



Guidelines

Tracheotomy in the intensive care unit: Guidelines from a French expert panel[☆]

Jean-Louis Trouillet^a, Olivier Collange^{b,c}, Fouad Belafia^d, François Blot^e, Gilles Capellier^{f,g}, Eric Cesaro^{h,i}, Jean-Michel Constantin^{j,k}, Alexandre Demoule^{l,m}, Jean-Luc Diehl^{n,o}, Pierre-Grégoire Guinot^{p,q}, Franck Jegoux^r, Erwan L'Her^{s,t}, Charles-Edouard Luyt^{a,u}, Yazine Mahjoub^v, Julien Mayaux^{l,m}, Hervé Quintard^{w,x}, François Ravat^y, Sébastien Vergez^z, Julien Amour^{aa}, Max Quillot^{c,ab,*}, For the French Intensive Care Society, Max Quillot For the French Society of Anaesthesia and Intensive Care, Olivier Collange

^aService de réanimation, groupe hospitalier Pitié-Salpêtrière, Assistance publique-Hôpitaux de Paris, Paris, France

^bHôpitaux universitaires de Strasbourg, Nouvel Hôpital Civil, pôle d'anesthésie-réanimation chirurgicale, SAMU, SMURNHC, 1, place de l'Hôpital, 67000 Strasbourg, France

^cEA 3072, FMTS université de Strasbourg, Strasbourg, France

^dInserm, U1046, intensive care unit and department of anesthesiology, research unit, university of Montpellier, Saint-Éloi hospital, Montpellier school of medicine, Montpellier, France

^eMedical-surgical intensive care unit Gustave-Roussy, Cancer Campus, Villejuif, France

^fEA3920, université de Franche-Comté, CHRU de Besançon, 25000 Besançon, France

^gAustralian and New Zealand intensive care research centre, department of epidemiology and preventive medicine, Monash University Clayton, Australia

^hSAMU de Lyon and department of emergency medicine, Hospices Civils de Lyon, Edouard-Herriot hospital, Lyon, France

ⁱLyon Sud, school of medicine, university Lyon 1, Oullins, France

^jDepartment of preoperative medicine university hospital of Clermont-Ferrand, Clermont-Ferrand, France

^kEA-7281, R2D2, Auvergne University Clermont-Ferrand, France

^lInserm, UMRS1158 neurophysiologie respiratoire expérimentale et clinique

^mAP-HP, groupe hospitalier Pitié-Salpêtrière Charles-Foix, service de pneumologie et réanimation médicale du département, R3S, Sorbonne Université Paris, France

ⁿMedical ICU, Georges-Pompidou hospital, AP-HP, Paris, France

^oInserm UMR-S1140 Paris Descartes University and Sorbonne Paris Cité, Paris, France

^pAnaesthesiology and critical care department, Amiens University Hospital, place Victor-Pauchet, 80054 Amiens, France

^qInserm, U1088, Jules-Verne University of Picardy, 80054 Amiens, France

^rService ORL et chirurgie cervico-maxillofaciale, CHU de Pontchaillou, rue H.-Le-Guilloux, 35033 Rennes cedex 9, France

^sCeSim/LaTIM Inserm, UMR 1101, université de Bretagne Occidentale, rue Camille-Desmoulins, 29200 Brest cedex, France

^tMédecine intensive et réanimation CHRU de Brest, boulevard Tanguy-Prigent, 29200 Brest cedex, France

^uInserm, UMRS-1166, UPMC, université Paris 06, ICAN, institute of cardiometabolism and nutrition sorbonne universités, Paris, France

^vDepartment of anesthesia and intensive care, Amiens-Picardie, university Hospital, Amiens, France

^wRéanimation médico-chirurgicale, hôpital Pasteur 2, CHU de Nice, 30, voie Romaine, 06000 Nice, France

^xCNRS, UMR 7275, IPMC, Sophia Antipolis Valbonne, France

^yCentre des brûlés, centre hospitalier St-Joseph et St-Luc, 20, quai Claude-Bernard, 69007 Lyon, France

^zORL chirurgie cervicofaciale, CHU de Toulouse, Rangueil-Larrey, 24, chemin de Pourvoirville, 31059 Toulouse cedex 9, France

^{aa}Département d'anesthésie et de réanimation chirurgicale, institut de cardiologie, groupe hospitalier Pitié-Salpêtrière, 47–83, boulevard de l'Hôpital, 75013 Paris, France

^{ab}Hôpitaux universitaires de Strasbourg, hôpital de Hautepierre, réanimation médicale, avenue Molière, 67200 Strasbourg, France



[☆] This article is being published jointly in *Anaesthesia Critical Care & Pain Medicine* and *Annals of Intensive Care*. The manuscript validated by the board of the SRLF (12/13/2016) and the SFAR (12/15/2016).

Abbreviations: ALS, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis; GRADE, grading of recommendations assessment, development, and evaluation; PICO, patient intervention comparison outcome; SFAR, Société française d'anesthésie réanimation; SFMU, Société française de médecine d'urgence; SFORL, Société française d'otorhinolaryngologie; SRLF, Société de réanimation de langue française.

* Corresponding author. EA 3072, FMTS université de Strasbourg, Strasbourg, France.

E-mail addresses: olivier.collange@chru-strasbourg.fr (O. Collange), max.quillot@chru-strasbourg.fr (M. Quillot).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.accpm.2018.02.012>

2352-5568/© 2018 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Masson SAS on behalf of Société française d'anesthésie et de réanimation (Sfar). This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Available online xxx

ABSTRACT

Tracheotomy is widely used in intensive care units, albeit with great disparities between medical teams in terms of frequency and modality. Indications and techniques are, however, associated with variable levels of evidence based on inhomogeneous or even contradictory literature. Our aim was to conduct a systematic analysis of the published data in order to provide guidelines. We present herein recommendations for the use of tracheotomy in adult critically ill patients developed using the grading of recommendations assessment, development and evaluation (GRADE) method. These guidelines were conducted by a group of experts from the French Intensive Care Society (Société de réanimation de langue française) and the French Society of Anesthesia and Intensive Care Medicine (Société française d'anesthésie réanimation) with the participation of the French Emergency Medicine Association (Société française de médecine d'urgence), the French Society of Otorhinolaryngology. Sixteen experts and two coordinators agreed to consider questions concerning tracheotomy and its practical implementation. Five topics were defined: indications and contraindications for tracheotomy in intensive care, tracheotomy techniques in intensive care, modalities of tracheotomy in intensive care, management of patients undergoing tracheotomy in intensive care, and decannulation in intensive care. The summary made by the experts and the application of GRADE methodology led to the drawing up of 8 formal guidelines, 10 recommendations, and 3 treatment protocols. Among the 8 formal guidelines, 2 have a high level of proof (Grade 1 ±) and 6 a low level of proof (Grade 2 ±). For the 10 recommendations, GRADE methodology was not applicable and instead 10 expert opinions were produced.

© 2018 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Masson SAS on behalf of Société française d'anesthésie et de réanimation (Sfar). This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

For the Société de réanimation de langue française (SRLF) and the Société française d'anesthésie et de réanimation (SFAR) in collaboration with the Société française de médecine d'urgence (SFMU) and the Société française d'oto-rhino-laryngologie (SFORL).

1. Background

Tracheotomy is a procedure commonly used in intensive care, albeit with great disparities between medical teams in terms of frequency (5–54%) and modality (surgical or percutaneous) [1,2]. Although tracheotomy has a long history, its utility, indications, duration, and techniques are the subject of debate [3,4]. Also, the real or potential advantages of tracheotomy need to be weighed against its risks, which are rare but sometimes serious. The advantages are a reduction in pharyngolaryngeal lesions, lower risk of sinusitis, reduced sedation requirements, easier buccopharyngeal hygiene, improved patient comfort with easier communication, facilitated care by nursing personnel, maintenance of swallowing, possible glottic closure, simpler reinsertion in cases of accidental decannulation, and easier weaning from mechanical ventilation [5]. In some studies, early use of tracheotomy was associated with decreased incidence of ventilator-acquired pneumonia, reduced duration of mechanical ventilation and of intensive care, and so of costs, and decreased hospital mortality [6,7]. However, several recent randomized trials found no evidence of these benefits [8–11]. The most frequent complications can be qualified as minor (for example, minor stomal bleeding). Rare and life-threatening complications, such as lesions of the brachiocephalic artery trunk, have been reported.

Among the controversies surrounding tracheotomy in intensive care, the greatest is probably that of its indication. Tracheotomy is most often considered in cases of failed extubation and of prolonged mechanical ventilation. Three remarks are relevant here. First, there is currently no consensus regarding the contribution of failed extubation (one, two, three attempts? In what conditions?) and of prolonged mechanical ventilation. Second, it may be worthwhile preventing failure of extubation

and not adding the deleterious effects of prolonged intubation to those of tracheotomy. The intensivist should predict the failure of extubation and the duration of ventilation so as to perform tracheotomy without delay [5], but prediction of the duration of ventilation is an inexact "science" [12,13]. Third, the duration of mechanical ventilation and the success of extubation depend on intensive care management as a whole (notably the appropriate treatment of an infection, the water–sodium balance and acid–base balance, nutrition, and sedation). In particular, a sedation protocol is essential.

The most recent SRLF guidelines concerning the surgical approach to the trachea of ventilated patients in intensive care date back to 1998 [14]. There are no recent international guidelines and national guidelines are rare [15,16]. In the absence of clearly defined and unquestionable criteria, tracheotomy is most often decided solely by the medical team in charge of the patient. In the last ten or so years, the medical literature has been enriched by new clinical data, often compiled in the form of meta-analyses [17–19]. It was against this backdrop that the Société de réanimation de langue française (SRLF) and the Société française d'anesthésie et de réanimation (SFAR) decided to draw up the present guidelines entitled "Tracheotomy in the Intensive Care Unit". The aim of these guidelines is to define the indications, contraindications, modalities, and monitoring of tracheotomy in light of the current literature data.

2. Methods

These guidelines were prepared by a working group of experts from the SRLF and the SFAR. The organizing committee, together with the coordinators, first defined the questions to be addressed and then designated the experts in charge of each question. The questions were formulated according to the patient intervention comparison outcome (PICO) format. Grade of recommendation assessment, development and evaluation (GRADE) methodology was used to analyze the literature and formulate guidelines. A level of proof was defined for each bibliographical reference cited, as a function of the type of study. This level of proof could be reviewed in light of the methodological quality of the study. An overall level

Please cite this article in press as: Trouillet J-L, et al. Tracheotomy in the intensive care unit: Guidelines from a French expert panel. *Anaesth Crit Care Pain Med* (2018), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.accpm.2018.02.012>

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8608947>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8608947>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)