Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## American Journal of Infection Control

journal homepage: www.ajicjournal.org

Major Article

## Antibacterial effect and proposed mechanism of action of a topical surgical adhesive

Daniel Prince PhD \*, Zankhna Solanki MS, Remy Varughese BS, Jozef Mastej BS, Derek Prince MS

Department of Microbiology, Gibraltar Laboratories, Fairfield, NJ

**Background:** Medical adhesives effectively hold closed approximated skin edges of wounds from surgical incisions, including punctures from minimally invasive surgery. In addition, they have been reported to be antibacterial against gram-positive bacteria.

**Methods:** Using membrane filtration to capture all organisms after contact with 2-octyl cyanoacrylate product for 3 minutes, we quantified the number of survivors. Controls were performed to rule out that the noted level of kill was caused by carryover product in the test system.

**Results:** We found that the product kills >7 logs of gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria. The mechanism of action for the antibacterial effect is described as a function of very low water content.

**Conclusions:** As an antibacterial agent, the risk of nosocomial infection is greatly diminished, and an uneventful clinical result is facilitated. Bacterial growth cannot occur in the formulation and on contact death rapidly ensues as cellular water diffuses from the cell into the product.

© 2018 Association for Professionals in Infection Control and Epidemiology, Inc. Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Anderson et al<sup>1</sup> comprehensively reported and discussed strategies to prevent surgical site infections (SSIs): "SSIs are common complications in acute care facilities. SSIs occur in 2%–5% of patients undergoing inpatient surgery. Approximately 160,000-300,000 SSIs occur each year in the United States. SSI is now the most common and most costly healthcare acquired infection..."

One strategy to prevent an SSI is to use surgical adhesives to close approximated topical wounds. Rushbrook et al<sup>2</sup> reported on the antimicrobial effectiveness of polymerized 2-octyl cyanoacrylate against staphylococci and streptococci bacteria. We have extended these findings using the same test system to other gram-positive bacteria, namely *Corynebacterium* spp and *Staphylococcus epidermidis*. Evidence of the antimicrobial effect was inferred by Rusbrook et al because they observed a zone of inhibition around the solidified polymer on a lawn of bacteria. The objective of our work was to test expand on that work and further to explain a plausible mechanism of action for the observed antibacterial effect.

Killing time studies are typically used to quantify the antimicrobial effect of test material. An optimal microbial test system accounts for all the challenge organisms at the start and conclusion

\* Address correspondence to Daniel Prince, PhD, Department of Microbiology, Gibraltar Laboratories, 122 Fairfield Rd, Fairfield, NJ 07004.

*E-mail address*: DanielPrince@gibraltarlabsinc.com (D. Prince). Conflicts of interest: None to report. of the contact time so the microbial reduction in log 10 can be calculated. Accordingly, rather than solely rely on zone of inhibition testing,<sup>2</sup> we also used membrane filtration methodology to capture all survivors. The mechanism of action by which products of this type can be expected to have antibacterial properties is discussed. Water activity is predictive of whether an organism can survive or grow in a material. Accordingly, we have measured the water activity and Karl Fischer value of the product and discuss its meaning in terms of how we think 2-octyl cyanoacrylate kills bacteria.

### METHODS

Zone of inhibition of the product placed on a lawn of bacteria

#### Challenge organisms

The challenge organisms are as follows: *Staphylococcus epidermidis* (ATCC 14990; ATCC, Rockville, MD), *Staphylococcus aureus* (ATCC 6538; ATCC), *Corynebacterium pseudodiphtheriticum* (ATCC 10701; ATCC), Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (ATCC 33591; ATCC), *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (ATCC 4352; ATCC), *Escherichia coli* (ATCC 8739; ATCC), and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (ATCC 9027; ATCC).

A lawn for each organism was created onto Tryptic Soy Agar (TSA) (Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ) by streaking each suspension onto a TSA agar plate with a sterile swab (1 TSA plate per organism). A 20  $\mu$ L aliquot of SurgiSeal (Adhezion Biomedical, Reading,

# Key Words:

Key Words: Infection Control Quantitative Kill Study Water activity Cyanoacrylate







PA) was aseptically placed onto each lawn via micropipette. The plate was observed, and the time it takes for polymerization for each organism was measured. Incubation was at  $30^{\circ}$ C- $35^{\circ}$ C for 48 hours followed by observation of each plate for a zone of inhibition. A 20  $\mu$ L aliquot of sterile purified water (USP PW) was aseptically placed onto each lawn via micropipette as a control.

## Microbiologic efficacy using uninitiated 2-octyl cyanoacrylate-based product against the same challenge organisms

#### Preparation of challenge organisms

The inoculua used for the preparation of all the challenge organisms were freshly picked, isolated colonies that had been grown in TSA and incubated 30°C-35°C for 18-24 hours. The target challenge was  $\geq 10^6$  colony forming units (cfu). A representative single isolated colony was aseptically harvested with a sterile inoculation loop and suspended into sterile purified water and counted by membrane filtration.

## Inoculation of the test material with clinically relevant microorganisms

The experiment was carried out on 20 replicates. A fresh isolated colony, as previously described, was picked with a sterile inoculation loop and transferred to a container containing approximately 0.35 mL of uninitiated product. After a 3-minute contact time, the entire contents of the inoculated sample of the product was transferred to 9.65 mL of sterile USP PW using a micropipette. Tenfold serial dilutions were prepared. The final volume of tube 1 was 10.0 mL. The pH was taken with a pH strip, and the tube was immediately vortexed for approximately 15 seconds. Tube 1 was used to make the 10<sup>-1</sup> dilution for tube 2. One mL from tube 2 was added to 9 mL sterile USP PW and vortexed. Additional 10-fold serial dilutions were made.

The number of surviving organisms in each tube was determined by membrane filtration (Table 1). Each dilution tube was membrane filtered through sterile 0.45- $\mu$ m-Nalgene Filters (no. 145-2045; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bridgewater, NJ) followed by 2 × 100 mL rinses with USP PW. The filter was placed onto TSA plates. The filtrate was also plated into TSA. The plates were incubated at 30°C-35°C for 48-72 hours, and the number of cfu present were counted and recorded. If survivors were present, a representative viable colony on the filter was confirmed to verify it was the same as the challenge organism using either VITEK (bioMérieux Inc., Cambridge, MA) identification or restreaking onto selective agar plate.

Residual carryover cyanoacrylate in the dilution tubes was ruled out by challenging the 10-fold serial dilution tubes with <100 cfu of each challenge organism. Filtration and incubation was as previously mentioned. A negative control substituted USP PW in place of the product. It determines the starting level of the inoculum used to challenge the product. The rest of the procedures previously listed were followed.

#### Water activity measurements

Measurements were made at 21°C with a Novasina LabTouch instrument (Novasina AG, Lachen, Switzerland). The water activity meter was calibrated using a 4-point calibration at the beginning of each day that the instrument was used. Each determination was made 5 times, and the average was recorded.

## Measurement of the water content of the product sample by Karl Fischer analysis USP <921>, method I

The product was dissolved in a mixture of chloroform and methanol to determine the total water content of bound and unbound water.

#### RESULTS

A prominent zone of inhibition was observed against the 4-g positive bacteria tested (Fig 1).

The quantitative antibacterial effect against gram-positive and gram-negative organisms of uninitiated product is shown in Table 1.

The inoculum challenge used to challenge the product and negative control was in the range of 10<sup>7</sup>-10<sup>8</sup> cfu. The negative control was USP PW. The membrane filtration counts were transformed to

#### Table 1

Antibacterial effect of the uninitiated product after a 3-minute contact time: detailed results versus *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* 

Replicate No.	Log 10 survivors	Log 10 reduction	Neutralization challenge
1-19	0	8-0=8	+
20	1.48 = 1	8 - 1 = 7	+
P aeruginosa versus negative control			
Dilution		Count	
10-4		TNTC, TNTC	
10 <sup>-5</sup>		>200, >200	
10 <sup>-6</sup>		27, 58	
10 <sup>-7</sup>		5, 3	
cfu/10 mL		$4.3 \times 10^{7}$	
Log 10		7.63 = 8	
Summary of kill against 7 clinically relevant organisms		Average $(n = 20) \log 10$ reduction	
Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus		8	
Escherichia coli		8	
P aeruginosa		8	
Klebsiella pneumoniae		7	
Staphylococcus epidermidis		7	
Corynebacterium pseudodiphtheriticum		8	
Staphylococcus aureus		8	

NOTE. The filtrates were also plated and had no growth. The results for all organisms were equivalent as shown above. On challenge with <100 cfu, all dilution tubes were positive, meaning no carryover residual cyanoacrylate was present in the dilution tubes. The results for all the eight organisms tested were equivalent having  $\geq$ 7 log kill.

+, positive; cfu, colony forming units; TNTC, too numerous to count.



Download English Version:

## https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8567060

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8567060

Daneshyari.com