



# Clinical Applications of Ultrasonic Enhancing Agents in Echocardiography: 2018 American Society of Echocardiography Guidelines Update

Thomas R. Porter, MD, FASE (Chair), Sharon L. Mulvagh, MD, FASE (Co-Chair),  
 Sahar S. Abdelmoneim, MBBCH, MSc, MS, FASE, Harald Becher, MD, PhD,  
 J. Todd Belcik, BS, ACS, RDCS, FASE, Michelle Bierig, MPH, ACS, RDCS, FASE,  
 Jonathan Choy, MD, MBA, FASE, Nicola Gaibazzi, MD, PhD, Linda D. Gillam, MD, MPH, FASE,  
 Rajesh Janardhanan, MD, MRCP, FASE, Shelby Kutty, MD, PhD, MHCM, FASE,  
 Howard Leong-Poi, MD, FASE, Jonathan R. Lindner, MD, FASE, Michael L. Main, MD, FASE,  
 Wilson Mathias, Jr., MD, Margaret M. Park, BS, ACS, RDCS, RVT, FASE, Roxy Senior, MD, DM,  
 and Flordeliza Villanueva, MD, *Omaha, Nebraska; Rochester, Minnesota; Edmonton, Alberta, Canada; Portland, Oregon; Fort Myers, Florida; Parma, Italy; Morristown, New Jersey; Tucson, Arizona; Toronto, Ontario, Canada; Kansas City, Missouri; São Paulo, Brazil; Cleveland, Ohio; London, United Kingdom; and Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania*

This document is endorsed by the following American Society of Echocardiography International Alliance Partners: the Argentinian Federation of Cardiology, the British Society of Echocardiography, the Canadian Society of Echocardiography, the Chinese Society of Echocardiography, the Echocardiography Section of the Cuban Society of Cardiology, the Indian Academy of Echocardiography, the Indian Association of Cardiovascular Thoracic Anaesthesiologists, the Iranian Society of Echocardiography, the Japanese Society of Echocardiography, the Korean Society of Echocardiography, the Saudi Arabian Society of Echocardiography, and the Vietnamese Society of Echocardiography.

**Keywords:** Echocardiography, Contrast, Guidelines, Imaging

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Introduction	242
II. Comparing UEAs	246
III. Recommendations for Imaging of UEAs	246

IV. Clinical Applications	247
IV.A. Update on Quantification of LV Volumes, LVEF, and RWM	247
IV.B. Update on Intracardiac Abnormalities	249

From the University of Nebraska Medical Center, Omaha, Nebraska (T.R.P., S.K.); the Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota (S.L.M., S.S.A.); the Alberta Heart Institute and University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada (H.B., J.C.); Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, Oregon (J.T.B., J.R.L.); Lee Health, Fort Myers, Florida (M.B.); Parma University Hospital, Parma, Italy (N.G.); Morristown Medical Center, Morristown, New Jersey (L.D.G.); Banner University Medical Center, Tucson, Arizona (R.J.); St. Michael's Hospital, Toronto, Ontario, Canada (H.L.-P.); Saint Luke's Mid America Heart Institute, Kansas City, Missouri (M.L.M.); the Heart Institute, The University of São Paulo School of Medicine, São Paulo, Brazil (W.M.); The Cleveland Clinic Heart and Vascular Institute, Cleveland, Ohio (M.M.P.); Royal Brompton Hospital and Imperial College, London, United Kingdom (R.S.); and the University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania (F.V.).

The following authors reported no actual or potential conflicts of interest in relation to this document: Sahar S. Abdelmoneim, MBBCH, MSc, MS, FASE, Michelle Bierig, MPH, ACS, RDCS, FASE, Linda D. Gillam, MD, FASE, Shelby Kutty, MD, PhD, MHCM, FASE, Wilson Mathias, Jr., MD, and Flordeliza Villanueva, MD. The following authors reported relationships with one or more commercial interests: Thomas R. Porter, MD, FASE, has received educational support from Bracco Imaging and is a speaker at Bracco Imaging educational programs. He has received prior grant support from Lantheus Medical and GE Healthcare. He has received equipment support from Philips Healthcare. Sharon L. Mulvagh, MD, FASE, has served as a consultant for Bracco Diagnostics and has received investigator-initiated research grants from GE Healthcare, Lantheus Medical Imaging, and Bracco Diagnostics. Harald Becher, MD, PhD, has received research support (software), a research grant, and an honorarium for satellite symposia and courses from Bracco and research support (software) from Siemens and Philips. J. Todd Belcik, BS, ACS, RDCS, FASE, has presented talks on behalf of Bracco. Jonathan Choy, MD, MBA, FASE, has served

as a course director and speaker and has received an unrestricted educational grant from Philips Healthcare, has served as a consultant for Bracco Imaging, and was on the advisory board for Aspen Canada. Nicola Gaibazzi, MD, PhD, has served as a lecturer and consultant and has participated in sponsored studies for Bracco Imaging. Rajesh Janardhanan MD, MRCP, FASE, has served on the speakers bureau for Lantheus. Howard Leong-Poi, MD, FASE, has served on the speakers bureau for Lantheus and as a site investigator for a clinical trial run for Bracco Imaging. Jonathan R. Lindner, MD, FASE, has received an investigator-initiated grant from GE Healthcare. Michael L. Main, MD, FASE, has received research grants from Bracco Imaging and Boston Scientific and has served as a consultant for Boston Scientific. Margaret M. Park, BS, ACS, RDCS, RVT, FASE, has served on the speakers bureau for Lantheus Medical and was a Sonographer Contrast Task Force member for Bracco Imaging. Roxy Senior, MD, DM, has received speaking fees from Philips Healthcare, Bracco Imaging, and Lantheus Medical Imaging.

Reprint requests: American Society of Echocardiography, Meridian Corporate Center, 2530 Meridian Parkway, Suite 450, Durham, NC 27713 (E-mail: [ase@asecho.org](mailto:ase@asecho.org)).

### Attention ASE Members:

Visit [www.aseuniversity.org](http://www.aseuniversity.org) to earn free continuing medical education credit through an online activity related to this article. Certificates are available for immediate access upon successful completion of the activity. Nonmembers will need to join the ASE to access this great member benefit!

0894-7317/\$36.00

Copyright 2018 by the American Society of Echocardiography.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.echo.2017.11.013>

## Abbreviations

<b>2D</b>	= Two-dimensional
<b>3D</b>	= Three-dimensional
<b>ASE</b>	= American Society of Echocardiography
<b>CAD</b>	= Coronary artery disease
<b>CHD</b>	= Congenital heart disease
<b>CMRI</b>	= Cardiac magnetic resonance imaging
<b>COR</b>	= Class of recommendation
<b>CPT</b>	= Current Procedural Terminology
<b>CT</b>	= Computed tomography
<b>DSE</b>	= Dobutamine stress echocardiography
<b>DUS</b>	= Diagnostic ultrasound
<b>ECG</b>	= Electrocardiography
<b>ED</b>	= Emergency department
<b>FDA</b>	= US Food and Drug Administration
<b>ICU</b>	= Intensive care unit
<b>IV</b>	= Intravenous
<b>LOE</b>	= Level of evidence
<b>LV</b>	= Left ventricular
<b>LVEF</b>	= Left ventricular ejection fraction
<b>LVO</b>	= Left ventricular opacification
<b>MBV</b>	= Microvascular blood volume
<b>MCE</b>	= Myocardial contrast echocardiography
<b>MI</b>	= Mechanical index
<b>MP</b>	= Myocardial perfusion
<b>OR</b>	= Odds ratio
<b>PAD</b>	= Peripheral arterial disease
<b>RCT</b>	= Randomized controlled trial
<b>RTMCE</b>	= Real-time myocardial contrast echocardiography
<b>RWM</b>	= Regional wall motion
<b>SPECT</b>	= Single-photon emission computed tomography
<b>STEMI</b>	= ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction
<b>TEE</b>	= Transesophageal echocardiography
<b>TTE</b>	= Transthoracic echocardiography
<b>UEA</b>	= Ultrasound enhancing agent
<b>UTMD</b>	= Ultrasound-targeted microbubble destruction
<b>VLMI</b>	= Very low mechanical index

IV.C. Stress Echocardiography 252

IV.D. Vascular Imaging: Carotid, Femoral, Aortic, and Endografts 258

IV.E. Contrast Echocardiography in Critical and Emergency Settings 259

IV.F. Use of Contrast Agents in Congenital Heart Disease and Pediatric Echocardiography 262

V. Update on Safety and Indications for UEAs in Adults 263

VI. Echocardiography Laboratory Implementation of Contrast Agent Use 264

VI.A. Physicians 264

VI.B. Sonographers 264

VII. Emerging Applications 265

VII.A. Sonothrombolysis 265

VII.B. Molecular Imaging 265

VII.C. Targeted Drug and Gene Delivery 266

VII.D. Flow Augmentation with Diagnostic UTMD 267

VIII. Cost-Effectiveness of UEAs 267

VIII.A. Reducing Costs per Patient 267

VIII.B. Improving Positive Predictive Value 268

VIII.C. Improving the Emergent Evaluation of a Patient 268

IX. Summary of Recommendations for UEA Use for Echocardiography and Additional Resources 268

## I. INTRODUCTION

The use of ultrasound enhancing agents (UEAs) has become an integral component of echocardiography practice. Since the 2008 American Society of Echocardiography (ASE) consensus statement on clinical applications of ultrasound contrast agents,<sup>1</sup> there have been several important developments that require the document be revised into a guidelines paper.

1. The term *ultrasound contrast agents*, describing a class of products comprising microbubbles to enhance ultrasound signals,<sup>2-5</sup> was replaced with the less conflicting term *ultrasound enhancing agent*. Although the Writing Group understands the need for this terminology in helping patients and referring physicians distinguish these substances from iodinated contrast agents or gadolinium chelates, it was considered equally acceptable to refer to these agents as contrast agents and the imaging techniques as contrast echocardiography or myocardial contrast echocardiography (MCE).
2. The Intersocietal Accreditation Commission has required that policies be in place for UEA use (section 1.6.2.4B, updated June 1, 2017) in specific clinical settings in which UEAs are required.<sup>6</sup>
3. The safety of UEAs has been documented in several different clinical scenarios (stress echocardiography, pulmonary hypertension, intracardiac shunting) as well as in emergency department (ED), critical care, and pediatric settings.<sup>5</sup> Propensity-matched studies have not only documented safety but also demonstrated the potential value and importance of early UEA use in improving patient outcomes (Table 1). These large single- and multicenter studies have led to changes in the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) boxed warnings regarding UEA use in pulmonary hypertension, critical care settings, and more recently, known or suspected right-to-left shunts.
4. Numerous clinical trials have demonstrated the safety and efficacy of UEAs in new stress echocardiography settings (dipyridamole, adenosine, regadenoson, bicycle, and treadmill), as well as in different resting conditions in which regional wall motion (RWM) and perfusion information provide significant incremental value in predicting patient outcomes (Table 2).
5. The use of myocardial perfusion (MP) imaging with UEAs has increased, specifically in the setting of stress echocardiography, chest pain evaluation in the ED, and in the evaluation of intracardiac masses.<sup>25,34,35</sup> The American Medical Association Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) Panel approved a category III ("emerging technology") CPT code (+0439T) for "myocardial contrast perfusion echocardiography; at rest or with stress, for assessment of myocardial ischemia or viability" (effective July 1, 2016) for the use of perfusion imaging as an add-on to the following base CPT codes: 93306, 93307, 93308, 93350, and 93351. Although this category III code is not reimbursed by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services in the United States, approval of this code acknowledges the significant incremental value of MP with UEAs in several clinical settings.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8667325>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8667325>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)