

Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](#)

Turkish Journal of Emergency Medicine

journal homepage: <http://www.elsevier.com/locate/TJEM>

Original Article

Placement of central venous lines for sepsis in the elderly has markedly increased—Evidence from a cohort of New Jersey (USA) emergency departments

Brandon Close ^a, Peter Richman ^{a, *}, Barnet Eskin ^b, John R. Allegra ^b

^a Christus Spohn/Texas A&M School of Medicine, Corpus Christi, TX, USA

^b Morristown Medical Center, Morristown NJ and Emergency Medical Associates Research Foundation, Parsippany, NJ, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 3 June 2017

Received in revised form

26 October 2017

Accepted 12 November 2017

Available online xxx

Keywords:

Central line

Sepsis

ABSTRACT

Background: Early goal directed therapy for sepsis patients requires placement of central lines (CVPL) to measure central pressure.

Objective: We hypothesized that the percentage of CVPL placed for sepsis has increased over time, whereas the frequency of lines placed for other conditions has not changed.

Methods: This was a retrospective cohort study. Investigators analyzed records from consecutive ED patients in nine hospitals over a 10-year period. Patients >65 years identified with CVPL by CPT codes and diagnoses established by ICD-9 codes.

We computed the annual number of patients that had a CVPL placed for sepsis and other conditions. We calculated the change from 2005 and 2014 in the normalized number of patients >65 with sepsis and other conditions and the 95% confidence intervals (CIs).

We normalized the annual number of CVPLs by the average number of total annual visits for those >65 years as the annual visits in the >65 years cohort increased by > 25% over the course of the study. We then plotted the annual number of normalized CVPLs for sepsis and other conditions placed versus year and computed the linear regression coefficients (R^2). Alpha was set at 0.05.

Results: Of the 3,772,520 visits in the data base there were 711,435 visits by patients >65 years; 3184 (0.45%) had CVPL placed and 784 of those patients were treated for sepsis. The percent of patients with CVPL for sepsis increased 212% (95% CI: 115% to 356%) from 2005 to 2014, but there was no statistically significant annual change in percent of CVPL placed for other conditions (10% decrease, 95% CI: –26% to 9%). The linear regression coefficient for the plot of annual normalized number of CVPLs vs. year (See table and plot) was statistically significant for sepsis ($R^2 = 0.94$, $p < 0.001$) but not for other conditions ($R^2 = 0.09$, $p = 0.80$).

Conclusion: We found that CVPL placed for sepsis tripled from 2004 to 2011, whereas CVPL placed for other conditions did not change significantly.

Copyright © 2017 The Emergency Medicine Association of Turkey. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of the Owner. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

Sepsis is a common and costly condition in the United States that places patients at significant risk of morbidity and mortality.

* Corresponding author. Department of Emergency Medicine, Christus Spohn/Texas A&M School of Medicine, Corpus Christi, TX 78405, USA.

E-mail address: PRichmanMDMBA@gmail.com (P. Richman).

Peer review under responsibility of The Emergency Medicine Association of Turkey.

Around 751,000 cases of severe sepsis occur annually with half of these patients admitted to ICUs and 17% requiring mechanical ventilation at some point in their hospital stay.¹ Overall mortality rates from sepsis are from 20–50%.^{1–3} The average cost is \$22,000 per case and the U.S. spends nearly \$17 billion per year on this condition alone.¹

The incidence of sepsis, rates of hospitalization, and mortality increase with age.^{1–3} Patients ages 80 years and older are at particular risk, with mortality rates that approach 10%.¹ Concomitant conditions, including, malignancy, chronic kidney disease, congestive heart failure and diabetes, increase risk of morbidity and

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tjem.2017.11.002>

2452–2473/Copyright © 2017 The Emergency Medicine Association of Turkey. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of the Owner. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Please cite this article in press as: Close B, et al., Placement of central venous lines for sepsis in the elderly has markedly increased—Evidence from a cohort of New Jersey (USA) emergency departments, Turkish Journal of Emergency Medicine (2017), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tjem.2017.11.002>

readmission rate.⁴ Over the past several years, CMS has tied hospital reimbursement to quality care measures and use of care bundles.⁵ To date, there is a relative paucity of research to evaluate how such measures have influenced physician practices, utilization of resources, and the cost to care for elderly sepsis patients.

Undoubtedly, CMS was influenced to establish sepsis care bundles by research published in 2001 that showed the positive benefits of early goal directed therapy (EGDT)⁶ Rivers et al. reported a significant decrease in mortality and less severe organ dysfunction when they utilized a specific EGDT protocol that required placement of a CVPL to guide the efficacy of resuscitation for severe sepsis and septic shock.⁶ Subsequently, the Surviving Sepsis Campaign guidelines recommend EGDT in the treatment of sepsis.^{7,8} This was an international effort intended to improve sepsis care by directing physicians to focus on EGDT in the first 6 h of sepsis care.⁸

With emergency physicians directly in the line of fire for meeting this new early sepsis standard, researchers asked whether less invasive methods of early intervention would be equally efficacious. Several investigators found that resuscitation adequacy could be safely ascertained through less invasive means including lactate clearance and structured traditional therapy without the need for CVPL placement and ScvO₂ measurements.^{9–12} Nonetheless, new findings take time to be accepted into practice, so we hypothesized that the Rivers et al. study and Surviving Sepsis Campaign would still have had a profound influence on the utilization of CVPL by ED clinicians following the publication of the Rivers study. In this study, we compared the frequency for which CVPL were placed for sepsis versus for other conditions in a multi-center cohort after the publication of the Rivers study.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study design

We performed a retrospective review of a multi-center database of ED patients to assess the frequency of CVPL placement for patients with sepsis versus other indications.

2.2. Setting

Data was collated from EDs in nine New Jersey hospitals that shared a common EMR during the period from January 2005–Sept 2014. The study was approved by the Morristown Medical Center Institutional Review Board. Data was collected from nine New Jersey suburban and urban emergency departments with annual visits from 22,000 to 82,000. All of the hospitals used the same billing service. Coders in the ED physicians' billing department assign codes to each patient based on the clinicians' written diagnoses, using International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, and Clinical Modification Codes (ICD9).

2.3. Population

Patients age >65 years who received a CVPL were identified by the Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) code (36556) and further stratified for diagnosis based on primary, secondary and tertiary ICD-9 code descriptors: sepsis, septic shock and septicemia.

2.4. Data analysis

We computed the annual number of patients that had a CVPL placed for sepsis and other conditions. We calculated the change from 2005 and 2014 in the percentage of patients >65 with sepsis and other conditions and the 95% confidence intervals (CIs). We

used the percent rather than actual numbers as the annual visits in the >65 years cohort increased by > 25% over the course of the study. We therefore also normalized the annual number of CVPLs by the average number of total visits for those >65 years as the annual visits increased over the course of the study. We then plotted the annual number of normalized CVPLs placed versus year and computed the linear regression coefficients (R^2). T-tests were utilized to analyze continuous variables. Alpha was set at 0.05. We utilized EXCEL Version 14.0 (Redmond, Washington) for computations.

Results: Of the 3,772,520 visits in the data base there were 711,435 visits by patients >65 years; 3184 (0.45%) had CVPL placed and 784 of those patients were treated for sepsis. The mean age, interquartile range and percent females were respectively: for sepsis [80.0 years, (73.1–86.4), 52.3%] and for other conditions [79.4 years (72.3–85.4), 57.1%].

The percent of patients with CVPL for sepsis increased 213% (95% CI: 115% to 356%) from 2005 to 2014, but there was no statistically significant annual change in percent of CVPL placed for other conditions (10% decrease, 95% CI: –26% to 9%). The linear regression coefficient for the plot of annual normalized number of CVPLs vs. year (See Table 1 and Fig. 1) was statistically significant for sepsis ($R^2 = 0.94$, $p < 0.0001$) but not for other conditions ($R^2 = 0.09$, $p = 0.8$).

3. Discussion

At the turn of the century, Rivers et al. profoundly influenced the care of sepsis when their study showed the benefits of EGDT and invasive monitoring of sepsis patients.⁶ The authors randomized patients with severe sepsis or septic shock into two treatment arms, one with standard therapy, and the other with 6 h emergency department goal-directed care prior to transfer to the intensive care unit. Rivers et al. reported higher mean central venous oxygen saturation levels in the EGDT group and markedly improved in-hospital mortality for EGDT patients versus standard therapy (31% vs. 47%).

It would be nearly a decade before other investigators provided evidence that invasive monitoring might not lead to improved outcomes as compared with alternative markers of resuscitation efficacy. Jones et al. conducted a non-inferiority, multi-center randomized trial involving 300 patients to assess the safety of use lactate clearance as a surrogate for central venous oxygen saturation in EGDT.⁹ During the first six hours of care, clinicians normalized central venous pressure and mean arterial pressure in both treatment arms. However, each group had an additional treatment endpoint with physicians seeking to maintain an ScvO₂ of at least 70% in the invasive monitoring group vs 10% lactate clearance for the non-invasively monitored group. The investigators found that mortality was 23% and 17%, in the ScvO₂ and

Table 1

Number of patients >65 Years who had CVPs placed for sepsis and other conditions (Normalized by average annual visits for patients > 65 years).

Year	Sepsis	Other
2005	40	242
2006	54	259
2007	58	226
2008	69	243
2009	68	241
2010	81	232
2011	79	267
2012	103	224
2013	101	246
2014	125	218

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8554268>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8554268>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)