ARTICLE IN PRESS



RESEARCH
EDUCATION
TREATMENT
ADVOCACY



The Journal of Pain, Vol ■, No ■ (■), 2017: pp 1-8

Available online at www.jpain.org and www.sciencedirect.com

Focus Article

Measures That Identify Prescription Medication Misuse, Abuse, and Related Events in Clinical Trials: ACTTION Critique and Recommended Considerations

Shannon M. Smith,* Judith K. Jones,†,‡,§ Nathaniel P. Katz,¶,∥ Carl L. Roland,**
Beatrice Setnik,†† Jeremiah J. Trudeau,‡‡ Stephen Wright,§§ Laurie B. Burke,¶
Sandra D. Comer,∥∥ Richard C. Dart,*** Raymond Dionne,††† J. David Haddox,∥,‡‡‡
Jerome H. Jaffe,§§§ Ernest A. Kopecky,¶¶ Bridget A. Martell,∥∥∥,**** Ivan D. Montoya,††††
Marsha Stanton,§§§§ Ajay D. Wasan,¶¶¶ Dennis C. Turk,∥∥∥∥ and Robert H. Dworkin*****

Departments of *Anesthesiology, and *****Anesthesiology, Neurology, and Center for Human Experimental Therapeutics, University of Rochester School of Medicine and Dentistry, Rochester, New York.

The views expressed in this article are those of the authors, and other than employees of Analgesic Solutions, none of the authors have financial conflicts of interest related to the specific issues discussed in this report. Analgesic Solutions developed the Misuse, Abuse, and Diversion Drug Event Reporting System with input from the other authors. Carl L. Roland and Beatrice Setnik were both employees of King Pharmaceuticals, later Pfizer Inc, during the development of the Self-Reported Misuse, Abuse, and Diversion instrument. The findings, conclusions, and recommendations contained in this report are those of the authors. No official endorsement by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA), the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, or the pharmaceutical companies that provided unrestricted grants to support the activities of the Analgesic, Anesthetic, and Addiction Clinical Trial Translations, Innovations, Opportunities, and Networks (ACTTION) public-private partnership with the FDA should be inferred. At the time of the consensus meeting on which this article is based, 7 of the authors were employed by one of the companies that provided unrestricted grants to ACTTION to support its activities, including the consensus meeting. These com-

panies were Collegium Pharmaceutical, Inc, GW Pharmaceuticals, plc, Horizon Pharma, Inc, Janssen Scientific Affairs, LLC, Pfizer Inc, and Purdue Pharma LP. Authors of this article who were not employed by industry or government at the time of the meeting received travel stipends, hotel accommodations, and meals during the meeting provided by ACTTION. ACTTION has received research contracts, grants, or other revenue from the FDA, multiple pharmaceutical and device companies, and other sources. Preparation of this article was supported by ACTTION. No external funding was received for the meeting or the preparation of this article. Address reprint requests to Shannon M. Smith, PhD, Department of Anesthesiology, University of Rochester School of Medicine and Dentistry, 601 Elmwood Ave, Box 604, Rochester, NY 14642. E-mail: shannon1_smith@urmc.rochester.edu

1526-5900/\$36.00

© 2017 by the American Pain Society

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpain.2017.03.015

[†]The Degge Group, Fairfax, Virginia.

[†]Departments of Pharmacology and Health Sciences, Georgetown University School of Medicine, Washington, DC. §School of Public Health, University of Michigan School of Public Health, Ann Arbor, Michigan.

[¶]Analgesic Solutions, Natick, Massachusetts.

Departments of Anesthesiology and Public Health and Community Medicine, Tufts University, Boston, Massachusetts. **Pfizer Inc, Cary, North Carolina.

^{††}INC Research, Raleigh, North Carolina.

^{‡‡}Boehringer Ingelheim, Ridgefield, Connecticut.

[§]GW Pharmaceuticals, plc, London, United Kingdom.

 $^{^{\}P \P}$ LORA Group, LLC, Royal Oak, and School of Pharmacy, University of Maryland, Baltimore, Maryland.

Department of Psychiatry, Columbia University, New York State Psychiatric Institute, New York, New York.

^{***}Division of Clinical Pharmacology and Toxicology, University of Colorado School of Medicine and Rocky Mountain Poison & Drug Center, Denver Health, Denver, Colorado.

^{†††}Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology, Brody School of Medicine, East Carolina University, Greenville, North Carolina.

^{‡‡‡}Purdue Pharma LP, Stamford, Connecticut.

^{\$\$\$}Department of Psychiatry, University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland.

^{¶¶¶}Collegium Pharmaceutical, Inc, Canton, Massachusetts.

BAM Consultants, Guilford, Connecticut.

^{****}Department of Internal Medicine, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, Connecticut.

^{††††}National Institute on Drug Abuse, Bethesda, Maryland.

^{§§§§}Horizon Pharma, Inc, Deerfield, Illinois.

ARTICLE IN PRESS

2 The Journal of Pain

Measuring Prescription Misuse, Abuse, and Related Events in Clinical Trials

¶¶¶Departments of Anesthesiology and Psychiatry, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.

Department of Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington.

Abstract: Accurate assessment of inappropriate medication use events (ie, misuse, abuse, and related events) occurring in clinical trials is an important component in evaluating a medication's abuse potential. A meeting was convened to review all instruments measuring such events in clinical trials according to previously published standardized terminology and definitions. Only 2 approaches have been reported that are specifically designed to identify and classify misuse, abuse, and related events occurring in clinical trials, rather than to measure an individual's risk of using a medication inappropriately: the Self-Reported Misuse, Abuse, and Diversion (SR-MAD) instrument and the Misuse, Abuse, and Diversion Drug Event Reporting System (MADDERS). The conceptual basis, strengths, and limitations of these methods are discussed. To our knowledge, MADDERS is the only system available to comprehensively evaluate inappropriate medication use events prospectively to determine the underlying intent. MADDERS can also be applied retrospectively to completed trial data. SR-MAD can be used prospectively; additional development may be required to standardize its implementation and fully appraise the intent of inappropriate use events. Additional research is needed to further demonstrate the validity and utility of MADDERS as well as SR-MAD. Perspective: Identifying a medication's abuse potential requires assessing inappropriate medication use events in clinical trials on the basis of a standardized event classification system. The strengths and limitations of the 2 published methods designed to evaluate inappropriate medication use events are reviewed, with recommended considerations for further development and current implementation.

© 2017 by the American Pain Society

Key words: Medication abuse, medication misuse, abuse potential.

leighing an analgesic medication's benefits against its risks of abuse to inform scheduling, labeling, and treatment guidelines^{20,32} requires identifying abuse potential (ie, use for nonmedical psychoactive effects³²) during drug development. This necessitates careful, thorough, and standardized assessment and classification of inappropriate medication use events (ie, misuse, abuse, and related events [MAREs]²⁷; see Table 1 for definitions; see also U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Office of Disease Prevention and Health Promotion³¹) occurring in clinical trials. At the current time, there are no standardized, commonly accepted methods to capture and categorize events related to misuse or abuse in clinical trials. A potential model for such an instrument, the Columbia-Suicide Severity Rating Scale, was developed to categorize suicidal ideation and behavior events occurring in antidepressant clinical trials. 18,19 The Columbia-Suicide Severity Rating Scale classifies events comprehensively, efficiently, and accurately retrospectively as well as prospectively while limiting the overidentification of suicidal ideation and behavior. The prospective version has been recommended for assessing suicidal ideation and behavior in trials submitted to the U.S. Food and Drug Administration.³³ A similar instrument is needed to evaluate abuse potential in analgesic clinical trials.

Recent Initiative on Methods, Measurement, and Pain Assessment in Clinical Trials (http://www.immpact.org) recommendations¹⁶ and a review of instruments measuring aspects of inappropriate medication use²⁸ emphasize the importance of: 1) evaluating a medica-

tion's abuse potential in a manner that is distinct from assessing the risk that a participant might use medications inappropriately, and 2) determining the putative intent underlying potential inappropriate use events to distinguish abuse events from other events. To that end, identifying inappropriate medication use events in clinical trials is critical for understanding abuse potential. When such events occur, it is also necessary to capture additional characteristics (ie, tampering, withdrawal, addiction, diversion, and overdose²⁷; Table 1) because these supplemental details may imply problematic treatment effects that can inform the assessment of abuse potential. Further, prospectively assessing inappropriate use events within the context of an ongoing clinical trial, with training for study staff to determine the putative intent of these events, is essential for accurate classification.¹⁶ In general, although currently available instruments measuring inappropriate medication use have clinical utility to evaluate patient adherence to opioid therapy in clinical practice, a recent review indicated that they do not distinguish between types of events, consider intent, or evaluate a specific medication's abuse potential per se (see Table 2 for a summary).²⁸

In light of the challenges in measuring inappropriate use events to identify medications with abuse potential, the Abuse Liability Evaluation for Research, Treatment, and Training (ALERTT) working group of the Analgesic, Anesthetic, and Addiction Clinical Trial Translations, Innovations, Opportunities, and Networks (ACTTION; http://www.acttion.org) public-private partnership met to examine the 2 approaches of which we are aware that have specifically been developed to assess these

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8605350

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8605350

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>