ARTICLE IN PRESS

Journal of Oral Biosciences **(()**



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Oral Biosciences



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/job

Review Cortico-muscular communication for motor control of the tongue in humans: A review

Hitoshi Maezawa*

Department of Oral Physiology, Graduate School of Dental Medicine, Hokkaido University, Kita-ku Kita 13 Nishi 7, Sapporo, Hokkaido 060-8586, Japan

ARTICLE INFO

Received 7 January 2016

Received in revised form

Accepted 9 March 2016

Magnetoencephalography

Cortico-muscular coherence

Article history:

Keywords:

20 February 2016

Neural oscillation

Motor function

Hypoglossal nerve

ABSTRACT

Background: Sophisticated voluntary movements of the tongue are essential to speech articulation and swallowing in humans. Voluntary tongue movements are finely controlled by communications between the cortex and tongue muscles. A large number of previous studies have reported that functional connections between the cortex and muscles are reflected by cortico-muscular coherence (CMC), which is measured between electroencephalography (EEG) or magnetoencephalography (MEG) and surface electromyography (EMG), during isometric finger movements in humans. Recent studies indicate that CMC reflects a bi-directional flow of information between the cortex and fingers, along the descending corticospinal pathway and with ascending sensory feedback. However, CMC for the tongue has not been well-studied, despite the fact that increased knowledge would be helpful in understanding cortical entrainment of voluntary tongue movements.

Highlight: CMC was recently demonstrated using whole-head MEG signals and EMG signals from both sides of the tongue. CMC was reflected over both hemispheres, for each side of the tongue, and at two frequency bands during isometric tongue protrusions: the β band at 15–35 Hz and a low-frequency band at 2–10 Hz.

Conclusion: This review provides a detailed description of the functional connection between the bilateral cortex and each side of the tongue during sustained tongue movements in humans, using CMC analyses. © 2016 Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Japanese Association for Oral Biology.

Contents

1.	Introduction
2.	Neural oscillatory activity
3.	CMC as an indicator of functional connections
4.	Tongue CMC
	4.1. Beta frequency band
	4.2. Low frequency band
5.	Conclusions
Ethi	ical approval.
Con	flict of interest
Ack	nowledgments
Refe	erences.

1. Introduction

Sophisticated voluntary movements of the tongue are essential to speech articulation and swallowing in humans. These fine movements are regulated by descending motor signals from the

* Tel./fax: +81 11 706 4229.

E-mail address: maezawa@den.hokudai.ac.jp

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.job.2016.03.001

1349-0079/© 2016 Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Japanese Association for Oral Biology.

cortex to the muscles, and by afferent sensory feedback from the muscles to the cortex. Such functional connections between the cortex and muscles have been evaluated by analyzing oscillatory synchronization between cortical activity and muscle activity, which is referred to as cortico-muscular coherence (CMC) [1,2].

In order to elucidate the cortical processing necessary for preparation and control of limb movements, movement-related cortical activity has been extensively studied using electroencephalography

Please cite this article as: Maezawa H. Cortico-muscular communication for motor control of the tongue in humans: A review. J Oral Biosci (2016), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.job.2016.03.001

2

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

H. Maezawa / Journal of Oral Biosciences ■ (■■■) ■■■-■■■

(EEG) and magnetoencephalography (MEG), which accurately reflect activity in sensorimotor areas [3–8]. Movement-related cortical fields (MRCFs) using a whole-head MEG system revealed contralateral control of limb movements by cortical information processing in the primary sensorimotor cortex (SM1), with high spatiotemporal resolution [9]. However, there are relatively few MRCF studies of tongue movements [10], which is partially due to the technical difficulties that are inherent to MRCF recordings during tongue movements. Cortical fields may be contaminated by excessive magnetic artifacts associated with muscle activity in the orofacial region, due to the short distance between the area of movement and the recording area [11]. However, recording a weak isometric movement task for CMC analyses minimizes the magnetic artifacts associated with orofacial movements when used to elucidate the central mechanism for the tongue movement task.

2. Neural oscillatory activity

Synchronization of neural activity is essential for information processing in the nervous system. Neural oscillatory activity in the human cortex has been a focus of research ever since Hans Berger reported strong rhythmic activity in a localized frequency band (alpha; α band) that modulates with opening and closing of the eyes [12]. Subsequent studies revealed that oscillatory activity in the beta (β) frequency band in the SM1 changes based on motor output [13,14] and sensory input [15] from the limbs, indicating that β -band rhythms are related to sensorimotor function. Such transient decreases and increases in oscillatory cortical activity were quantitatively defined by Pfurtscheller and Araniber [16] in 1977 as event-related desynchronization (ERD) and synchronization (ERS), respectively.

Our recent MEG study reported that ERD/ERS in the β band (β -ERD/ERS) was also induced by electrical stimulation of the tongue, and stimulus-induced β -ERD/ERS could be suppressed during repetitive voluntary tongue movements, suggesting that sensorimotor functions of the tongue region may be coordinated through β -band cortical oscillations [17].

3. CMC as an indicator of functional connections

43 The amplitude of SM1 oscillatory activity within the β band 44 changes during passive, voluntary, and even imagined movements. 45 Moreover, β-band cortical oscillations demonstrate coherence 46 with EMGs in the periphery during sustained motor contractions 47 of the limbs, suggesting a possible role of direct corticospinal 48 connections to target muscles through the direct cortical spinal 49 pathway. Using a MEG system with a single-channel magnet-50 ometer, Conway et al. reported increased coherence between MEG 51 signals in the contralateral motor areas and the surface EMG signal 52 during muscle contractions [18]. There has since been extensive 53 research on CMC during sustained contraction of limb muscles 54 [1,2,19,20]. MEG studies have demonstrated that the frequency of 55 CMC changes depending on the strength of the muscular con-56 tractions [21]. More recent studies using directed coherence ana-57 lyses have revealed that CMC reflects the afferent ascending signal 58 from the muscles to the cortex, as well as the efferent descending 59 signal from the cortex to the muscles [22,23]. Mima et al. [24] 60 reported that efferent CMC, measured with EEG, was greater than 61 afferent CMC during finger muscle contraction. CMC is therefore a 62 useful method for revealing functional connections between the 63 cortex and muscles during sustained limb muscle contractions. 64 However, CMC in the tongue region is not well-studied, and 65 additional research would increase understanding of cortical entrainment related to voluntary tongue movements. 66

4. Tongue CMC

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

107

108

67

During tongue protrusion, the primary motor cortex (M1) controls movements via outputs to hypoglossal motor neurons. Laine et al. reported findings from an EEG study that single motor units recorded from the tongue muscles with needle electrodes during tongue protrusion exhibited cortical entrainment at frequencies between 15 and 40 Hz [25]. Recently, we demonstrated CMC between whole-head MEG signals and surface EMG signals from both sides of the tongue. Tongue CMC was observed in both hemispheres, for each side of the tongue, and at two frequency bands during isometric tongue protrusions: the β band at 15–35 Hz and a low-frequency band at 2–10 Hz [26,27].

4.1. Beta frequency band

Our recent study demonstrated that β -CMC was observed in both hemispheres and for each side of the tongue during isometric tongue protrusion [26], which contrasts with results detecting β -CMC only in the contralateral hemisphere for each side of the finger during bilateral finger contractions. Bilateral hemisphere β -CMC occurs because the tongue is bilaterally innervated by corticobulbar fibers via both hypoglossal nuclei. The amplitude of β -CMC was greater for the contralateral hemisphere than for the ipsilateral hemisphere, for each side of the tongue. These findings are consistent with the results of transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) studies demonstrating that unilateral cortical TMS elicited motor-evoked potentials (MEPs) bilaterally in the tongue, and that the amplitudes of MEPs on the contralateral side were greater than those on the ipsilateral side [28–30]. These results may reflect contralateral dominance in the functional connections from the cortex to the tongue during human isometric tongue protrusion.

In the previous study [26], time-domain analyses of β -CMC 100 indicated that the MEG signal preceded the EMG signal for both 101 the tongue and the finger, and that the time delay was shorter for 102 the tongue (mean, 9.1 ms) than the finger (mean, 18.0 ms). These 103 findings suggest that the time delay between the MEG and EMG 104 signals depended on the pathway length between the cortex and 105 target muscle. 106

4.2. Low frequency band

109 A previous study reported that CMC occurs at a low-frequency 110 band during slow, repetitive finger movements [31]. The authors 111 concluded that CMC at the low-frequency band (low-CMC) reflects 112 113 the neural mechanisms of intermittent motor control, providing common timing for synergistic muscles. Recent corticokinematic 114 coherence (CKC) studies have also detected synchronization 115 between the cortex and finger in the low-frequency band during 116 slow, repetitive finger movements [32-34]. Pittulainen et al. 117 reported a minimal contribution by active movement towards CKC 118 at the frequency of finger movement [32], since both active and 119 passive finger movement tasks resulted in significant CKC without 120 121 any consistent spatial difference in the source location between tasks. These studies concluded that SM1 was primarily activated 122 by proprioceptive reafferents, with negligible effects of cutaneous 123 input. In our recent low-CMC study of the tongue, we concluded 124 that low-CMC reflects proprioceptive afferents from the tongue to 125 the primary somatosensory cortex (S1) during isometric tongue 126 protrusion, since the MEG signal for low-CMC followed the EMG 127 signal and the cortical sources of low-CMC originated in S1 [27]. 128

In contrast with the consistent detection of low-CMC for the tongue, previous studies have not stably observed low-CMC for the fingers [1,18]. This finding may be explained by the different fiber composition of tongue muscles and limb muscles. The human 132 Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8624378

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8624378

Daneshyari.com