

#### Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

## SciVerse ScienceDirect

Procedia Engineering

Procedia Engineering 42 (2012) 892 - 907

www.elsevier.com/locate/procedia

20<sup>th</sup> International Congress of Chemical and Process Engineering CHISA 2012 25 – 29 August 2012, Prague, Czech Republic

# Hydrodynamics of airlift reactor with internal circulation loop: Experiment vs. CFD simulation

P. Lestinsky a\*, P. Vayrynen<sup>b</sup>, M. Vecer<sup>a</sup>, K. Wichterle<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Dept. of Chemistry, VSB-Technical University of Ostrava 17. listopadu 15, Ostrava 70833, Czech Republic <sup>b</sup>Dept. of Material Science and Engineering School of Chemical Technology, Aalto University, Vuorimiehentie 2, Espoo, Finland

#### Abstract

Effect of geometrical parameters on two phase hydrodynamics of airlift reactor is the main topic of present paper. Laboratory scale apparatus with internal circulation loop consists of concentric draft tube, in which a gas bubbles rising. Setup of draft tube inside of reactor is important geometry parameter and has big influence on two phase hydrodynamics. In experiment was studied influence of changes diameter of draft tube to hydrodynamics in airlift reactor. Results of experiments (liquid velocity and gas hold-up) were compared with the simple CFD simulations performed in COMSOL Multiphysics 3.5a. For each point of gas volumetric flow in simulation, were determined conditions of bubble diameter and bubble drag coefficient. Although bubble break-up and coalescence were neglected, the results of numerical simulation are in pretty good agreement with experimental data.

© 2012 Published by Elsevier Ltd. Selection under responsibility of the Congress Scientific Committee (Petr Kluson) Open access under CC BY-NC-ND license.

Keywords: Bubbles; airlift reactor; draft tube; CFD simulation

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +420 597 324 230; *E-mail address*: pavel.lestinsky@vsb.cz .

#### Nomenclature cross-section area to gas input (m<sup>2</sup>) $A_g$ turbulence modeling constant, $C_{\mu} = 0.09 (1)$ $C_{\mu}$ $C_{\sigma 1}$ turbulence modeling constant, $C_{\phi 1} = 1.44$ (1) turbulence modeling constant, $C_{\phi 2} = 1.92$ (1) $C_{\phi 2}$ bubble induced turbulence modeling constant, $C_k = 0.01 - 1$ (1) $C_k$ bubble induced turbulence modeling constant, $C_{o} = 1 - 1.9$ (1) $C_{\varphi}$ drag coefficient (1) $c_{\mathrm{D}}$ bubble diameter (m) $d_{b}$ volume force (interaction force) (N/m<sup>3</sup>) F gravity acceleration, $g = 9.81 \text{ (m}^2/\text{s)}$ g difference of level in reverse U-tube manometer in riser and downcomer (m) $\Delta h_{r,d}$ $K_{B,T}$ loss coefficient at the bottom and at the top (1) M molecular weight of gas, $M_{air} = 0.029$ (kg/mol) gas mass flux (kg/(m<sup>2</sup> s)) $N_{\rho}$ pressure difference (Pa) $\Delta p$ hydrostatic pressure (Pa) p input gas volumetric flow (m<sup>3</sup>) $Q_g$ universal gas constant, R = 8.314 (J/(mol.K)) R additional source term $(kg/(m^3.s))$ $S_k$ Т temperature of liquid, T = 288 (K)liquid velocity (m/s) $u_1$ superficial liquid velocity (m/s) $U_1$ gas velocity (m/s) $u_g$ $U_g$ superficial gas velocity (m/s) slip velocity (m/s) $u_{\rm slip}$ difference of height between delivery point from reverse U-tube manometer in riser and $\Delta z_{r,d}$ downcomer (m) dissipation rate of turbulent energy (m/s<sup>3</sup>) φ gas hold-up (1) $\epsilon_{\rm g}$ gas hold-up in riser and downcomer (1) $\epsilon_{gr,gd}$ liquid hold-up (1) $\epsilon_{l}$ viscosity of liquid (Pa.s) $\eta_1$

### Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/861647

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/861647

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>