ARTICLE IN PRESS

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Heart, Lung and Circulation (2017) xx, 1–7 1443-9506/04/\$36.00 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.hlc.2017.01.008

Improving Guideline Compliance in Australia With a National Percutaneous Coronary Intervention Outcomes Registry

David Eccleston, MBBS MMedSci FRACP FACC a*, Mark Horrigan, MBBS FRACP b, Tony Rafter, BPharm, MBBS (Hons), FRACP, FCSANZ c, Geoffrey Holt, MBBS FRACP FCSANZ c, Stephen G. Worthley, MBBS PhD FRACP, FACC, FCSANZ, FRCP d, Peter Sage, MBBS FRACP e, Alan Whelan, MBBS BSc MRCP (UK) FRACP FCSANZ f, Christopher Reid, PhD, MSc, Dip Ed, BA, FCSANZ, FESC NHMRC Senior Research Fellow Professor and Director g, Peter L Thompson, MD MBA FRACP FACC FACP FCSANZ Senior author h, for the GCOR Investigators

Received 9 March 2016; received in revised form 19 November 2016; accepted 6 January 2017; online published-ahead-of-print xxx

Background

Secondary prevention strategies after percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) include statins and dual anti-platelet therapy, however there are significant gaps between guidelines and practice. Contemporary PCI practice requires comprehensive data collection to allow dynamic auditing and benchmarking of key performance and safety indices.

Genesis HeartCare is Australia's largest collaborative venture of cardiologists, practising at over 40 public and private hospitals. We hypothesised that measurement and local reporting of data would improve patient outcomes through improving compliance with guideline therapies.

Methods

Real-time benchmarking via a national clinical quality and outcomes register, the Genesis Cardiovascular Outcomes Registry (GCOR-PCI). GCOR-PCI prospectively collected clinical, procedural, medication and outcomes data for 6720 consecutive patients undergoing PCI from 10 private hospitals across Australia. Key performance outcomes benchmarked against the aggregated study cohort and international standards were

*Corresponding author at: Suite 22, Melbourne Private Hospital, Royal Parade, Parkville 3050, VIC Australia. Tel.: 03 93495333; Fax: 03 93476760., Email: david. eccleston@mh.org.au

© 2017 Australian and New Zealand Society of Cardiac and Thoracic Surgeons (ANZSCTS) and the Cardiac Society of Australia and New Zealand (CSANZ). Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Please cite this article in press as: Eccleston D, et al. Improving Guideline Compliance in Australia With a National Percutaneous Coronary Intervention Outcomes Registry. Heart, Lung and Circulation (2017), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.hlc.2017.01.008

^aGenesisCare, Sydney, NSW, Australia, Department of Medicine, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Vic, Australia, Cardiology Department, Royal Melbourne Hospital, Melbourne, Vic, Australia

^bAustin Health, HeartCare Victoria, Australia

^cHeartCare Partners Brisbane, Queensland, Australia

^dRoyal Adelaide Hospital Adelaide Cardiology, Adelaide, SA, Australia

^eAdelaide Cardiology, Adelaide, SA, Australia

^fHeartCare WA, Perth, WA, Australia

⁸NHMRC Centre of Research Excellence in Cardiovascular Outcomes Improvement, Curtin University Director, CCRE Therapeutics, Monash University, Australia

^hCardiologist and Director of the Heart Research Institute Sir Charles Gairdner Hospital Clinical Professor of Medicine University of Western Australia Deputy Director, Harry Perkins Institute of Medical Research, Perth, WA, Australia

D. Eccleston *et al.*

	reported to individual sites. The main outcome measure was compliance with guideline medications (statins, anti-platelet agents).	
Results	Early data identified specific practice patterns associated with lower rates of statin therapy post-PCI, which led to changes in practice. Between the first and latest year of data collection there was significant improvement in the rates of statin therapy at discharge (92.1 vs. 94.4% p $<$ 0.03) and 12 months post-PCI (87.0 vs. 92.2% p $<$ 0.001) and of antiplatelet therapy at 12 months (90.7 vs. 94.3% p $<$ 0.001).	
Conclusions	This large-scale collaboration provides a platform for the development of quality improvement initiatives. Establishment of this clinical quality registry improved patient care by identifying and monitoring gaps in delivery of appropriate therapies, driving key practice change.	
Keywords	Percutaneous • coronary intervention • Quality • Outcomes • Registry • Guidelines • Compliance.	

Introduction

Despite increasing percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) volumes and health care expenditure in Australia, there are no contemporary national data regarding the demographic, procedural and long-term outcomes after PCI [1]. Public reporting of patient outcomes following hospitalisation in Australia is limited compared with other countries [2]. The last report nearly a decade ago indicating procedural volumes of 35,000 PCIs annually does not address these issues or others such as device safety and guideline compliance [1].

Further, although an Australian cardiac procedures database has been proposed since 2001, progress towards establishing a unified, systematic approach to data collection, with a common minimum dataset pertinent to the Australian context, and quality control measures to ensure integrity and privacy of data, has been limited [3,4]. Initial attempts at a selective PCI registry were limited by a paucity of demographic and procedural data and lack of long-term outcome data, with few hospitals participating [1]. Efforts to supplement missing data with the National Hospital Morbidity Database were published infrequently, with no contemporary information provided to centres or clinicians that could be used to improve patient care, and little in the past 10 years.

Cardiovascular registries characterise patients and describe the manner and use of therapeutic strategies [5]. They facilitate analyses on the quality of care among participating institutions and document variations in clinical practice that can be benchmarked against best practice recommendations [6]. Several large multi-centre registries have evaluated outcomes after PCI in the USA, and an important step was the establishment of data collection from many Victorian public hospitals via the Melbourne Interventional Group [6,7]. Nonetheless, despite increasing PCI volumes, and repeated calls for a national registry, there is still currently no ongoing, comprehensive systematic Australian PCI database providing long-term procedural and outcome data, although recently the Cardiac Society of Australia and New Zealand has been working towards a national registry. Finally, there are virtually no data concerning patients treated in private hospitals, although nearly 50% of all PCIs in Australia are performed in private hospitals [1,8].

Genesis HeartCare Cardiovascular Outcomes PCI Registry (GCOR-PCI)

GCOR-PCI is a prospective Australian clinical quality Registry established in 2009 that describes the contemporary management, in-hospital and long-term outcomes of patients

Table 1 Participating Centres.			
State	Hospital Name	On site Cardiac Surgery	
QLD	Wesley	Yes	
QLD	Greenslopes	Yes	
QLD	Mater	No	
QLD	Sunshine Coast	No	
VIC	Warringal	Yes	
VIC	Valley Private	No	
SA	Wakefield	Yes	
SA	St Andrews	Yes	
WA	Mount Hospital	Yes	
WA	St John Of God Murdoch	No	

Please cite this article in press as: Eccleston D, et al. Improving Guideline Compliance in Australia With a National Percutaneous Coronary Intervention Outcomes Registry. Heart, Lung and Circulation (2017), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.hlc.2017.01.008

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8660027

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8660027

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>