ARTICLE IN PRESS

Turkish Journal of Emergency Medicine xxx (2016) 1-5



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Turkish Journal of Emergency Medicine

journal homepage: http://www.elsevier.com/locate/TJEM



Original article

Detection of the awareness rate of abuse in pediatric patients admitted to emergency medicine department with injury

Selen Acehan ^a, Akkan Avci ^{b, *}, Muge Gulen ^b, Mustafa Sencer Segmen ^c, Haldun Akoglu ^d, Nalan Kozaci ^e, Ferhat Icme ^f, Salim Satar ^b

- ^a Mersin State Hospital, Emergency Medicine Service, Mersin, Turkey
- ^b Adana Numune Training and Research Hospital, Department of Emergency Medicine, Adana, Turkey
- ^c Necip Fazil State Hospital, Emergency Medicine Service, Kahramanmaras, Turkey
- ^d Marmara University, Pendik Training and Research Hospital, Department of Emergency Medicine, Istanbul, Turkey
- ^e Antalya Training and Research Hospital, Department of Emergency Medicine, Antalya, Turkey
- f Ataturk Training and Research Hospital, Department of Emergency Medicine, Ankara, Turkey

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 30 May 2016 Accepted 1 June 2016 Available online xxx

Keywords: Neglect Abuse Pediatric

ABSTRACT

Objective: It was aimed to investigate whether neglected and abused pediatric patients are properly recognized and reported by emergency physicians in the emergency department (ED).

Methods: This prospective study was conducted on patients between 0 and 6 years of age presenting with trauma to ED. Patients were examined again by an emergency medicine specialist independent from the physician who did the first intervention during clinical follow-up phase after notification of patients presenting with trauma to emergency physician. Asked radiological examinations and clinical follow-up were performed. The suspected abuse evaluation form, consisting 12 items, which was formed by considering the forms used in previous studies was used to examine the awareness of physicians in cases of abuse suspicion.

Results: A total of 126 patients were included in our study. 54% of cases (n=68) were male and mean age was determined to be 31.3 ± 18.9 months. It was found that no judicial records were written to 35.7% (n=45) of our patients and that 11.1% (n=5) of these patients were hospitalized. In 51.1% (n=23) of patients without judicial records, multiple suspected abuse findings were identified. According to evaluation of first physician, it was found that 75.9% (n=41) of discharged patients had no judicial records and was not considered as neglect and abuse.

Conclusion: We conclude that detection rates of abuse can be increased by developing child abuse screening forms and ensuring the continuity of the necessary training programs.

Copyright © 2016 The Emergency Medicine Association of Turkey. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of the Owner.

1. Introduction

According to the first article of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, a person below the age of 18 is defined as a child. Child abuse and neglect which is not known to what extent it is present

E-mail address: drakkanavci@gmail.com (A. Avci).

Peer review under responsibility of The Emergency Medicine Association of Turkey

in the society is a serious health problem, because most of it remains hidden and their victims keep silent.²

Many abused children do not receive medical attention. Compared with the general children population, abused children are frequently brought to the emergency department before they are diagnosed as being abused.³ Prevention of recurrent or identified abuse at an early stage is necessary to limit the long-term effects of the abuse. Unfortunately, these children do not get diagnosed in the emergency department, despite their frequent use of emergency services.⁴ When abused children return to their homes without a good assessment, it was observed that 5–10% of patients were killed in the later stages and 35–50% were exposed to serious injuries.⁵ Physicians have a very important role in the

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tjem.2016.06.001

 $2452-2473/Copyright © 2016 \ The \ Emergency \ Medicine \ Association \ of \ Turkey. \ Production \ and \ hosting \ by \ Elsevier \ B.V. \ on \ behalf \ of \ the \ Owner.$

Please cite this article in press as: Acehan S, et al., Detection of the awareness rate of abuse in pediatric patients admitted to emergency medicine department with injury, Turkish Journal of Emergency Medicine (2016), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tjem.2016.06.001

^{*} Corresponding author. Adana Numune Training and Research Hospital, Department of Emergency Medicine, 01240, Adana, Turkey. Tel.: +90 5334230369(Mobile).

identification and treatment of child abuse. Therefore, physicians should keep in mind child abuse as a differential diagnosis every time.

In this study, it was aimed to investigate whether neglected and abused pediatric patients are properly recognized and reported by emergency physicians in the emergency department.

2. Materials and methods

This prospective study was conducted on patients between 0 and 6 years of age presenting with trauma to Adana Numune Training and Research Hospital Emergency Department between 1 September 2011 and 1 September 2012 regardless of their judicial records status. Study was initiated after obtaining ethical approval. Consents were taken from the parents of all patients enrolled in the study. Patients over the age of 6 and who did not give informed consent were excluded. Patients with received consents were included in the study.

Patients were examined again by an emergency medicine specialist independent from the physician who did the first intervention during clinical follow-up phase after notification of patients presenting with trauma to emergency physician. Asked radiological examinations and clinical follow-up were performed.

Age, gender, type of admission to the emergency room, type of event, presenting complaints, type of injury, area of injury, traumatic lesions occurring after injury, transferred clinic (surgical/medical section), kinship of caregiver, number of individuals in the family, presence of any step parental, prior hospital admission due to same complaint of the patients were investigated by a form. The suspected abuse evaluation form, consisting 12 items, which was formed by considering the forms used in previous studies was used. With this form, awareness of physicians was examined in cases of abuse suspicion.

Mean, standard deviation, minimum—maximum, median, proportion and frequency values were used in descriptive statistics of the data. The distribution of variables was checked with Kolmogorov—Smirnov test. Mann—Whitney U and chi-square tests were used for the analysis of qualitative data. Categorical variables (such as age, gender) were summarized as mean and standard deviation and chi-square test statistic was used for comparison of categorical measurement. SPSS 21.0 software package was used for analysis.

3. Results

A total of 126 patients between 0 and 6 years of age who admitted with trauma were included in our study. Fifty-four percent of cases (n=68) were male and mean age was determined to be 31.3 ± 18.9 (95% confidence interval) months.

Eighty-seven point three (n = 110) percent of cases were found to admit due to accidental injury. While falls from height was the most common reason with a rate of 36.5% (n = 46), it was followed by poisoning with 27.7% (n = 35), assault with 12.7% (n = 16), traffic accidents with 10.3% (n = 13), burns with 9.5% (n = 12) and stab injuries with 1.6% (n = 2). 20.6% of patients (n = 26) was found to be hospitalized to the surgical departments. One hundered and eight (85.7%) of these patients were found to be accompanied by mothers (Table 1).

Sixty-nine (n=87) percent of cases suffered external injuries after trauma and older lesions were found in 1.6% (n=2) of all patients. Extremity fractures were present in 3.9% (n=5) of patients.

It was found that no judicial records were written to 35.7% (n = 45) of our patients and that 11.1% (n = 5) of these patients were hospitalized. In 51.1% (n = 23) of patients without judicial records,

Table 1Characteristics of emergency trauma patients.

Age group (months) 0-24 25-48 48-60 18 (14.2%) 48-60 68 (54%) Event type Accident Assault 10 (87.3%) Assault 10 (12.7%) Event cause Fall from height Poisoning Stab wound Assault 16 (12.7%) Stab wound Assault 16 (12.7%) Event cacident 11 (10.3%) Assault 16 (12.7%) Stab wound 12 (1.6%) Assault 16 (12.7%) Traffic accident 13 (10.3%) Burn 12 (9.5%) Other 7 (5.6%) Multi trauma 12 (9.5%) Other Burn Other		
25-48 57 (45.2%) 48-60 18 (14.2%) Gender (male) 68 (54%) Event type 110 (87.3%) Acsault 16 (12.7%) Event cause 18 (14.2%) Fall from height 46 (36.5%) Poisoning 25 (19.8%) Stab wound 2 (1.6%) Assault 16 (12.7%) Traffic accident 13 (10.3%) Burn 12 (9.5%) Other 7 (5.6%) Multi trauma 5 (3.9%) Old lesion 2 (1.6%) Extremity fracture 5 (3.9%) Treatment outcome 5 (3.9%) Discharged from the emergency department 83 (65.8%) Hospitalization ot clinic 39 (30.9%) Referral to another hospital 3 (2.3%) Left voluntarily 1 (0.8%) Hospitalization department Surgical service 26 (20.6%) Pediatrics service 13 (10.3%) Judicial 81 (64.3%) Not Judicial 81 (64.3%) Past history of admission to emergency department with complaint of trauma 45 (35.7%)	Age group (months)	
48-60 18 (14.2%) Gender (male) 68 (54%) Event type 68 (54%) Accident 110 (87.3%) Assault 16 (12.7%) Event cause Fall from height 46 (36.5%) Poisoning 25 (19.8%) Stab wound 2 (1.6%) Assault 16 (12.7%) Traffic accident 13 (10.3%) Burn 12 (9.5%) Other 7 (5.6%) Multi trauma 5 (3.9%) Old lesion 2 (1.6%) Extremity fracture 5 (3.9%) Treatment outcome Treatment outcome Discharged from the emergency department 83 (65.8%) Hospitalization to the clinic 39 (30.9%) Referral to another hospital 3 (2.3%) Referral to another hospital 3 (2.3%) Referral to another hospital 3 (2.3%) Hospitalization department Surgical service 26 (20.6%) Pediatrics service 26 (20.6%) Pediatrics service 13 (10.3%) Judicial 81 (64.3%) Not Judicial 45 (35.7%)	0-24	51 (40.6%)
Sender (male) Sender (male)	25-48	57 (45.2%)
Event type	48-60	18 (14.2%)
Event type	Gender (male)	68 (54%)
Assault 16 (12.7%) Event cause Fall from height 46 (36.5%) Poisoning 25 (19.8%) Stab wound 2 (1.6%) Assault 16 (12.7%) Traffic accident 13 (10.3%) Burn 12 (9.5%) Other 7 (5.6%) Multi trauma 5 (3.9%) Old lesion 2 (1.6%) Extremity fracture 5 (3.9%) Treatment outcome Discharged from the emergency department 83 (65.8%) Hospitalization to the clinic 39 (30.9%) Referral to another hospital 3 (2.3%) Left voluntarily 1 (0.8%) Hospitalization department Surgical service 26 (20.6%) Pediatrics service 13 (10.3%) Judicial Post service 13 (10.3%) Judicial record status of cases Judicial 81 (64.3%) Not Judicial 81 (64.3%) Not Judicial 45 (35.7%) Past history of admission to emergency department with complaint of trauma Past history of admission to emergency department with complaint of trauma Past history of admission to emergency department with complaint of trauma Past history of admission to emergency department with complaint of trauma Past history of admission to emergency department with complaint of trauma Past history of admission to emergency department with complaint of trauma Past history of admission to emergency department with complaint of trauma Past history of admission to emergency department 34 (42.8%) with complaint of other reasons Accompanying person during emergency department admission Mother 108 (85.7%) Father 34 (26.9%) Sibling 7 (5.5%) Caregiver 3 (2.3%) Other 20 (15.8%) The presence of another injured in the incident 8 (6.3%) The presence of another injured in the incident 8 (6.3%) The presence of another injured in the incident 8 (6.3%) Findings of abuse None 43 (34.1%) Single findings 23 (18.2%)		, ,
Assault 16 (12.7%) Event cause *** Fall from height 46 (36.5%) Poisoning 25 (19.8%) Stab wound 2 (1.6%) Assault 16 (12.7%) Traffic accident 13 (10.3%) Burn 12 (9.5%) Other 7 (5.6%) Multi trauma 5 (3.9%) Old lesion 2 (1.6%) Extremity fracture 5 (3.9%) Treatment outcome ** Discharged from the emergency department 83 (65.8%) Hospitalization to the clinic 39 (30.9%) Referral to another hospital 3 (2.3%) Left voluntarily 1 (0.8%) Hospitalization department ** Surgical service 26 (20.6%) Pediatrics service 13 (10.3%) Judicial record status of cases ** Judicial 81 (64.3%) Not Judicial 81 (64.3%) Not Judicial 45 (35.7%) Past history of admission to emergency department 40 (31.7%) with complaint of trauma ** Past history of admission to eme	Accident	110 (87.3%)
Fall from height Poisoning Stab wound 25 (19.8%) Stab wound 25 (19.8%) Stab wound 25 (16.8%) Assault 16 (12.7%) Traffic accident 13 (10.3%) Burn 12 (9.5%) Other 7 (5.6%) Multi trauma 5 (3.9%) Old lesion Extremity fracture Treatment outcome Discharged from the emergency department Hospitalization to the clinic Referral to another hospital Left voluntarily 1 (0.8%) Hospitalization department Surgical service Pediatrics service Judicial Not Judicial Not Judicial Not Judicial Past history of admission to emergency department with complaint of trauma Past history of admission to emergency department with complaint of trauma Past history of admission to emergency department with complaint of other reasons Accompanying person during emergency department admission Mother Father Sibling Caregiver Other 108 (85.7%) Father Sibling 7 (5.5%) Caregiver 3 (2.3%) Caregiver Other The presence of another injured in the incident The number of family members of patients Nuclear Large 105 (83.3%) Findings of abuse None Single findings 32 (18.2%)	Assault	
Poisoning 25 (19.8%)	Event cause	, ,
Poisoning 25 (19.8%)	Fall from height	46 (36.5%)
Stab wound 2 (1.6%) Assault 16 (12.7%) Traffic accident 13 (10.3%) Burn 12 (9.5%) Other 7 (5.6%) Multi trauma 5 (3.9%) Old lesion 2 (1.6%) Extremity fracture 5 (3.9%) Treatment outcome 39 (30.9%) Discharged from the emergency department 83 (65.8%) Hospitalization to the clinic 39 (30.9%) Referral to another hospital 3 (2.3%) Left voluntarily 1 (0.8%) Hospitalization department Surgical service 26 (20.6%) Pediatrics service 13 (10.3%) Judicial record status of cases Judicial 81 (64.3%) Not Judicial 81 (64.3%) Not Judicial 81 (64.3%) Past history of admission to emergency department 40 (31.7%) with complaint of trauma 40 (31.7%) Past history of admission to emergency department 54 (42.8%) with complaint of other reasons Accompanying person during emergency department admission 40 (31.7%) Mother 108 (85.7%)	•	, ,
Assault 16 (12.7%) Traffic accident 13 (10.3%) Burn 12 (9.5%) Other 7 (5.6%) Multi trauma 5 (3.9%) Old lesion 2 (1.6%) Extremity fracture 5 (3.9%) Old lesion 2 (1.6%) Extremity fracture 5 (3.9%) Treatment outcome Discharged from the emergency department 39 (30.9%) Referral to another hospital 3 (2.3%) Left voluntarily 1 (0.8%) Hospitalization department Surgical service 26 (20.6%) Pediatrics service 13 (10.3%) Judicial record status of cases Judicial 81 (64.3%) Not Judicial 81 (64.3%) Not Judicial 81 (64.3%) Not Judicial 45 (35.7%) Past history of admission to emergency department with complaint of trauma Past history of admission to emergency department with complaint of other reasons Accompanying person during emergency department admission Mother 108 (85.7%) Father 34 (26.9%) Sibling 7 (5.5%) Caregiver 3 (2.3%) Caregiver 3 (2.3%) Other 20 (15.8%) The presence of another injured in the incident 8 (6.3%) The number of family members of patients Nuclear 20 (15.8%) Findings of abuse None 43 (34.1%) Single findings	Stab wound	
Traffic accident 13 (10.3%) Burn 12 (9.5%) Other 7 (5.6%) Multi trauma 5 (3.9%) Old lesion 2 (1.6%) Extremity fracture 5 (3.9%) Treatment outcome 5 (3.9%) Discharged from the emergency department 83 (65.8%) Hospitalization to the clinic 39 (30.9%) Referral to another hospital 3 (2.3%) Left voluntarily 1 (0.8%) Hospitalization department 26 (20.6%) Surgical service 26 (20.6%) Pediatrics service 13 (10.3%) Judicial record status of cases Judicial Judicial 81 (64.3%) Not Judicial 45 (35.7%) Past history of admission to emergency department with complaint of trauma 40 (31.7%) Past history of admission to emergency department with complaint of other reasons 40 (42.8%) Accompanying person during emergency department 54 (42.8%) with complaint of other reasons 45 (35.7%) Accompanying person during emergency 46 (42.8%) Geargiver 3 (2.3%) Other 20 (15.8%)	Assault	
Burn 12 (9.5%) Other 7 (5.6%) Multi trauma 5 (3.9%) Old lesion 2 (1.6%) Extremity fracture 5 (3.9%) Treatment outcome 5 (3.9%) Discharged from the emergency department 83 (65.8%) Hospitalization to the clinic 39 (30.9%) Referral to another hospital 3 (2.3%) Left voluntarily 1 (0.8%) Hospitalization department 26 (20.6%) Pediatrics service 26 (20.6%) Pediatrics service service 13 (10.3%) Judicial record status of cases 3 (10.3%) Judicial service	Traffic accident	
Other 7 (5.6%) Multi trauma 5 (3.9%) Old lesion 2 (1.6%) Extremity fracture 5 (3.9%) Treatment outcome 15 (3.9%) Discharged from the emergency department 83 (65.8%) Hospitalization to the clinic 39 (30.9%) Referral to another hospital 3 (2.3%) Left voluntarily 1 (0.8%) Hospitalization department 26 (20.6%) Surgical service 26 (20.6%) Pediatrics service 13 (10.3%) Judicial record status of cases Judicial 81 (64.3%) Not Judicial 45 (35.7%) Past history of admission to emergency department 40 (31.7%) with complaint of trauma 7 (42.8%) with complaint of other reasons Accompanying person during emergency department 54 (42.8%) Accompanying person during emergency department admission 108 (85.7%) Father 34 (26.9%) 35 (2.3%) Sibling 7 (5.5%) 23 (2.3%) Caregiver 3 (2.3%) 20 (15.8%) The presence of another	Burn	
Multi trauma 5 (3.9%) Old lesion 2 (1.6%) Extremity fracture 5 (3.9%) Treatment outcome 5 (3.9%) Discharged from the emergency department 83 (65.8%) Hospitalization to the clinic 39 (30.9%) Referral to another hospital 3 (2.3%) Left voluntarily 1 (0.8%) Hospitalization department 26 (20.6%) Surgical service 13 (10.3%) Pediatrics service 13 (10.3%) Judicial record status of cases Judicial 45 (35.7%) Past history of admission to emergency department with complaint of trauma 40 (31.7%) Past history of admission to emergency department with complaint of other reasons 54 (42.8%) Accompanying person during emergency department with complaint of other reasons 108 (85.7%) Accompanying person during emergency department admission 3 (2.3%) Mother 108 (85.7%) Father 34 (26.9%) Sibling 7 (5.5%) Caregiver 3 (2.3%) Other 20 (15.8%) The presence of another injured in the incident 8 (6.3%) The number of family members of pat	Other	
Old lesion 2 (1.6%) Extremity fracture 5 (3.9%) Treatment outcome 83 (65.8%) Discharged from the emergency department 83 (65.8%) Hospitalization to the clinic 39 (30.9%) Referral to another hospital 3 (2.3%) Left voluntarily 1 (0.8%) Hospitalization department 26 (20.6%) Surgical service 26 (20.6%) Pediatrics service 13 (10.3%) Judicial record status of cases Judicial Judicial 81 (64.3%) Not Judicial 45 (35.7%) Past history of admission to emergency department with complaint of trauma 54 (42.8%) Past history of admission to emergency department with complaint of other reasons 54 (42.8%) Accompanying person during emergency department with complaint of other reasons 34 (42.8%) Accompanying person during emergency department admission 34 (26.9%) Sibling 7 (5.5%) Father 34 (26.9%) Sibling 7 (5.5%) Caregiver 3 (2.3%) Other 20 (15.8%) The number of f	Multi trauma	, ,
Extremity fracture Treatment outcome Discharged from the emergency department Hospitalization to the clinic Referral to another hospital Left voluntarily Hospitalization department Surgical service Pediatrics service Pediatrics service Pediatrics service Podiatrics service Past history of admission to emergency department with complaint of trauma Past history of admission to emergency department with complaint of the reasons Accompanying person during emergency department admission Mother Father Father Garegiver Other Other Other The presence of another injured in the incident Nuclear Large Findings of abuse None None Single findings	Old lesion	
Treatment outcome Discharged from the emergency department Discharged from the emergency department Referral to another hospital Referral to another hospital Referral to another hospital Left voluntarily Hospitalization department Surgical service Pediatrics service Pediatrics service Judicial Not Judicial Not Judicial Past history of admission to emergency department with complaint of trauma Past history of admission to emergency department with complaint of other reasons Accompanying person during emergency department admission Mother Father Sibling Caregiver Other Other The presence of another injured in the incident The number of family members of patients Nuclear Large Findings of abuse None A3 (34.1%) Single findings 39 (30.9%) 39 (30.9%) 39 (30.9%) 39 (30.9%) 39 (30.9%) 39 (2.3%) 40 (2.3%) 40 (31.7%) 40 (31.7%) 40 (31.7%) 40 (31.7%) 40 (31.7%) 40 (31.7%) 40 (31.7%) 40 (31.7%) 41 (16.6%) 42 (16.6%) 43 (34.1%) 51 (31.2%)	Extremity fracture	
Discharged from the emergency department 83 (65.8%) Hospitalization to the clinic 39 (30.9%) Referral to another hospital 3 (2.3%) Left voluntarily 1 (0.8%) Hospitalization department 26 (20.6%) Surgical service 26 (20.6%) Pediatrics service 13 (10.3%) Judicial record status of cases 3 (64.3%) Judicial 81 (64.3%) Not Judicial 45 (35.7%) Past history of admission to emergency department with complaint of trauma 40 (31.7%) Past history of admission to emergency department with complaint of other reasons 54 (42.8%) Accompanying person during emergency department department admission 54 (42.8%) Mother 108 (85.7%) Father 34 (26.9%) Sibling 7 (5.5%) Caregiver 3 (2.3%) Other 20 (15.8%) The presence of another injured in the incident 8 (6.3%) The number of family members of patients 105 (83.3%) Nuclear 21 (16.6%) Large 105 (83.3%) Findings of abuse 43 (34.1%) Single findings	3	- ()
Hospitalization to the clinic 39 (30.9%) Referral to another hospital 3 (2.3%) Left voluntarily 1 (0.8%) Hospitalization department Surgical service 26 (20.6%) Pediatrics service 13 (10.3%) Judicial record status of cases Judicial 81 (64.3%) Not Judicial 45 (35.7%) Past history of admission to emergency department with complaint of trauma Past history of admission to emergency department with complaint of other reasons Accompanying person during emergency department admission 108 (85.7%) Father 34 (26.9%) Sibling 7 (5.5%) Caregiver 3 (2.3%) Other 20 (15.8%) The presence of another injured in the incident 8 (6.3%) The number of family members of patients Nuclear 21 (16.6%) Large 105 (83.3%) Findings of abuse None 43 (34.1%) Single findings 23 (18.2%)		83 (65.8%)
Referral to another hospital 3 (2.3%) Left voluntarily 1 (0.8%) Hospitalization department 26 (20.6%) Surgical service 13 (10.3%) Pediatrics service 13 (10.3%) Judicial record status of cases 1 (64.3%) Not Judicial 45 (35.7%) Past history of admission to emergency department with complaint of trauma 40 (31.7%) Past history of admission to emergency department with complaint of other reasons 54 (42.8%) Accompanying person during emergency department admission 108 (85.7%) Father 34 (26.9%) Sibling 7 (5.5%) Caregiver 3 (2.3%) Other 20 (15.8%) The presence of another injured in the incident 8 (6.3%) The number of family members of patients 21 (16.6%) Large 105 (83.3%) Findings of abuse 43 (34.1%) None 43 (34.1%) Single findings 23 (18.2%)		
Left voluntarily 1 (0.8%) Hospitalization department 26 (20.6%) Surgical service 26 (20.6%) Pediatrics service 13 (10.3%) Judicial record status of cases Judicial Judicial 81 (64.3%) Not Judicial 45 (35.7%) Past history of admission to emergency department with complaint of trauma 54 (42.8%) Past history of admission to emergency department with complaint of other reasons 54 (42.8%) Accompanying person during emergency department admission 108 (85.7%) Mother 108 (85.7%) Father 34 (26.9%) Sibling 7 (5.5%) Caregiver 3 (2.3%) Other 20 (15.8%) The presence of another injured in the incident 8 (6.3%) The number of family members of patients 21 (16.6%) Large 105 (83.3%) Findings of abuse 43 (34.1%) Single findings 23 (18.2%)	•	, ,
Hospitalization department Surgical service 26 (20.6%) Pediatrics service 13 (10.3%) Judicial record status of cases Judicial 81 (64.3%) Not Judicial 45 (35.7%) Past history of admission to emergency department with complaint of trauma Past history of admission to emergency department with complaint of other reasons Accompanying person during emergency department admission Mother 108 (85.7%) Father 34 (26.9%) Sibling 7 (5.5%) Caregiver 3 (2.3%) Other 20 (15.8%) The presence of another injured in the incident 8 (6.3%) The number of family members of patients Nuclear 21 (16.6%) Large 105 (83.3%) Findings of abuse None 43 (34.1%) Single findings 23 (18.2%)	*	, ,
Surgical service 26 (20.6%) Pediatrics service 13 (10.3%) Judicial record status of cases 81 (64.3%) Judicial 45 (35.7%) Past history of admission to emergency department with complaint of trauma 40 (31.7%) Past history of admission to emergency department with complaint of other reasons 54 (42.8%) Accompanying person during emergency department admission 34 (26.9%) Mother 108 (85.7%) Father 34 (26.9%) Sibling 7 (5.5%) Caregiver 3 (2.3%) Other 20 (15.8%) The presence of another injured in the incident 8 (6.3%) The number of family members of patients 105 (83.3%) Nuclear 21 (16.6%) Large 105 (83.3%) Findings of abuse 43 (34.1%) Single findings 23 (18.2%)	•	1 (0.0%)
Pediatrics service 13 (10.3%) Judicial record status of cases Judicial Judicial 81 (64.3%) Not Judicial 45 (35.7%) Past history of admission to emergency department with complaint of trauma 40 (31.7%) Past history of admission to emergency department with complaint of other reasons 54 (42.8%) Accompanying person during emergency department admission 108 (85.7%) Mother 108 (85.7%) Father 34 (26.9%) Sibling 7 (5.5%) Caregiver 3 (2.3%) Other 20 (15.8%) The presence of another injured in the incident 8 (6.3%) The number of family members of patients 21 (16.6%) Large 105 (83.3%) Findings of abuse None 43 (34.1%) Single findings 23 (18.2%)		26 (20.6%)
Judicial record status of cases	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	
Judicial 81 (64.3%) Not Judicial 45 (35.7%) Past history of admission to emergency department with complaint of trauma 40 (31.7%) Past history of admission to emergency department with complaint of other reasons 54 (42.8%) Accompanying person during emergency department admission 108 (85.7%) Mother 108 (85.7%) Father 34 (26.9%) Sibling 7 (5.5%) Caregiver 3 (2.3%) Other 20 (15.8%) The presence of another injured in the incident 8 (6.3%) The number of family members of patients 21 (16.6%) Large 105 (83.3%) Findings of abuse None None 43 (34.1%) Single findings 23 (18.2%)		13 (10,3%)
Not Judicial 45 (35.7%) Past history of admission to emergency department with complaint of trauma 40 (31.7%) Past history of admission to emergency department with complaint of other reasons 54 (42.8%) Accompanying person during emergency department admission 108 (85.7%) Mother 108 (85.7%) Father 34 (26.9%) Sibling 7 (5.5%) Caregiver 3 (2.3%) Other 20 (15.8%) The presence of another injured in the incident 8 (6.3%) The number of family members of patients 21 (16.6%) Large 105 (83.3%) Findings of abuse 43 (34.1%) Single findings 23 (18.2%)	•	81 (64 3%)
Past history of admission to emergency department with complaint of trauma 40 (31.7%) Past history of admission to emergency department with complaint of other reasons 54 (42.8%) Accompanying person during emergency department admission 8 (85.7%) Mother 108 (85.7%) Father 34 (26.9%) Sibling 7 (5.5%) Caregiver 3 (2.3%) Other 20 (15.8%) The presence of another injured in the incident 8 (6.3%) The number of family members of patients 21 (16.6%) Large 105 (83.3%) Findings of abuse 43 (34.1%) Single findings 23 (18.2%)		
with complaint of trauma Past history of admission to emergency department with complaint of other reasons 54 (42.8%) Accompanying person during emergency department admission 108 (85.7%) Mother 108 (85.7%) Father 34 (26.9%) Sibling 7 (5.5%) Caregiver 3 (2.3%) Other 20 (15.8%) The presence of another injured in the incident 8 (6.3%) The number of family members of patients 21 (16.6%) Nuclear 21 (16.6%) Large 105 (83.3%) Findings of abuse 43 (34.1%) Single findings 23 (18.2%)		
Past history of admission to emergency department with complaint of other reasons 54 (42.8%) Accompanying person during emergency department admission 108 (85.7%) Mother 108 (85.7%) Father 34 (26.9%) Sibling 7 (5.5%) Caregiver 3 (2.3%) Other 20 (15.8%) The presence of another injured in the incident 8 (6.3%) The number of family members of patients 21 (16.6%) Nuclear 21 (16.6%) Large 105 (83.3%) Findings of abuse 43 (34.1%) Single findings 23 (18.2%)		40 (31.7%)
with complaint of other reasons Accompanying person during emergency department admission Mother 108 (85.7%) Father 34 (26.9%) Sibling 7 (5.5%) Caregiver 3 (2.3%) Other 20 (15.8%) The presence of another injured in the incident 8 (6.3%) The number of family members of patients 21 (16.6%) Nuclear 21 (16.6%) Large 105 (83.3%) Findings of abuse 43 (34.1%) Single findings 23 (18.2%)		54 (42.8%)
Accompanying person during emergency department admission Mother 108 (85.7%) Father 34 (26.9%) Sibling 7 (5.5%) Caregiver 3 (2.3%) Other 20 (15.8%) The presence of another injured in the incident The number of family members of patients Nuclear 21 (16.6%) Large 105 (83.3%) Findings of abuse None 43 (34.1%) Single findings 23 (18.2%)		34 (42.6%)
department admission Mother 108 (85.7%) Father 34 (26.9%) Sibling 7 (5.5%) Caregiver 3 (2.3%) Other 20 (15.8%) The presence of another injured in the incident 8 (6.3%) The number of family members of patients 21 (16.6%) Nuclear 21 (16.6%) Large 105 (83.3%) Findings of abuse 43 (34.1%) Single findings 23 (18.2%)	•	
Mother 108 (85.7%) Father 34 (26.9%) Sibling 7 (5.5%) Caregiver 3 (2.3%) Other 20 (15.8%) The presence of another injured in the incident 8 (6.3%) The number of family members of patients 21 (16.6%) Nuclear 21 (16.6%) Large 105 (83.3%) Findings of abuse 43 (34.1%) Single findings 23 (18.2%)		
Father 34 (26.9%) Sibling 7 (5.5%) Caregiver 3 (2.3%) Other 20 (15.8%) The presence of another injured in the incident 8 (6.3%) The number of family members of patients 21 (16.6%) Nuclear 21 (16.6%) Large 105 (83.3%) Findings of abuse None 43 (34.1%) Single findings 23 (18.2%)	•	100 (05 7%)
Sibling 7 (5.5%) Caregiver 3 (2.3%) Other 20 (15.8%) The presence of another injured in the incident 8 (6.3%) The number of family members of patients 21 (16.6%) Nuclear 21 (16.6%) Large 105 (83.3%) Findings of abuse None 43 (34.1%) Single findings 23 (18.2%)		
Caregiver Other 3 (2.3%) Other 20 (15.8%) The presence of another injured in the incident 8 (6.3%) The number of family members of patients 21 (16.6%) Nuclear 21 (16.6%) Large 105 (83.3%) Findings of abuse 43 (34.1%) Single findings 23 (18.2%)		, ,
Other 20 (15.8%) The presence of another injured in the incident 8 (6.3%) The number of family members of patients 21 (16.6%) Nuclear 21 (16.6%) Large 105 (83.3%) Findings of abuse 43 (34.1%) Single findings 23 (18.2%)	•	, ,
The presence of another injured in the incident The number of family members of patients Nuclear Large 105 (83.3%) Findings of abuse None None Single findings 23 (18.2%)		
The number of family members of patients Nuclear 21 (16.6%) Large 105 (83.3%) Findings of abuse None 43 (34.1%) Single findings 23 (18.2%)		, ,
Nuclear 21 (16.6%) Large 105 (83.3%) Findings of abuse 43 (34.1%) Single findings 23 (18.2%)		0 (0.3%)
Large 105 (83.3%) Findings of abuse 43 (34.1%) None 43 (34.1%) Single findings 23 (18.2%)	* *	21 (10 0%)
Findings of abuse None 43 (34.1%) Single findings 23 (18.2%)		
None 43 (34.1%) Single findings 23 (18.2%)	•	100 (83.3%)
Single findings 23 (18.2%)	· ·	42 (24 19/)
. , ,		
wurtiple infulligs 60 (47.6%)		, ,
	wuripie muligs	00 (47.0%)

multiple suspected abuse findings were identified. Two of these cases were found to be beaten (Table 2).

Thirty-one point seven (n = 40) percent of patients were found to have already been admitted to the emergency room due to trauma and 30% (n = 12) of those were found to have multiple trauma.

When the children were evaluated in terms of abuse and neglect, positive findings were detected in 65.9% (n=83) of cases. While 23 cases had only one positive finding, multiple positive findings were found in 60 cases. While "carelessness, indifference and neglect" was in the first place in 76 cases, it was followed by "frequent admission to emergency department in patient's previous records" in 34 cases and "the family's contradictory behavior and expression" in 29 cases (Table 3).

In this study, regarding type of events, 87.3% (n=110) of cases were found to admit because of the accident. When the cases were examined in detail and suspected abuse evaluation form was considered, multiple suspected abuse was found in 47.6% (n=60) of these cases.

According to evaluation of first physician, it was found that 75.9% (n=41) of discharged patients had no judicial records and was not

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8554451

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8554451

Daneshyari.com