

Bivalirudin or Heparin in Patients Undergoing Invasive Management of Acute Coronary Syndromes



Giuseppe Gargiulo, MD,^{a,b} Greta Carrara, STAT,^c Enrico Frigoli, MD,^a Pascal Vranckx, MD, PhD,^d Sergio Leonardi, MD, MHS,^e Nestor Ciociano, PHARM,^f Gianluca Campo, MD, PhD,^{g,h} Ferdinando Varbella, MD,ⁱ Paolo Calabrò, MD, PhD,^j Stefano Garducci, MD,^k Alessandro Iannone, MD,^l Carlo Briguori, MD, PhD,^m Giuseppe Andò, MD, PhD,ⁿ Gabriele Crimi, MD,^{d,o} Ugo Limbruno, MD,^p Roberto Garbo, MD,^q Paolo Sganzerla, MD,^r Filippo Russo, MD,^s Alessandro Lupi, MD,^t Bernardo Cortese, MD,^{u,v} Arturo Ausiello, MD,^w Salvatore Ierna, MD,^x Giovanni Esposito, MD, PhD,^b Dennis Zavalloni, MD,^y Andrea Santarelli, MD,^z Gennaro Sardella, MD,^{aa} Simone Tresoldi, MD,^{bb} Nicoletta de Cesare, MD,^{cc} Alessandro Sciahbasi, MD, PhD,^{dd} Antonio Zingarelli, MD,^{ee} Paolo Tosi, MD,^{ff} Arnoud van 't Hof, MD, PhD,^{gg} Elmir Omerovic, MD,^{hh} Salvatore Brugaletta, MD,ⁱⁱ Stephan Windecker, MD,^a Marco Valgimigli, MD, PhD^a

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND Contrasting evidence exists on the comparative efficacy and safety of bivalirudin and unfractionated heparin (UFH) in relation to the planned use of glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors (GPIs).

OBJECTIVES This study assessed the efficacy and safety of bivalirudin compared with UFH with or without GPIs in patients with acute coronary syndrome (ACS) who underwent invasive management.

METHODS In the MATRIX (Minimizing Adverse Haemorrhagic Events by Transradial Access Site and Systemic Implementation of AngioX) program, 7,213 patients were randomly assigned to receive either bivalirudin or UFH with or without GPIs at discretion of the operator. The 30-day coprimary outcomes were major adverse cardiovascular events (MACEs) (a composite of death, myocardial infarction, or stroke), and net adverse clinical events (NACEs) (a composite of MACEs or major bleeding).

RESULTS Among 3,603 patients assigned to receive UFH, 781 (21.7%) underwent planned treatment with GPI before coronary intervention. Bailout use of GPIs was similar between the bivalirudin and UFH groups (4.5% and 5.4%) ($p = 0.11$). At 30 days, the 2 coprimary endpoints of MACEs and NACEs, as well as individual endpoints of mortality, myocardial infarction, stent thrombosis or stroke did not differ among the 3 groups after adjustment. Compared with the UFH and UFH+GPI groups, bivalirudin reduced bleeding, mainly the most severe bleeds, including fatal and nonaccess site–related events, as well as transfusion rates and the need for surgical access site repair. These findings were not influenced by the administered intraprocedural dose of UFH and were confirmed at multiple sensitivity analyses, including the randomly allocated access site.

CONCLUSIONS In patients with ACS, the rates of MACEs and NACEs were not significantly lower with bivalirudin than with UFH, irrespective of planned GPI use. However, bivalirudin significantly reduced bleeding complications, mainly those not related to access site, irrespective of planned use of GPIs. (Minimizing Adverse Haemorrhagic Events by Transradial Access Site and Systemic Implementation of AngioX [MATRIX]; [NCT01433627](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2018.01.033)) (J Am Coll Cardiol 2018;71:1231-42) © 2018 by the American College of Cardiology Foundation.



Listen to this manuscript's audio summary by JACC Editor-in-Chief Dr. Valentin Fuster.



From the ^aDepartment of Cardiology, Bern University Hospital, Bern, Switzerland; ^bDepartment of Advanced Biomedical Sciences, Federico II University of Naples, Naples, Italy; ^cAdvice Pharma Group S.r.l., Milan, Italy; ^dDepartment of Cardiology and Critical Care Medicine, Hartcentrum Hasselt, Jessa Ziekenhuis, and Faculty of Medicine and Life Sciences Hasselt University, Hasselt, Belgium; ^eSC Terapia Intensiva Cardiologica, Fondazione IRCCS Policlinico San Matteo, Pavia, Italy; ^fEUSTRATEGY Association, Forlì, Italy; ^gCardiovascular Institute, Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria di Ferrara, Cona (FE), Italy; ^hMaria Cecilia Hospital, GVM Care and Research, Cotignola (RA), Italy; ⁱCardiology Unit, Ospedali Riuniti di Rivoli, ASL Torino 3, Turin, Italy; ^jDivision of Cardiology, Department of Cardiothoracic Sciences, University of Campania "Luigi Vanvitelli", Naples, Italy; ^kStruttura complessa di Cardiologia ASST di Vimercate, Italy; ^lDepartment of Cardiology, ASL3 Ospedale Villa Scassi, Genoa, Italy; ^mInterventional

ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

ACS = acute coronary syndrome(s)

CABG = coronary artery bypass grafting

GPI = glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitor

MACE = major adverse cardiovascular event

NACE = net adverse clinical event

NSTE-ACS = non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndrome(s)

PCI = percutaneous coronary intervention

ST = stent thrombosis

TIMI = Thrombolysis In Myocardial Infarction

UFH = unfractionated heparin

The most effective antithrombotic therapy in patients with an acute coronary syndrome (ACS) who are undergoing a percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) remains strongly debated (1-3). Unfractionated heparin (UFH) (with or without planned glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors [GPIs]) and bivalirudin are 2 of the most commonly used antithrombotic strategies and have been compared in different trials since the 1990s (4). Conflicting data have accumulated since then, so that the comparative safety and effectiveness profile of bivalirudin compared with UFH alone in current practice remains unclear.

Although some trials, including EURO-MAX (European Ambulance Acute Coronary Syndrome Angiography Trial) (5,6) and BRIGHT (Bivalirudin in Acute Myocardial Infarction vs Heparin and GPI Plus Heparin Trial) (7), have shown benefits in terms

of major bleeding reduction related to bivalirudin use, irrespective of GPI use in the UFH arm, the HEAT-PPCI (How Effective are Antithrombotic Therapies in Primary Percutaneous Coronary Intervention) and the most recent VALIDATE-SWEDEHEART (Bivalirudin versus Heparin in

ST-Segment and Non-ST-Segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction in Patients on Modern Antiplatelet Therapy in the Swedish Web System for Enhancement and Development of Evidence-based Care in Heart Disease Evaluated according to Recommended Therapies Registry Trial) studies showed that heparin alone did not increase bleeding events compared with bivalirudin (8,9). Because planned use of GPIs in patients who receive UFH has been reduced, this discrepancy is notable.

SEE PAGE 1243

Therefore, we pre-specified to examine the comparative efficacy and safety profile of bivalirudin compared with UFH alone or with UFH+GPI in the context of the largest contemporary trial to assess the value of bivalirudin in an all-comer ACS population and the only study that allocated access site by random selection.

METHODS

STUDY DESIGN. The MATRIX (Minimizing Adverse Haemorrhagic Events by Transradial Access Site and Systemic Implementation of AngioX) antithrombin study is a randomized, multicenter trial that compared bivalirudin (the use of GPIs was restricted

Cardiology Unit, Clinica Mediterranea, Naples, Italy; ⁹Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria Policlinico "Gaetano Martino", University of Messina, Messina, Italy; ¹⁰SC Cardiologia, Fondazione IRCCS Policlinico San Matteo, Pavia, Italy; ¹¹UO Cardiologia, Azienda USL Toscana Sudest, Grosseto, Italy; ¹²Interventional Cardiology Unit, Ospedale San Giovanni Bosco, Turin, Italy; ¹³ASST Bergamo ovest, Ospedale di Treviglio (BG), Italy; ¹⁴Cardiovascular Interventional Unit, Cardiology Department, S. Anna Hospital, Como, Italy; ¹⁵University Hospital "Maggiore della Carità", Novara, Italy; ¹⁶ASST Fatebenefratelli-Sacco, Milan, Italy; ¹⁷Fondazione Monasterio-CNR-Regione Toscana, Toscana, Italy; ¹⁸Casa di Cura Villa Verde, Taranto, Italy; ¹⁹Simple Departmental Emodynamic Structure, Ospedale Sirai-Carbonia, Carbonia, Italy; ²⁰Humanitas Research Hospital, IRCCS, Rozzano, Italy; ²¹Cardiovascular Department, Infermi Hospital, Rimini, Italy; ²²Department of Cardiovascular, Respiratory, Nephrologic, Anesthesiologic and Geriatric Sciences, Policlinico Umberto I, "Sapienza", University of Rome, Rome, Italy; ²³Struttura complessa di Emodinamica, ASST Monza, Ospedale di Desio, Italy; ²⁴Policlinico San Marco, Zingonia, Italy; ²⁵Interventional Cardiology, Sandro Pertini Hospital, Rome, Italy; ²⁶Clinic of Cardiovascular Disease, IRCCS Policlinico San Martino, Genoa, Italy; ²⁷Mater Salutis Hospital-Legnago, Verona, Italy; ²⁸Maastricht University Medical Center, and Zuyderland MC, Maastricht, the Netherlands; ²⁹Sahlgrenska University Hospital, Göteborg, Sweden; and the ³⁰Clinic Cardiovascular Institute, University Hospital Clinic, IDIBAPS (Institut d'Investigacions Biomèdiques August Pi i Sunyer), Barcelona, Spain. The trial was sponsored by the Società Italiana di Cardiologia Invasiva (GISE, a non-profit organization), which received grant support from The Medicines Company and TERUMO. This substudy did not receive any direct or indirect funding. Dr. Gargiulo has received research grant support from the Cardiopath PhD program. Dr. Vranckx has received speaking or consulting fees from Bayer Health Care and Daiichi-Sankyo. Dr. Leonardi has received grants and personal fees from AstraZeneca; and personal fees from Chiesi, Daiichi-Sankyo, and The Medicines Company. Dr. Varbella has received speaking or consulting fees from Boehringer Ingelheim, Daiichi-Sankyo, Bayer, Pfizer, AstraZeneca, OrbusNeich, Biosensors, AbbottVascular, Amgen, and Bristol-Myers Squibb; and has received grants from Medtronic, Boston Scientific, Abbott, St. Jude, Biosensors, CID Alvimedica, and Abbott Vascular. Dr. Andô has received nonfinancial support from Terumo during the study; personal fees from Daiichi-Sankyo, Pfizer, and AstraZeneca; and personal fees and nonfinancial support from Bayer. Dr. Cortese has received research grants from AB Medica, Abbott, St. Jude Medical, and Stentys; and personal fees from Abbott, AstraZeneca, Daiichi-Sankyo, and Eli Lilly and Stentys. Dr. Sciahbasi has served as advisory board member for Bayer HealthCare. Dr. van't Hof has received speaker fees from The Medicines Company; has received unrestricted grants from Medtronic and AstraZeneca; and has served as Executive Board member of the EUROMAX trial. Dr. Omervoic has been a member of the advisory board for Boston Scientific; and has received a research grant from AstraZeneca. Dr. Windecker has received research grants from Abbott, Amgen, Bracco, Boston Scientific, Biotronick, St. Jude, and Terumo. Dr. Valgimigli has received grants from The Medicines Company, Terumo, and AstraZeneca; and has received personal fees from Terumo, St. Jude Vascular, and Abbott Vascular. All other authors have reported that they have no relationships relevant to the contents of this paper to disclose.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8666365>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8666365>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)