR (400 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>Cl) and <sup>13</sup>CNMR (100 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>Cl) see Table 2; HRESIMS *m*/*z* 457.1862 [M-H]<sup>-</sup>(calcd. for C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>29</sub>O<sub>8</sub> 457.1868).

#### 2.4. Cytotoxic assay in vitro

The cytotoxic activities of isolated Compounds 1–4, 7–9, 11, 12, 14 and 17 were tested against three human cancer cell lines, including human leukemia HL-60, pro-monocytic leukemia cell line THP-1 and human colon adenocarcinoma cell line Caco2, using the 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl-2-*H*-tetrazolium bromide (MTT) method in vitro. HL-60 and THP-1 cells were cultured in Roswell Park Memorial Institute (RPMI) 1640 (10% Fetal bovine serum, 100 IU/mL penicillin, and 100 g/mL streptomycin) in 5% CO<sub>2</sub> at 37 °C. Then, the cells were cultured in 96-well plates for 24 h with 100 µL complete medium, and the compounds were added with varying concentrations of 80, 40, 20, 10 and 5 µM. MTT (20 µL) with 5 mg/mL phosphate buffer saline was added for another 4 h in the 96-well plates, then Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8530787

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8530787

Daneshyari.com