ARTICLE IN PRESS

Journal of Optometry (2016) xxx, xxx-xxx



CASE REPORT

Natural history of idiopathic abducens nerve paresis in a young adult

Jameel Rizwana Hussaindeen^{a,b,*}, Revathy Mani^b, Archayeeta Rakshit^{a,b}, Srikanth Ramasubramanian^b, Smitha Vittal Praveen^b

^a Srimathi Sundari Subramanian Department of Visual Psychophysics, Elite School of Optometry (In Collaboration with Birla Institute of Technology & Science, Pilani), Unit of Medical Research Foundation, 8, G. S. T. Road, St. Thomas Mount, Chennai 600 016, India

^b Sankara Nethralaya, 18, College Road, Nungambakkam, Chennai 600006, India

Received 17 December 2015; accepted 15 February 2016

KEYWORDS

Idiopathic abducens nerve paresis; Natural history; Vision therapy; Diplopia; Esotropia

PALABRAS CLAVE

Parálisis facial idiopática; Historia natural; Terapia visual; Diplopía; Esotropía. **Abstract** The natural history of idiopathic abducens nerve paresis and the role of conservative management such as vision training during the recovery process is not well documented in the literature to the best of our knowledge. This case report presents the natural recovery process of idiopathic abducens nerve paresis in a young adult and the role of vision therapy in the recovery process.

© 2016 Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. on behalf of Spanish General Council of Optometry. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Historia natural de paresia idiopática del nervio abducens en un adulto joven

Resumen Hasta la fecha, la historia natural de la paresia idopática del nervio abducens y la función de un tratamiento conservador como la terapia visual durante el proceso de recuperación no se hallan bien documentadas en la literatura. Este informe de un caso presenta el proceso de recuperación natural de la paresia idiopática del nervio abducens en un adulto joven, así como la función de la terapia visual en el proceso de recuperación.

© 2016 Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U. en nombre de Spanish General Council of Optometry. Este es un artículo Open Access bajo la licencia CC BY-NC-ND (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

* Corresponding author at: Srimathi Sundari Subramanian Department of Visual Psychophysics, Elite School of Optometry, Unit of Medical Research Foundation, 8, G. S. T. Road, St. Thomas Mount, Chennai 600 016, India. Tel.: +91 44 22346023; fax: +91 44 28254180. *E-mail address:* rizwana@snmail.org (J.R. Hussaindeen).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.optom.2016.02.002

1888-4296/ \odot 2016 Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. on behalf of Spanish General Council of Optometry. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Please cite this article in press as: Hussaindeen JR, et al. Natural history of idiopathic abducens nerve paresis in a young adult. *J Optom*. (2016), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.optom.2016.02.002

Introduction

Abducens nerve palsy is the most common of the ocular paresis in adults,^{1,2} with sudden onset of binocular horizontal diplopia as the bothersome symptom. Sixth cranial nerve palsies can be secondary to etiologies such as vascular, viral illness, inflammation, trauma, and undetermined in few cases. In younger patients it is important to rule out malignant causes such as life threatening neoplasms. The incidence of idiopathic sixth nerve palsies varies between 9.3 and 13.2/100,000 in the Caucasian population, with idiopathic reasons contributing to 26% of the total incidence.³ This case report deals with an acute onset esotropia due to abducens nerve paresis in a 25 year young adult presumably due to an idiopathic etiology or a viral illness. The natural history of the clinical presentation, findings, and role of vision therapy is emphasized through this case report.

Case history

A 25-year-old male presented with chief complaint of sudden onset, binocular horizontal, uncrossed diplopia during the past 1 week associated with eye pain in right eye. He also complained of vomiting and a radiating headache precipitated by stress, computer work and other near work. There was no history of significant systemic illness or any ocular or head injury, and he denied any recent viral illness. The local ophthalmologist had administered a 10-day course of Intravenous methyl prednisolone injection along with Tablet Prednisolone 5 mg (10 tab/day) for three days. Brain imaging (MRI scan) had been done and was within normal limits.

Clinical findings

Uncorrected visual acuity was 20/20, N6 @ 30 cm in both eyes. Subjective and objective refraction revealed emmetropia. The Worth four dot test showed uncrossed diplopia for both distance and near. Cover test revealed a 35 prism diopter right esotropia at distance and a 25 prism diopters right esotropia at 40 cm. Ocular motility showed mild restriction of abduction (-1) in the right eye and rest of the movements were full. There was no significant head posture. Rest of the ocular findings were within normal limits. MRI brain results (Plain and contrast) were within normal limits and no lesions/demyelination were identified. Diplopia charting identified the paretic muscle to be right lateral rectus. Binocular vision assessment revealed poor stereopsis, normal monocular accommodation, unmeasurable fusional vergence due to constant diplopia and reduced monocular accommodative facility and gradient AC/A ratio of 4:1. The diagnostic data is provided in Table 1. Due to constant diplopia and poor fusional ranges, a trial of prisms was recommended. The patient could fuse with 40 base-out Fresnel prisms in front of the right eye. As the patient was a frequent traveler he preferred using frosted glasses instead of Fresnel prisms.

Three month follow UP

At the 3 month follow-up, diplopia had reduced considerably except in extreme right gaze. The frequency of the diplopia decreased, although diplopia persisted in right gaze, and this was stable for the past one month with no improvement noticed by the patient. Eyestrain and frontal headache were present with near work. On re-assessment, visual acuity was 20/20, N6 in both the eyes and worth four dot test showed fusion for distance and near. Stereopsis was 50 arc seconds with Randot stereo test. The cover test revealed a 16 PD esophoria at distance and 10 PD esophoria at near. Ocular motility was full, free and painless. Binocular vision assessment revealed improved vergence amplitudes compared to baseline. However, there were still significant reduced negative fusional vergence, and accommodative facility (Table 1). Although there has been considerable improvement probably due to spontaneous recovery, the NFV amplitudes and accommodative facility were still reduced and the patient was symptomatic. Considering no significant improvement over the past month, vision therapy was recommended to improve divergence amplitudes and to improve accommodative facility. Base out prisms were considered to facilitate training due to the large eso component. Three broad goals were established for vision therapy.⁴ developing divergence amplitudes for distance and near, improving accommodative facility (monocularly and binocularly), and improving saccadic/pursuit eye movements (due to diplopia in lateral gaze). On re-assessment at the end of 10 sessions, asthenopic symptoms were reduced, and no diplopia was noticed for distance and near. The patient demonstrated fusion for distance and near with the Worth four dot. The distance and near angles reduced significantly from 16 PD esophoria to orthophoria for distance and 2 PD esophoria at near. The diagnostic data at follow-up is provided in Table 1. The goals of vision therapy and details of vision therapy is sequenced in Tables 2 and 3 respectively. After 10 sessions of in-office vision therapy, he was advised to continue home-based therapy using the transparent Eccentric Circles, Life Saver Card, Brock string and accommodative flippers. A six month follow-up revealed stable alignment, and no symptoms with good compliance to home vision therapy.

Discussion

Acquired sixth nerve paresis is rare in young adults. The most common causes for non-traumatic sixth nerve paresis in children and adults include central nervous system mass lesions, demyelination and inflammation, vasculopathy, and multiple sclerosis.^{5,6} Idiopathic presentations in adults can account for up to 22% of cases.⁶ Spontaneous recovery of 6th nerve paresis is common (in almost 71% of affected individuals) in traumatic sixth nerve palsy at 6 months after onset,^{7–9} and the angle of deviation is the most important determining the prognosis.¹⁰ In our case, idiopathic or presumably a viral etiology was the most likely etiology. We utilized the sequential management approach suggested by Caloroso and Rouse (1993).¹¹ Few case reports,^{12,13} have demonstrated the effectiveness of vision therapy in esotropia. Vision therapy improved the patient's range

Please cite this article in press as: Hussaindeen JR, et al. Natural history of idiopathic abducens nerve paresis in a young adult. *J Optom*. (2016), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.optom.2016.02.002

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8591090

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8591090

Daneshyari.com