ARTICLE IN PRESS

Journal of Professional Nursing xxx (2017) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Professional Nursing



A map for successful CCNE accreditation

Jacqueline Haverkamp^{a,*}, Alicia K. Ribar^b, Kay Ball^a, Kacy Ballard^a, Susan Butz^a, John D. Chovan^a, Eva M. Fried^a, Brian Garrett^a, Karen Hughes^a, Patricia Keane^a, Regina Prusinski^a, Joy R. Shoemaker^a

^a Otterbein University, United States ^b University of South Carolina, United States

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 28 February 2017 Revised 24 August 2017 Accepted 5 September 2017 Available online xxxx

Keywords: Accreditation Evaluation plan Organizational structure CCNE recommendations

Introduction

Every nursing program works hard to achieve a successful accreditation. The Commission on Collegiate Nursing Education (CCNE) recently evaluated our nursing degree programs at the baccalaureate, master's and Doctor of Nursing Practice (DNP) levels. In addition, we had a joint specialty accreditation with the Council on Accreditation (COA) of Nurse Anesthesia Educational Programs. Most of the current faculty, lab and administrative staff had not experienced a site visit, but even so, our program achieved full accreditation status, with no compliance issues.We have received public recognition that our nursing program met all CCNE standards (Commission on Collegiate Education [CCNE], 2013b), but perhaps more importantly, the positive CCNE report affirmed theimportance of the time invested in planning, developing, and implementing structures and processes for program assessment and improvement.

The major purpose of CCNE accreditation is to ensure quality and integrity in baccalaureate and graduate nursing educational degree

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.profnurs.2017.09.003 8755-7223/© 2017 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. programs through assessment of their mission, goals, and expected outcomes (CCNE, 2013b). The accreditors pay close attention to how well the evaluation plan functions, whether the curriculum meets appropriate American Association of Colleges in Nursing (AACN) essentials and other professional standards, and the adequacy of the resources to meet the mission of the program. In addition, achievement of the standards must be evident in the resources available for CCNE site visitors to review.

Despite an understanding that "ongoing self-assessment is key to effective participation in the accreditation process" (Ellis & Halstead, 2012, p. 19), there is limited literature that specifically addresses how to integrate the processes and structures needed for successful accreditation into the workflow of the nursing program. Preparing for a CCNE accreditation requires multi-year planning of layered components and an extensive team effort to ensure that the program is successful in achieving all standards. It also provides the opportunity for self-assessment, identification of areas of program strength and areas for program improvement, and creation of a plan of action to address issues (CCNE, 2013c).

The purpose of this article is to provide nurse educators with recommendations on how to develop the needed structures and processes that lead to accreditation success. We provide a comprehensive list of 28 recommendations, a timeline for completion of tasks, and specific information on how to document the achievement of the four CCNE Standards. The first two recommendations deal with two vital structures that comprise a well-functioning program: an effective committee structure and a robust evaluation plan. Recommendations 3 to 12 concern the process steps for preparing for an accreditation visit and are aligned with a timeline for completion. The remaining recommendations address how to document compliance with each CCNE standard.

Structure

Recommendation 1. Create an effective program committee structure and distribute the preparatory work among the committees to ensure faculty participation.

The structure of our nursing programs included committees with responsibility to assess and evaluate elements of the program in relation to standards on an ongoing basis. An effective committee structure and functioning evaluation plan were at the heart of our successful accreditation. Table 1 presents a sample page from our

^{*} Corresponding author at: Otterbein University, 1 S. Grove Street, Westerville, OH 43081, United States.

E-mail addresses: jhaverkamp@otterbein.edu (J. Haverkamp), ribara@mailbox.sc.edu (A.K. Ribar), kball@otterbein.edu (K. Ball), kballard@otterbein.edu (K. Ballard), sbutz@otterbein.edu (S. Butz), jchovan@otterbein.edu (J.D. Chovan), efried@otterbein.edu (E.M. Fried), bgarrett@otterbein.edu (B. Garrett), khughes@otterbein.edu (K. Hughes), pkeane@otterbein.edu (P. Keane), rprusinski@otterbein.edu (R. Prusinski), jshoemkaer@otterbein.edu (J.R. Shoemaker).

Table 1 Evaluation plan.

Key:		
Olive	FOC	Department of Nursing Faculty Operational Committee
Lilac	COM	Departmental Standing Committees:
Rose	CC	Curriculum Committee
Lemon	PEC	Program Effectiveness Committee
Blush	NARC	Nursing Admission Review Committee
Sky	SFC	Student / Faculty Committee
Grass	APD	Academic Program Directors
Pewter	Chair	Pewter Chair Chair, Department of Nursing
Apricot	Dean	Apricot Dean Dean, School of Professional Studies
Mint	NAC	NAC Nursing Advisory Committee
White	Other	

Otterbein University Department of Nursing Evaluation Plan (2016-2019)

CCNE: STANDARD I

The mission, goals & expected aggregate student & faculty outcomes are congruent with those of the parent institution, reflect professional nursing standards and guidelines, and consider the needs and expectations of the community of interest. Policies of the parent institution and nursing program clearly support the program's mission, goals, & expected outcomes. The faculty & students of the

program are involved in the governance of the program and in the ongoing efforts to improve program quality.	governance of the pro	ogram and in the ong	oing efforts t	o improve	program quality.		
Key Elements (CCNE Standard I, Ohio Administrative Code)	Benchmark	Assessment Method	Responsibility	Target Date	2016-17 Location of Evidence / Date	2017-18 Location of Evidence / Date	2018-19 Location of Evidence / Date
I-A. The mission, goals & expected	Mission, goals & expected	Review of handbooks	SFC, APD	May	SFC review of handbooks and inclusion of input	SFC review of handbooks and inclusion of input	SFC review of handbooks and inclusion of input
student outcomes are congruent with those of the parent institution &	outcomes are congruent with narent institution				mom program directors documented in SFC meeting minutes dated 04/03/2017 in SFC	rrom program directors documented in SFC meeting minutes dated 5/XX/2018 in SFC hinder	rrom program directors documented in SFC meeting minutes dated 5/XX/2019 in SFC binder
consistent with relevant professional	and consistent with				binder in department office and on the Nursing	in department office and on the Nursing Faculty	in department office and on the Nursing Faculty
nursing standards & guidelines for the	relevant professional				Faculty web presence in Blackboard.	web presence in Blackboard.	web presence in Blackboard.
preparation of nursing professionals	nursing standards (See	Review of catalog	SFC, APD	Jan	SFC review of catalog and inclusion of input	SFC review of catalog and inclusion of input	SFC review of catalog and inclusion of input
	below.)				from program directors documented in SFC	from program directors documented in SFC	from program directors documented in SFC
Program's mission, goals, philosophy					meeting minutes dated 9/13/2016 and	meeting minutes dated 1/XX/2018 in SFC binder	meeting minutes dated 1/XX/2019 in SFC binder
& outcomes consider OBN & CCNE					04/03/2017 in SFC binder in department office	in department office and on the Nursing Faculty	in department office and on the Nursing Faculty
standards (OAC 4723-5-13)					and on the Nursing Faculty web presence in Blackboard.	web presence in Blackboard.	web presence in Blackboard.
The structure & governance of the	Professional Nursing Standards:	ards:					
department provides effective means	 The Essentials of Baccalau. 	 The Essentials of Baccalaureate Education for Professional Nursing Practice (AACN, 2008) 	nal Nursing Practi	ce (AACN, 2008	(3)		
for communication & problem solving	 The Essentials of Master's 	 The Essentials of Master's Education in Nursing (AACN, 2011) 	2011)				
(OAC 4723-5-09)	 The Essentials of Master's 	 The Essentials of Master's Education for Advanced Practice Nursing (AACN, 1996) 	tice Nursing (AACI	V, 1996)			
	 The Essentials of Doctoral 	 The Essentials of Doctoral Education for Advanced Nursing Practice (AACN, 2013) 	ing Practice (AACI	N, 2013)			
	 Criteria for Evaluation of N 	Vurse Practitioner Programs (1	National Task Forc	e on Quality N	Criteria for Evaluation of Nurse Practitioner Programs (National Task Force on Quality Nurse Practitioner Education, 2012)		
	 Nurse Practitioner Core Competencies (NONPF, 2012) 	ompetencies (NONPF, 2012)					
	 Standards for Accreditatio. 	in of Nurse Anesthesia Educat	ional Programs (C	ouncil on Accr	 Standards for Accreditation of Nurse Anesthesia Educational Programs (Council on Accreditation of Nurse Anesthesia Educational Programs, 2010) 	, 2010)	
	 Ohio Revised Code and Oh. 	Ohio Revised Code and Ohio Administrative Code (Ohio	Board of Nursing, 2012)	2012)			
	Mission & outcomes are	Review of handbooks	SFC, APD	May	SFC review of handbooks and inclusion of input	SFC review of handbooks and inclusion of input	SFC review of handbooks and inclusion of input
	clearly written and found				from program directors documented in SFC	from program directors documented in SFC	from program directors documented in SFC
	in handbooks/catalogs				meeting minutes dated 04/03/2017 in SFC	meeting minutes dated 5/XX/2018 in SFC binder	meeting minutes dated 5/XX/2018 in SFC binder
	and accessible to all				binder in department office and on the Nursing	in department office and on the Nursing Faculty	in department office and on the Nursing Faculty
	students.				Faculty web presence in Blackboard.	web presence in Blackboard.	web presence in Blackboard.
		Review of catalog	SFC, APD	Jan	SFC review of catalog and inclusion of input	SFC review of catalog and inclusion of input	SFC review of catalog and inclusion of input
					from program directors documented in SFC	from program directors documented in SFC	from program directors documented in SFC
					meeting minutes dated 9/13/2016 and	meeting minutes dated 1/XX/2018 in SFC binder	meeting minutes dated 1/XX/2018 in SFC binder
					04/03/2017 in SFC binder in department office	in department office and on the Nursing Faculty	in department office and on the Nursing Faculty
					and on the Nursing Faculty web presence in	web presence in Blackboard.	web presence in Blackboard.
					Blackboard.		
	Program goals identified	Review of meeting	FOC	Fall, 1 ^{ss}	Faculty identification and approval of program	Faculty identification and approval of program	Faculty identification and approval of program
	for Nursing unit annually	minutes		operations	goals documented in FOC meeting minutes	goals documented on FOC meeting minutes	goals documented in FOC meeting minutes
				meeting	dated 09/09/2016 in FOC binder in department	dated XX/XX/2017 in FOC binder in department	dated XX/XX/2018 in FOC binder in department
					office and on the Nursing Faculty web presence	office and on the Nursing Faculty web presence	office and on the Nursing Faculty web presence
					in Blackboard.	in Blackboard.	in Blackboard.

ARTICLE IN PRESS

J. Haverkamp et al. / Journal of Professional Nursing xxx (2017) xxx-xxx

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8575362

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8575362

Daneshyari.com