

Heart, Lung and Circulation (2017) xx, 1–10
1443-9506/04/\$36.00
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.hlc.2017.02.008>

Two Long-Term Mortality Risk Models For Coronary Artery Bypass Graft Surgery Produced in American Populations Validated in an Australian Population

Dr Matthew Kilpin^{a,c*}, Dr Arpit Talwar^a, Dr James Meneguzzi^a,
Dr Lavinia Tran^b, Professor Christopher Reid^b,
Associate Professor Philip Hayward^c

^aThe Alfred Hospital, Melbourne, Vic, Australia

^bMonash University, Melbourne, Vic, Australia

^cThe Austin Hospital, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

Received 8 December 2016; accepted 5 February 2017; online published-ahead-of-print xxx

Background

Short-term mortality prediction models have an important role in current cardiac surgical practice. There has been much less attention paid to prediction of long-term outcomes which are probably an equal marker both of surgeon performance and appropriateness of surgical treatment. The aim of this study was to assess the performance of the New York State Cardiac Surgery Reporting System (NYSCSRS) risk model and the Northern New England Cardiovascular Disease Study Group (NNECDSG) risk model on the Australian and New Zealand Society of Cardiac and Thoracic Surgeons (ANZSCTS) patient database.

Methods

The NYSCSRS and the NNECDSG risk models were applied to all patients undergoing isolated coronary artery bypass graft (CABG) surgery that had complete data, were over the age of 18 and had a body mass index between 12 and 78 kg/m². Predicted mortality was calculated using the published risk model formulae and compared with observed mortality, obtained via linkage with the National Death Index, at four time-points (one, three, five and seven years following surgery). Model discrimination and model calibration were tested at all four time points by determining the C-statistics for receiver operator characteristic (ROC) curves, and studying the Hosmer-Lemeshow chi-square tests, respectively.

Results

The NYSCSRS and NNECDSG risk models were applied to 34,961 and 34,998 patients, respectively. The NYSCSRS risk model over-predicted mortality by between 130% and 216% at all four time-points while the NNECDSG risk model under-predicted mortality at one year by 4.3% but over-predicted mortality at three, five and seven years by between 42.5% and 145.7%. The C-statistics obtained fell between 0.779 and 0.741 for the NYSCSRS risk model and between 0.785 and 0.752 for the NNECDSG risk model at all four time-points. Hosmer-Lemeshow chi-square tests returned P-values <0.001 at all four time-points for both risk models.

Conclusion

The NYSCSRS and NNECDSG risk models do not accurately predict long-term mortality following isolated CABG surgery in the ANZSCTS patient population. The use of either of these risk models is not appropriate in Australia.

Keywords

Coronary artery bypass graft • Long-term • Prediction • Risk model • Australia

*Corresponding author at: The Alfred Hospital, Prahran, Melbourne, Victoria, 3181, Australia. Email: matthew.kilpin@gmail.com

© 2017 Australian and New Zealand Society of Cardiac and Thoracic Surgeons (ANZSCTS) and the Cardiac Society of Australia and New Zealand (CSANZ). Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Introduction

There is an important role for accurate risk prediction models for long-term mortality in current cardiac surgical practice. Risk models aim to identify and weigh patient demographic characteristics and risk factors that influence specific patient outcomes. In cardiac surgery, risk models can be used in the performance profiling of surgeons, hospitals or countries where they can retrospectively adjust for case-mix differences between groups. They can also be used prospectively for patient counselling, informed consent and the identification of low- or high-risk patient subgroups that may require closer follow-up. Most of the interest in such models has centred on those that predict short-term outcomes.

Long-term mortality risk models are a relatively recent addition to cardiac surgery. Historically, short-term mortality risk factors were studied and then developed into short-term mortality risk models [1–9]. These were subsequently implemented into clinical decision-making and provider profiling worldwide. More recently, however, there has been a greater emphasis on long-term mortality risk factors. These are vastly published throughout the literature and have subsequently been developed into long-term mortality risk models and prediction tools [10–12]. Two of the currently available long-term risk models for coronary artery bypass graft (CABG) surgery, developed in the United States of America, include:

- New York State's Cardiac Surgery Reporting System (NYSCRS) risk model [10]
- Northern New England Cardiovascular Disease Study Group (NNECDSG) risk model [12]

Risk scoring systems are most applicable when the preoperative patient characteristics and treatment profiles are

comparable with those on which the system was originated. For this reason any risk scoring system can only be used reliably when its validity has been tested in the local patient population [13]. Therefore, without validation within an Australian dataset, the long-term risk models listed above have limited use for cardiac surgery within Australia.

The NYSCRS risk model is reported to predict mortality up to seven years following surgery whilst the NNECDSG risk model is reported to predict mortality up to eight years following surgery. The formulae from these two risk-models was applied within the Australian and New Zealand Society of Cardiac and Thoracic Surgeons (ANZSCTS) database to study its ability to stratify risk within this population.

Material and Methods

Database

The ANZSCTS National Cardiac Surgery Database Program has prospectively collected information on adult patients undergoing cardiac surgery in Australia since 2001. Currently, 28 hospitals across Australia are contributing data to the registry. The registry collects 287 preoperative, intra-operative and postoperative variables using internationally standardised data definitions [7,14]. The data collection and its audit methods were discussed previously [1,7]. The registry was used to formulate the Australian population used within this study.

The development and validation of both the NYSCRS and NNECDSG long-term risk models have been previously described [10,12]. Table 1 compares the risk factors used in each of the two long-term risk models. A large proportion of risk factors are similar between the two risk models. The

Table 1 Comparison of risk factors within each long-term mortality prediction tool.

Risk factor	NYSCRS risk model	NNECDSG risk model
Age	✓	✓
BMI	✓	✓
Cerebrovascular disease	✓	
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	✓	✓
Congestive heart failure	✓	
Diabetes	✓	✓
Ejection fraction	✓	✓
Gender		✓
Haemodynamically unstable or shock	✓	
Left main coronary artery disease	✓	✓
Malignant ventricular arrhythmia	✓	
Myocardial infarction history		✓
Number of diseased vessels		✓
Peripheral vascular disease	✓	✓
Prior open heart/CABG operations	✓	✓
Renal failure/kidney function	✓	✓
Status (elective, urgent, salvage, emergent)		✓
White blood cell count		✓

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8659858>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8659858>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)