

Approach to the Patient With Hematochezia



Thomas G. Cotter, MD; Niamh S. Buckley; and Conor G. Loftus, MD

CME Activity

Target Audience: The target audience for *Mayo Clinic Proceedings* is primarily internal medicine physicians and other clinicians who wish to advance their current knowledge of clinical medicine and who wish to stay abreast of advances in medical research.

Statement of Need: General internists and primary care physicians must maintain an extensive knowledge base on a wide variety of topics covering all body systems as well as common and uncommon disorders. *Mayo Clinic Proceedings* aims to leverage the expertise of its authors to help physicians understand best practices in diagnosis and management of conditions encountered in the clinical setting.

Accreditation: Mayo Clinic College of Medicine and Science is accredited by the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education to provide continuing medical education for physicians.

Credit Statement: Mayo Clinic College of Medicine and Science designates this journal-based CME activity for a maximum of 1.0 AMA PRA Category 1 Credit(s).™ Physicians should claim only the credit commensurate with the extent of their participation in the activity.

Credit Statement: Successful completion of this CME activity, which includes participation in the evaluation component, enables the participant to earn up to 1 MOC points in the American Board of Internal Medicine's (ABIM) Maintenance of Certification (MOC) program. Participants will earn MOC points equivalent to the amount of CME credits claimed for the activity. It is the CME activity provider's responsibility to submit participant completion information to ACCME for the purpose of granting ABIM MOC credit.

Learning Objectives: On completion of this article, you should be able to (1) identify the key questions to ask a patient with hematochezia; (2) describe the differentiating physical examination findings; and (3) outline the appropriate investigations and treatment.

Disclosures: As a provider accredited by ACCME, Mayo Clinic College of Medicine and Science (Mayo School of Continuous Professional Development) must ensure balance, independence, objectivity, and scientific rigor

in its educational activities. Course Director(s), Planning Committee members, Faculty, and all others who are in a position to control the content of this educational activity are required to disclose all relevant financial relationships with any commercial interest related to the subject matter of the educational activity. Safeguards against commercial bias have been put in place. Faculty also will disclose any off-label and/or investigational use of pharmaceuticals or instruments discussed in their presentation. Disclosure of this information will be published in course materials so that those participants in the activity may formulate their own judgments regarding the presentation.

In their editorial and administrative roles, William L. Lanier, Jr, MD, Terry L. Jopke, Kimberly D. Sankey, and Nicki M. Smith, MPA, have control of the content of this program but have no relevant financial relationship(s) with industry.

The authors report no competing interests.

Method of Participation: In order to claim credit, participants must complete the following:

1. Read the activity.
2. Complete the online CME Test and Evaluation. Participants must achieve a score of 80% on the CME Test. One retake is allowed.

Visit www.mayoclinicproceedings.org, select CME, and then select CME articles to locate this article online to access the online process. On successful completion of the online test and evaluation, you can instantly download and print your certificate of credit.

Estimated Time: The estimated time to complete each article is approximately 1 hour.

Hardware/Software: PC or MAC with Internet access.

Date of Release: 5/11/2017

Expiration Date: 4/30/2019 (Credit can no longer be offered after it has passed the expiration date.)

Privacy Policy: <http://www.mayoclinic.org/global/privacy.html>

Questions? Contact dietcsupport@mayo.edu.

From the Department of Internal Medicine (T.G.C.) and Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology (C.G.L.), Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN; and School of Medicine, Trinity College Dublin, The University of Dublin, Dublin, Ireland (N.S.B.).

Abstract

The evaluation of the patient with hematochezia can be complex because of the broad differential diagnosis and the number of management strategies available. In this article, a simplified approach to the history and physical examination is presented, with management illustrated in a case-oriented manner.

© 2017 Mayo Foundation for Medical Education and Research ■ *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2017;92(5):797-804

Hematochezia, or the passage of bright red blood per rectum (BRBPR), is a common clinical presentation, present in up to 20% of adults,¹ and estimated to be responsible for an annual hospital admission rate of 21 per 100,000.² The underlying etiology can vary from life-threatening variceal bleeding to clinically insignificant hemorrhoidal bleeding. The most common etiology is diverticular bleeding, which accounts for 20% to 55% of cases, followed by intestinal ischemia, anorectal disorders, and neoplasia, which each accounting for around 10% of cases.³ A thorough history and focused physical examination are vital tools for the physi-

cian to evaluate patients with hematochezia. In this review, we present a concise and practical case-based approach to the patient with hematochezia. Although many aspects of this review are more applicable to the hospital setting, there are still a number of elements relevant to the outpatient setting.

5-STEP APPROACH TO HEMATOCHEZIA

A focused history, physical examination, and laboratory evaluation should be obtained at the time of patient presentation to assess the severity of bleeding and its possible location and etiology. We propose a 5-step approach

TABLE. Five-Step Approach to Hematochezia

1. Evaluate for hemodynamic instability
2. Clarify the nature of bleeding
3. Ask about abdominal and pelvic pain
4. Perform a rectal examination
5. Consider obscure gastrointestinal bleeding in certain circumstances

(Table) to help direct the work-up of the patient with hematochezia.

Evaluate for Hemodynamic Instability

A history of syncope at presentation, presyncope symptoms, or objective findings of tachycardia, hypotension, or orthostatic hypotension are all suggestive of hemodynamically substantial blood loss. In patients presenting with hemodynamic instability, stabilizing patients should take precedence over diagnostics. Aggressive intravenous (IV) fluid resuscitation should be commenced with the goal of normalization of blood pressure and heart rate before endoscopic evaluation. Patients with underlying cardiac and renal disease should receive more cautious fluid resuscitation. Packed red blood cells (RBCs) should be transfused to maintain the hemoglobin level above 7 g/dL or even higher in the presence of significant comorbidities (discussed below). Patients should be risk-stratified promptly and admitted to the intensive care setting if high-risk features are present. A recently developed risk-scoring system included systolic blood pressure less than 100 mmHg, syncope, and antiplatelet drug use as correlates of severe lower gastrointestinal bleeding (LGIB).⁴

In unstable patients with hematochezia, the first consideration should be that the blood is emanating from the upper gastrointestinal (GI) tract, given the associated high mortality.⁵ In this setting, up to 15% will have upper gastrointestinal bleeding (UGIB),⁶ with peptic ulcer disease (PUD) being the most common etiology.⁶ Other differential diagnoses include esophageal or gastric varices, aortoenteric fistula, and Dieulafoy lesion. Patients should be asked about nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID) use, a strong risk factor for PUD.⁷ Liver disease, preexisting diagnosis of hepatitis, and alcohol consumption may point toward variceal hemorrhage. Isolated gastric varices may be seen in patients

with cirrhosis as well as in patients with acute or chronic pancreatitis.⁸ Known abdominal aortic aneurysm or prosthetic intra-aortic grafts increase the likelihood of an aortoenteric fistula.⁹ Although Dieulafoy lesion accounts for only 1% to 2% of acute GI bleeding, its serious nature necessitates inclusion in the differential diagnosis.¹⁰ At the time of examination, it is important to identify any peripheral stigmata of liver disease.

A nasogastric aspirate/lavage may be used to assess possible UGIB,¹¹ although it has failed to document superior outcomes.¹² The nasogastric tube can be left in situ to facilitate subsequent colon preparation. Other clues to a UGIB source include an elevated blood urea nitrogen (BUN)-to-creatinine ratio (likelihood ratio of UGIB with BUN-to-creatinine ratio >30:1 is 7.5).¹³ In recent years, mortality from acute UGIB has decreased, with recent epidemiological studies revealing a mortality rate of 4% (5.4% in variceal bleeding and 3.9% in nonvariceal bleeding),⁵ likely reflecting treatment advances. Nevertheless, given the associated mortality rate, emergent intervention with esophagogastroduodenoscopy (EGD) should be performed when UGIB is suspected.¹¹

Lastly, colonic diverticular bleeding should also be considered, as these can also result in hemodynamically significant LGIB. To this end, patients should be asked about diverticulosis on previous colonoscopy.

Clarify the Nature of Bleeding

The duration, frequency, volume, and color of blood may help identify the severity and location of bleeding. As outlined above, UGIB can present with hemodynamically significant bleeding (as hematochezia), rather than more modest bleeding (as melena). Patients with small bowel and colonic abnormality typically present with moderate visible bright red blood loss, often described in terms of “cupfuls.” Anorectal “outlet” bleeding may leave bright red streaks on the stool or be visible upon wiping, suggestive of internal or external hemorrhoids or anal fissure.¹⁴

Ask About Abdominal and Pelvic Pain

The presence or absence of abdominal or pelvic pain, and its associated features, is crucial in refining the differential diagnosis. Colorectal carcinoma, diverticular bleeding, colonic

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8673785>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8673785>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)